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## Chapter 1: Background

### 1.0 Introduction

a) Education Management Information System (EMIS) office or Education statistics office under planning unit in the Ministry of Education and Training is mandated to develop, maintain and manage the national education management information system in which integrated databases from multiple sources, multiple years and multiple educational levels are routinely collected, updated, compiled, processed, analyzed and used at the expense of the best use of available ICT facilities in the context of devolution and decentralization.

Its duties are:
i. To timely and reliably define, collect, and process education data and statistics;
ii. To timely and reliably integrate data from multiple sources, multiple years, and multiple education levels within and outside the Ministry of Education and training;
iii. To systemically store and manage databases and variables and quickly retrieve them when requested;
iv. To timely and reliably produce annual statistical reports on the current condition of education and training, and meet ad-hoc data and statistical requests of relevant authorities from Ministry of Education and Training, other GOL ministries, development and cooperating partners, the public and private sectors.
v. To be able to timely and reliably respond to the support inquiries and requests by education policy researchers, analysts, planners, and other management personnel for supporting activities such as indicator development, statistical analysis, budgeting and planning, enrollment projection, studies of educational effectiveness, and other quantitative system analysis and monitoring and evaluation.

Thus, Education Management Information System (EMIS) produces a report that provides such appropriate statistical information for evidence-based planning and decision-making.

### 1.1.1 The Education System

The system of education in Lesotho has 8 levels starting from level 0 to level 7.
Pre-primary or kinder garden (level 0 ), elementary or primary school (level 1), Secondary education includes junior (level 2) and senior high school (level 3), Post secondary (vocational and technical schools, IBM) (level 4) tertiary or Higher education, (Level 5, 6 and 7).

Level 0 is known as pre-primary education or preparatory education, intended to provide early childhood care and development education. These are institutions that have been developed for children ranging from the ages of three to five in Lesotho. The playing activities, experience, and social interaction at this level are accepted as essential aspects of developing skills and knowledge of a child. Few preparatory schools are operated formally by government, churches and private individuals while many are operated informally by private individuals, local communities and non-governmental organizations. Many parents, especially those in urban areas, take their children to preparatory schools as early as when they are three or four years old. Preparatory schools are usually more expensive than primary schools (level 1).

Schools at level 1 offer primary education. This is the basic education in reading, writing and arithmetic, as well as other subjects such as history, geography, religious and social studies. Officially, primary education starts at Grade 1 when a child is at least six years old and lasts for seven years. Successful candidates usually complete primary education when they are 12 or 13 years old, but many complete primary level at older ages because they begin Grade 1 late. At the end of the seven-year primary level schooling, pupils sit for the primary school leaving examination (PSLE) administered by the Examinations Council of Lesotho.

The sitting for PSLE assists in making the decision about the promotion and selection of those who qualify to attend secondary school (level 2). The first three years (Forms A, B and C) are called junior or lower secondary; usually referred to as 'secondary' or Level 2. The remaining two years (Level 3) are called 'senior or upper secondary', usually referred to as high school (Form D and E).

Progression from secondary to high school is through the Junior Certificate (JC) examination, administered by the Examinations Council of Lesotho. High school candidates sit for the Cambridge Overseas Certificate (COSC) of the University of Cambridge Examination Syndicate. The COSC forms the entry requirement for higher and tertiary programs. Level 4 refers to post-secondary education which is not tertiary education. Institutions belonging to this category offer technical training, they are technical and vocational. All such institutions are owned by the government.

Levels 5, 6 and 7 are all grouped under tertiary education. Some of the institutions belonging to this level, to name a few are; Lesotho Collage of Education (LCE) the National University of Lesotho (NUL) and Limkokwing University of Creative Technology (LUCT). LCE trains teachers in both primary and junior secondary
schools. It trains part-time teachers that are already in-service as well as full time teachers who have not yet been absorbed into the labour market but were able to precede secondary education and met the entry requirement of LCE. The NUL offers degrees in education, humanities, natural sciences, agriculture, social sciences and law, as well as certificate and diploma courses. It also offers a limited number of postgraduate programs. LUCT, founded in 2008, is determined to transform tertiary education and empower the young generation with creative learning through its new teaching methodologies such as thinking skills, innovative mindsets and creativity.

### 1.1.2 Agency or School Ownership

The centers, schools or institutions are owned either solely by government, solely by private companies or jointly by government and private companies, churches or communities. These centers, schools or institutions are considered 'public' if they are solely owned by government, or they are owned jointly by government and private companies or churches and the government has a stake in them, for instance, it either pays their teachers' salaries or student school fees. Otherwise, schools are considered private.

### 1.2 Data Source and Guality

### 1.2.1 Source

The main source of information highlighted in this report is the annual school survey. The survey is conducted by sending ER42 (Annual Statistical Returns) forms to district education officers (DEO's) who in turn transmit the forms to the principals of schools. After completion, the principals submit the form to the DEO's who in turn convey them to Education Planning Unit, Maseru.

The ER42 form is a detailed questionnaire that collects information from schools, centers and institutions. This information collected includes schools' physical location, type of ownership, general enrolment information, enrolment of repeaters, and orphans, teacher's profiles, school fees and general facilities such as buildings, classrooms and equipment. The questionnaire collects similar information for all levels of education with minor differences depending on the level's needs.

Apart from information collected from individual schools, centers or institutions, other information is acquired from secondary data within the Ministry of Education and Training; sources include Examinations Council, secondary school bursaries and annual budget plans. Further, information on tertiary bursaries and students studying abroad is gathered from National Manpower Development Secretariat (NMDS). Arrangements are in place to collect data from non- registered schools in the country to locate them to know their coverage so that these schools can be assisted to register with Ministry of Education. Their registration will ease the monitoring of quality of education offered at these schools. The foreign countries embassy in Lesotho will also be visited to solicit information on Lesotho citizens who are studying in those respective countries.

### 1.2.2 Guality

Data quality is fairly good. In 2014, about 99.8 percent of all levels of education, including primary and secondary schools submitted their ER42 forms. At the data processing stage, missing information was substituted via proxies, 2013 information from the same schools.

The total number of registered primary schools that responded was 1,468 in 2011, this number increased to 1,469 in 2012, 1,472 in 2013 and 1,477 in 2014. It should be noted that these are the schools that were operational and responded in the first two quarters of 2014 school calendar. The schools that were not operational during the data collection period were not covered even if they were already registered schools or opened towards the end of calendar year. Some of the schools were nonoperational, not because they were officially closed, but because they had no students.

The number of registered secondary schools was 326 in 2011 and 321 in 2012, while in 2013 they increased to 337. In 2014 the number of registered secondary schools was 339. This increment resulted from building of new schools.

## Chapter 2: Early Childhood Care and Development (ECCD) Education

### 2.0 Introduction

Early childhood is viewed as a time of immense growth and development, when the brain develops most rapidly and a period when walking, talking, self-esteem, vision of the world and moral foundations are created within a child. The ECCD as an integral part of the pre-schooling process is influenced by three main dividends.

Firstly, children that are exposed to an effective ECCD are better equipped for the demands of the school system; this has been substantiated by records of improved academic achievement compared to children who did not attend the pre-schools. Therefore, ECCD programs enhance children's readiness for school. Secondly, ECCD activities reduce the number of repeat cases and failure rates, therefore allowing the system to optimally apply its limited resources to reach more school children. Lastly, ECCD has strong gender implications as it enables mothers to go to work and participate in development activities while children are being cared for, therefore ECCD programs also help improve gender equality.

ECCD education in Lesotho is divided into reception classes, home bases and centers; reception classes are centers attached to some of the existing primary schools. ECCD Centers are privately owned by individuals while home bases are community-initiative pre- schools. All these schools offer the same curriculum; they only differ in ownership status. Data on ECCD education has been difficult to collect and to capture due to poor formal registration of centers and/or home bases which result in inadequate coverage of these centers. However, from 2013 all known ECCD schools were assigned temporary registration numbers and this greatly increased coverage because prior to 2013, only information from reception classes was recorded.

### 2.1 Enrolment in Reception Classes

Table 2.1 shows enrolment in ECCD Reception classes from 2007 to 2014. Enrolment increased during the years before 2009 as a result of the new ECCD centers that were being attached to existing primary schools. Thereafter enrolment decreased up to the year 2013 as there were very few or no new centers that were attached to primary schools. However, 2014 recorded a 7.9 percent increase in enrolment from 5,324 in the previous year to 6,178 , as result of the introduction of 19 new schools as shown in the table below.

Table 2.1: Number of Reception Classes and Enrolment, 2007-2014

| Year | Enrolment | Total No of Schools | New Schools |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2007 | 2902 | 97 | 86 |
| 2008 | 3930 | 119 | 22 |
| 2009 | 6714 | 219 | 100 |
| 2010 | 5696 | 219 | 0 |
| 2011 | 5520 | 219 | 0 |
| 2012 | 5417 | 221 | 2 |
| 2013 | 5324 | 221 | 0 |
| 2014 | 6178 | 240 | 19 |

Table 2.2 compares enrolment with the number of reception classes by district from 2012 to 2014. It reveals that during the period under review, enrolment increased in some districts and decreased in others. It is observed that over the years enrolment was highest in Maseru followed by Leribe then Berea; the enrolment was 991, 745, and 717 respectively. However, compared to 2013 , the districts that registered the highest percentage change in enrolement in 2014 were Gacha's Nek, Mokhotlong, Botha-Bothe and Gacha's Nek with 22.2, 15.6 and 13.7 percent respectively. In the same manner Mokhotlong (13.3 percent) had the highest increase in number of schools for 2014, followed however by Gacha's Nek and Quthing with 11.1 and 10.5 percent. Mokhotlong still had the lowest number (15) of schools when compared to other districts, while Maseru had the highest number of schools(34).

Table 2.2: Number of Reception Classes and Enrolment by District, 2012-2014

| District | Enrolment |  |  | Enroll \%change |  | No. of schools |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | $\begin{aligned} & 2012- \\ & 2013 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2013- \\ & 2014 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2012- \\ & 2013 \end{aligned}$ | 2014 | \%change |
| Botha-Bothe | 471 | 470 | 557 | -0.2 | 15.6 | 17 | 18 | 5.6 |
| Leribe | 608 | 574 | 745 | -5.9 | 23 | 26 | 28 | 7.1 |
| Berea | 680 | 621 | 717 | -9.5 | 13.4 | 25 | 27 | 7.4 |
| Maseru | 886 | 923 | 991 | 4.0 | 6.9 | 32 | 34 | 5.9 |
| Mafeteng | 588 | 574 | 641 | -2.4 | 10.5 | 28 | 30 | 6.7 |
| Mohale's Hoek | 647 | 501 | 585 | -29.1 | 14.4 | 25 | 27 | 7.4 |
| Quthing | 312 | 365 | 409 | 14.5 | 10.8 | 17 | 19 | 10.5 |
| Gacha's Nek | 310 | 316 | 406 | 1.9 | 22.2 | 16 | 18 | 11.1 |
| Mokhotlong | 337 | 364 | 422 | 7.4 | 13.7 | 13 | 15 | 13.3 |
| Thaba-Tseka | 578 | 616 | 705 | 6.2 | 12.6 | 22 | 24 | 8.3 |
| Total | 5417 | 5324 | 6178 | -1.7 | 13.8 | 221 | 240 | 7.9 |

### 2.2 Enrolment in ECCD (reception classes included)

Enrolment in ECCD centers declined from 55,972 in 2013 to 48,396 in 2014 which implies a decline by 14 percent. Table 2.3 shows total Enrolment in ECCD Centers in 2014 disaggregated by district, sex and age. Total Enrolment was recorded at 48,396 pupils in 2014. The table reveals that total enrolment increased with age, for instance, from less than 3 years up to age 5 years, but declined at age greater than 5 years. It also demonstrates that the overall enrolment of females led across all age groups. The distribution of ECCD enrolment by district indicates that Maseru was in the lead with 13,489 ( 27.9 percent) pupils, followed by Berea and Leribe with 6,776 ( 14 percent) and 5,375 ( 11.1 percent). Comparison by sex and district shows that enrolment of girls exceeded that of boys in all the districts, although wider gaps were observed in Mafeteng and Mokhotlong.

Table 2.3: ECCD Enrolment by District, Age and Sex, 2014

| DISTRICT | AGE<3 |  | AGE 3 |  | AGE 4 |  | AGE 5 |  | AGE>5 |  | Total | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F |  |  |
| BOTHA-BOTHE | 173 | 179 | 296 | 326 | 467 | 478 | 443 | 417 | 366 | 405 | 3,550 | 7.3 |
| LERIBE | 220 | 219 | 428 | 497 | 731 | 713 | 673 | 639 | 623 | 632 | 5,375 | 11.1 |
| BEREA | 356 | 347 | 576 | 594 | 873 | 872 | 849 | 928 | 676 | 705 | 6,776 | 14.0 |
| MASERU | 961 | 1022 | 1265 | 1322 | 1681 | 1614 | 1571 | 1629 | 1256 | 1168 | 13,489 | 27.9 |
| MAFETENG | 168 | 189 | 361 | 361 | 571 | 615 | 578 | 660 | 390 | 430 | 4,323 | 8.9 |
| MOHALE'S HOEK | 207 | 181 | 398 | 388 | 607 | 629 | 643 | 689 | 364 | 359 | 4,465 | 9.2 |
| QUTHING | 78 | 67 | 151 | 164 | 217 | 231 | 206 | 221 | 181 | 220 | 1,736 | 3.6 |
| QACHA'S NEK | 165 | 162 | 206 | 222 | 270 | 266 | 351 | 363 | 201 | 201 | 2,407 | 5.0 |
| MOKHOTLONG | 163 | 184 | 245 | 280 | 340 | 415 | 364 | 363 | 304 | 320 | 2,978 | 6.2 |
| THABA-TSEKA | 136 | 139 | 275 | 297 | 396 | 425 | 491 | 530 | 300 | 308 | 3,297 | 6.8 |
| Total | 2627 | 2689 | 4201 | 4451 | 6153 | 6258 | 6169 | 6439 | 4661 | 4748 | 48396 | 100.0 |

## ECCD Schools Gross and Net Enrolment Rates-2014

Gross Enrolment Ratio (GER) for ECCD indicates enrolment of pupils regardless of age expressed as a percentage of the total population aged 3 to 5 . This indicator is used to demonstrate the general level of participation at ECCD level. It is also used to indicate the degree to which over-aged and under-aged children enroll in ECCD centers. A high GER shows that, there is a high degree of participation. The overall coverage of participation of the eligible population in the education system is usually indicated by Net Enrolment Rate (NER). A high value of NER indicates a high degree of participation of the official school-age population.

Table 2.4(i) shows the sex comparison of GER and NER for ECCD enrolment in 2014. The overall GER was 29.9 percent which is made up of 29.2 percent of males and 30.6 percent of females. The NER on the other hand, was around 20 percent for the overall and for both males and females; indicating that one fifth of the official school-going age population ( 3 to 5 year) were actually attending ECCD schools.

Table 2.4(i): ECCD schools Gross and Net Enrolment Rates (NER) and (GER) by Sex, 2014

| INDICATOR | $\mathbf{M}$ | F | $\mathbf{T}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| GER | 29.2 | 30.6 | 29.9 |
| NER | 20.2 | 21.4 | 20.8 |

### 2.2.1 Accessibility of Education in ECCD

Accessibility refers to a proportion of pupils that have equal and equitable opportunities to take full advantage of their education out of all children of admission age at the corresponding grade, which is age 3 for ECCD centers.

### 2.2.1.1 New Entrants in ECCD

Table 2.4(ii) illustrates new entrants in ECCD centers by district and sex in 2014. There were 24,033 new entrants in 2014, out of this number, 11,821 were boys and 12,212 (51 Percent) were girls. In 2014, the general pattern of new entrants by district is almost similar to the general pattern of the total enrolment. For instance, Maseru accounted for 6,391 which is 26.6 percent, it was followed by Berea with 13.9 percent and then Leribe 10.4 percent

Table 2.4(ii): ECCD New Entrants by District and Sex, 2014

| DISTRICT | M | F | Total | \% Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BOTHA -BOTHE | 853 | 783 | 1636 | 6.8 |
| LERIBE | 1249 | 1258 | 2507 | 10.4 |
| BEREA | 1639 | 1696 | 3335 | 13.9 |
| MASERU | 3157 | 3234 | 6391 | 26.6 |
| MAFETENG | 1088 | 1145 | 2233 | 9.3 |
| MOHALE'S HOEK | 1175 | 1211 | 2386 | 9.9 |
| QUTHING | 467 | 515 | 982 | 4.1 |
| QACHA'S NEK | 590 | 641 | 1231 | 5.1 |
| MOKHOTLONG | 761 | 840 | 1601 | 6.7 |
| THABA-TSEKA | 842 | 889 | 1731 | 7.2 |
| Total | 11,821 | 12,212 | 24,033 | 100.0 |

### 2.3 Disability in ECCD Schools

Table 2.5 shows that out of the total enrolment of 48,396 pupils in ECCD centers in 2014, 781 ( 1.4 percent) pupils were with disabilities. Furthermore, sex comparison shows that 427 ( 54.7 percent) were boys and 354 which is 45.3 percent were girls.

Among the districts, there were more boys with special educational needs than their girl counterparts in each district except in Quthing where the numbers of pupils with special educational needs were equal irrespective of sex.

The Table further shows that Maseru had the highest number of pupils with some form of disability (145); it was followed by Mafeteng with 128 and then Berea with 106.

Table 2.5: ECCD enrolment of children with special educational needs or disability by District, Age and Sex, 2014

| District | Age <3 |  | Age 3 |  | Age 4 |  | Age 5 |  | AGE>5 |  | Male <br> M | Female <br> F | Grand <br> Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F |  |  |  |
| BOTHA-BOTHE | 2 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 13 | 9 | 29 | 17 | 7 | 6 | 57 | 38 | 95 |
| LERIBE | 1 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 19 | 10 | 17 | 16 | 20 | 11 | 62 | 44 | 106 |
| BEREA | 3 | 2 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 13 | 17 | 13 | 15 | 12 | 52 | 48 | 100 |
| MASERU | 12 | 8 | 11 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 20 | 22 | 19 | 11 | 76 | 69 | 145 |
| MAFETENG | 7 | 6 | 16 | 25 | 20 | 11 | 14 | 14 | 7 | 8 | 64 | 64 | 128 |
| MOHALE'S HOEK | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 10 | 5 | 11 | 14 | 9 | 4 | 33 | 24 | 57 |
| QUTHING | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 9 | 9 | 18 |
| QACHA'S NEK | 1 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 9 | 9 | 5 | 4 | 27 | 21 | 48 |
| MOKHOTLONG | 4 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 15 | 6 | 26 | 21 | 47 |
| THABA-TSEKA | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 13 | 21 | 16 | 37 |
| Total | 34 | 29 | 60 | 62 | 102 | 72 | 133 | 112 | 98 | 79 | 427 | 354 | 781 |

When disaggregating enrolment of pupils with disability by type of disability, majority of the disabled pupils had physically disability accounting for 25.4 percent. This percentage was followed by the one for intellectual disability which constituted 23.2 percent of the disabled pupils. Intellectual disability includes forms of learning difficulty, epilepsy and mental retardation.

Table 2.6: ECCD Enrolment of disabled pupils by Type of disability, Age and Sex, 2014

| District | Age <3 |  | Age 3 |  | Age 4 |  | Age 5 |  | AGE>5 |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | T | \% |
| PD-Physical Disability | 16 | 8 | 14 | 18 | 34 | 19 | 22 | 19 | 25 | 23 | 198 | 25.4 |
| VI-Visual Impairment | 3 | 2 | 7 | 2 | 4 | 9 | 12 | 16 | 12 | 11 | 78 | 10.0 |
| HI-Hearing Impairment | 0 | 3 | 9 | 9 | 6 | 7 | 22 | 13 | 14 | 8 | 91 | 11.7 |
| ID-Intellectual Disability | 8 | 5 | 7 | 10 | 21 | 18 | 34 | 24 | 31 | 23 | 181 | 23.2 |
| OTHER | 7 | 11 | 23 | 23 | 37 | 19 | 43 | 40 | 16 | 14 | 233 | 29.8 |
| Total | 34 | 29 | 60 | 62 | 102 | 72 | 133 | 112 | 98 | 79 | 781 | 100.0 |

### 2.4 Orphan-hood in ECCD Schools

Out of the total enrolment in ECCD centers 3,980 were pupils that had either lost one or both of their parents in 2014. This number also includes the vulnerable children who are called 'social orphans' as shown in Table 2.7. Paternal orphans constituted about 48.5 percent of these orphans, whereas maternal and social orphans accounted for 18.4 and 20.1 percent respectively.

Table 2.7: ECCD Orphans by District, Age and Sex, 2014

| Type | Age <3 |  | Age3 |  | Age4 |  | Age5 |  | AGE>5 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | Total |
| Paternal | 78 | 88 | 106 | 130 | 251 | 229 | 316 | 296 | 199 | 237 | 1930 |
| Maternal | 17 | 33 | 46 | 47 | 80 | 94 | 124 | 114 | 94 | 85 | 734 |
| Double | 12 | 16 | 41 | 40 | 59 | 66 | 79 | 87 | 58 | 60 | 518 |
| Social | 32 | 42 | 73 | 60 | 88 | 117 | 122 | 89 | 84 | 91 | 798 |
| Total | 139 | 179 | 266 | 277 | 478 | 506 | 641 | 586 | 435 | 473 | 3980 |

Enrolment of orphans by district in Table 2.8 reveals that, Maseru had the higher percentage of orphans in ECCD centers as it was represented by 23.8 percent. It was followed by Mohale's Hoek and Berea with 12.7 and 11.7 percent respectively. Quthing only had 4.1 percent orphans.

Table 2.8: ECCD Orphans by District, Age and Sex, 2014

| District | Age <3 |  | Age3 |  | Age4 |  | Age5 |  | AGE>5 |  | Total |  |  | Total \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | T |  |
| BOTHA-BOTHE | 5 | 9 | 16 | 20 | 43 | 35 | 49 | 37 | 28 | 43 | 141 | 144 | 285 | 7.2 |
| LERIBE | 13 | 15 | 22 | 42 | 48 | 36 | 54 | 55 | 52 | 48 | 189 | 196 | 385 | 9.7 |
| BEREA | 15 | 18 | 28 | 27 | 48 | 79 | 69 | 68 | 65 | 50 | 225 | 242 | 467 | 11.7 |
| MASERU | 47 | 65 | 62 | 65 | 119 | 131 | 139 | 130 | 86 | 103 | 453 | 494 | 947 | 23.8 |
| MAFETENG | 8 | 13 | 29 | 21 | 57 | 57 | 55 | 59 | 61 | 49 | 210 | 199 | 409 | 10.3 |
| MOHALE'S HOEK | 17 | 14 | 46 | 34 | 58 | 56 | 83 | 91 | 49 | 57 | 253 | 252 | 505 | 12.7 |
| QUTHING | 6 | 7 | 15 | 10 | 20 | 20 | 27 | 24 | 16 | 20 | 84 | 81 | 165 | 4.1 |
| QACHA'S NEK | 12 | 17 | 19 | 19 | 29 | 40 | 58 | 37 | 23 | 31 | 141 | 144 | 285 | 7.2 |
| MOKHOTLONG | 5 | 12 | 17 | 22 | 27 | 24 | 38 | 35 | 25 | 35 | 112 | 128 | 240 | 6.0 |
| THABA-TSEKA | 11 | 9 | 12 | 17 | 29 | 28 | 69 | 50 | 30 | 37 | 151 | 141 | 292 | 7.3 |
| Total | 139 | 179 | 266 | 277 | 478 | 506 | 641 | 586 | 435 | 473 | 159 | 2021 | 3980 | 100 |

The number of teachers in ECCD centers during the year 2014 was estimated at 3,700 . Table 2.9 shows that there were more female teachers ( 98.0 percent) than their male counterparts (2.0 Percent). A similar trend is observed for districts whereby, Maseru was leading with 22.2 percent of teachers in this level of education. It was seconded by Leribe with 18.4 percent and then Berea with 12.9 percent.

Table 2.9: ECCD Teachers by District and Sex, 2014

| DISTRICT | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | Total |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| BOTHA-BOTHE | 8 | 311 | 319 | 8.6 |
| LERIBE | 28 | 654 | 682 | 18.4 |
| BEREA | 6 | 473 | 479 | 12.9 |
| MASERU | 23 | 797 | 820 | 22.2 |
| MAFETENG | 2 | 308 | 310 | 8.4 |
| MOHALE'S HOEK | 4 | 308 | 312 | 8.4 |
| QUTHING | 2 | 149 | 151 | 4.1 |
| QACHA'S NEK | 0 | 195 | 195 | 5.3 |
| MOKHOTLONG | 2 | 205 | 207 | 5.6 |
| THABA-TSEKA | 3 | 222 | 225 | 6.1 |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

Table 2.10 shows the distribution of pre-schools by district and agency in 2014. Maseru (442) also led with the highest number of ECCD schools in 2014. Berea seconded with 288 ECCD schools, and then Mafeteng, Mohale's Hoek and Leribe became the third, fourth and fifth highest districts with ECCD schools with 215, 211 and 210 respectively.

Among these schools, 1,396 were owned by community, the followed private with 362 schools and then government with 85 ECCD schools.

| DISTRICT | GVT | COMM | LEC | RCM | ACL | AME | OTHER | PRIVATE | Total | \% | Teachers | STR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BOTHA-BOTHE | 4 | 123 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 140 | 6.9 | 319 | 2 |
| LERIBE | 1 | 169 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 20 | 12 | 210 | 10.4 | 682 | 3 |
| BEREA | 12 | 174 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 17 | 76 | 288 | 14.3 | 479 | 2 |
| MASERU | 9 | 178 | 8 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 8 | 228 | 442 | 21.9 | 820 | 2 |
| MAFETENG | 16 | 172 | 8 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 215 | 10.7 | 310 | 1 |
| MOHALE'S HOEK | 12 | 165 | 4 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 16 | 211 | 10.5 | 312 | 1 |
| QUTHING | 7 | 51 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 11 | 85 | 4.2 | 151 | 2 |
| QACHA'S NEK | 8 | 109 | 3 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 133 | 6.6 | 195 | 1 |
| MOKHOTLONG | 7 | 144 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 157 | 7.8 | 207 | 1 |
| THABA-TSEKA | 9 | 111 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 134 | 6.7 | 225 | 2 |
| Total | 85 | 1,396 | 36 | 47 | 13 | 5 | 71 | 362 | 2,015 | 100 | 3700 | 2 |

## Chapter 3: Primary School Education

### 3.0 Introduction

Free Primary Education (FPE) Policy commenced in 2000 in Lesotho, this policy eliminated school fees on annual incremental basis beginning with grade one in 2000 and was completed in 2006 when all primary education was free. The first cohort of free primary education entered into secondary schools in 2007 and that cohort completed high school in 2011.

### 3.1 Enrolment in Registered Primary Schools

Table 3.1 below shows enrolment in registered primary schools by age, grade and sex in 2014. It is observed from the table that the total enrolment at this level was 2013. History has shown that enrolment in this level of education has been steadily declining since 2004. This decline came after the enrolment influx of the free primary education which initiated in 2000 but reached the peak in 2006 and declined afterwards. Generally, the table shows that there were more boys ( 51.0 percent) than girls ( 49.0 percent) that enrolled in ECCD centers. In disaggregating data further by grades, it is observed that boy's enrolment was higher than the enrolment for girls in all the grades except for grade 6 and 7 where enrolment of females was higher than the one for their male counterparts.

The table further shows that 77.9 percent of the pupils in this level of education were in the appropriate age of primary schooling which is 6 to 12 years, while ( 23.9 percent were over age and only 1.2 percent were below the official primary school age.

Table 3.1: Enrolment in Registered Primary Schools by Age, Grade and Sex, 2014

| AGE | Grade 1 |  | Grade 2 |  | Grade 3 |  | Grade 4 |  | Grade 5 |  | Grade 6 |  | Grade 7 |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F |  |
| <6 | 2239 | 2179 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4418 |
| 6 | 15345 | 14834 | 904 | 1040 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32123 |
| 7 | 8219 | 7062 | 11361 | 12066 | 723 | 966 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40397 |
| 8 | 2173 | 1432 | 8713 | 7804 | 8766 | 10478 | 748 | 1288 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41402 |
| 9 | 683 | 376 | 3645 | 2470 | 9215 | 8538 | 6375 | 8761 | 507 | 1075 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41645 |
| 10 | 296 | 123 | 1415 | 790 | 5582 | 3699 | 8975 | 8926 | 3968 | 6591 | 426 | 949 | 0 | 0 | 41740 |
| 11 | 115 | 48 | 564 | 269 | 2794 | 1441 | 6815 | 4944 | 5388 | 7038 | 2967 | 5436 | 403 | 914 | 39136 |
| 12 | 50 | 13 | 254 | 69 | 1390 | 610 | 4928 | 2597 | 5479 | 4884 | 4151 | 6422 | 2250 | 4745 | 37842 |
| 13 | 33 | 6 | 112 | 34 | 663 | 244 | 3184 | 1297 | 4381 | 3047 | 4228 | 4736 | 3318 | 5714 | 30997 |
| 14 | 29 | 4 | 67 | 18 | 330 | 100 | 1935 | 728 | 3672 | 1783 | 4293 | 3509 | 3959 | 5015 | 25442 |
| 15 | 6 | 1 | 21 | 7 | 89 | 31 | 643 | 258 | 1823 | 844 | 2994 | 2007 | 3362 | 3475 | 15561 |
| 16 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 35 | 15 | 212 | 112 | 804 | 373 | 1747 | 860 | 2508 | 1918 | 8595 |
| 17 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 15 | 1 | 88 | 24 | 268 | 147 | 748 | 428 | 1538 | 979 | 4246 |
| 18 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 16 | 8 | 80 | 54 | 255 | 148 | 659 | 322 | 1554 |
| 19 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 10 | 9 | 34 | 19 | 94 | 43 | 203 | 100 | 518 |
| 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 17 | 7 | 29 | 19 | 94 | 24 | 203 |
| >20 | 24 | 1 | 11 | 3 | 12 | 1 | 11 | 6 | 19 | 5 | 29 | 26 | 45 | 36 | 229 |
| Total | 29218 | 26082 | 27079 | 24574 | 29624 | 26132 | 33945 | 28962 | 26440 | 25867 | 21961 | 24583 | 18339 | 23242 | 366048 |

Table 3.2 shows the distribution of enrolment in registered primary schools by grade, sex and year starting from 2010 up to 2014. As indicated earlier, enrolment in primary schools has been showing a declining trend for some years now. This is shown in the table below.

Table 3.2: Enrolment in Registered Primary Schools by Grade, Sex and Year, 2010-2014

| Grade | 2010 |  | 2011 |  | 2012 |  | 2013 |  | 2014 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F |
| 1 | 38383 | 32010 | 38449 | 32248 | 36438 | 31033 | 31816 | 27762 | 29218 | 26082 |
| 2 | 32141 | 27424 | 31702 | 27366 | 32229 | 27976 | 30595 | 26524 | 27079 | 24574 |
| 3 | 30919 | 27385 | 30742 | 26512 | 30265 | 26462 | 30298 | 26797 | 29624 | 26132 |
| 4 | 29628 | 27438 | 29756 | 27102 | 29931 | 26958 | 30216 | 26784 | 33945 | 28962 |
| 5 | 25683 | 26385 | 25397 | 26310 | 25713 | 26078 | 25242 | 25544 | 26440 | 25867 |
| 6 | 22101 | 25518 | 22160 | 24961 | 21860 | 24962 | 21801 | 24423 | 21961 | 24583 |
| 7 | 19057 | 24609 | 18925 | 23807 | 18413 | 23372 | 18082 | 23585 | 18339 | 23242 |
| Total | 197912 | 190769 | 197131 | 188306 | 194849 | 186841 | 188050 | 181419 | 186606 | 179442 |
|  | Enrolment change | 0.963908 |  | 0.95523 |  | 0.9589 |  | 0.9647 |  | 0.9616 |
| TOTAL |  | 388,681 | 385 | 437 | 381 | 690 | 369 | 469 | 366 | 048 |

Table 3.3 shows the distribution of enrolment in registered primary schools by district, sex and years from 2012 to 20214. In total, Maseru had the highest number of enrollees in registered primary schools ( 22.5 percent); it was seconded by Leribe with 15.3 percent. Berea and Mafeteng followed with 12.2 and 10.1 percent respectively. The table further reveals that during these three years period, the total enrolment has been declining from 381,690 in 2012 to 366,048 in 2014.

For all the districts, enrolment in registered primary schools declined from 2012 to 2013, even from 2013 to 2014, all the districts had decline in enrolment except for Botha-Bothe and Mokhotlong districts which have shown increase in total enrolment from 2013 to 2014.

| District | 2012 |  |  | 2013 |  |  | 2014 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | F | Total | M | F | Total | M | F | Total |
| Botha-Bothe | 11572 | 10823 | 22395 | 11426 | 10778 | 22204 | 11436 | 10823 | 22259 |
| Leribe | 30696 | 28445 | 59141 | 29567 | 27343 | 56910 | 28910 | 27005 | 55915 |
| Berea | 24525 | 22345 | 46870 | 23695 | 21631 | 45326 | 23265 | 21336 | 44601 |
| Maseru | 43186 | 41314 | 84500 | 42445 | 40478 | 82923 | 42407 | 40080 | 82487 |
| Mafeteng | 20323 | 18508 | 38831 | 19529 | 18069 | 37598 | 19296 | 17806 | 37102 |
| Mohale's Hoek | 17332 | 17077 | 34409 | 16275 | 16100 | 32375 | 16333 | 16010 | 32343 |
| Quthing | 11859 | 11573 | 23432 | 11280 | 11101 | 22381 | 11228 | 10846 | 22074 |
| Qacha's Nek | 8146 | 7893 | 16039 | 7974 | 7755 | 15729 | 7870 | 7510 | 15380 |
| Mokhotlong | 11097 | 12161 | 23258 | 10801 | 11911 | 22712 | 10997 | 11886 | 22883 |
| Thaba-Tseka | 16113 | 16702 | 32815 | 15058 | 16253 | 31311 | 14864 | 16140 | 31004 |
| Total | 194849 | 186841 | 381690 | 188050 | 181419 | 369469 | 186606 | 179442 | 366048 |

Table 3.4 shows the distribution of enrolment in registered primary schools by district, sex and urban and rural residence of learners. In general, it is shown that majority of registered primary school pupils ( 79.0 percent) were in the rural areas whereas, less than half of this percentage was in the urban areas.

The table further shows that in the urban areas more boys than girls were enrolled in registered primary schools except for Gacha's Nek and Mokhotlong districts. The pattern was the same for the rural areas, which also had higher enrolment among males for most of the districts except for Mokhotlong and Thaba-Tseka where there were more girls than boys enrolled in registered primary schools.

Table 3.4: Enrolment in Registered Primary Schools by District, Urban and Rural and Sex, 2014

| DISTRICT | URBAN |  |  |  | RURAL |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | M | Total | M | F | Total |  |  |
| BOTHA-BOTHE | 3152 | 3117 | 6269 | 8284 | 7706 | 15990 | 22259 |
| LERIBE | 5414 | 5053 | 10467 | 23496 | 21952 | 45448 | 55915 |
| BEREA | 2458 | 2423 | 4881 | 20807 | 18913 | 39720 | 44601 |
| MASERU | 18613 | 17808 | 36421 | 23794 | 22272 | 46066 | 82487 |
| MAFETENG | 2870 | 2874 | 5744 | 16426 | 14932 | 31358 | 37102 |
| MOHALE'S HOEK | 1258 | 1215 | 2473 | 15075 | 14795 | 29870 | 32343 |
| QUTHING | 1029 | 851 | 1880 | 10199 | 9995 | 20194 | 22074 |
| QACHA'S NEK | 1526 | 1532 | 3058 | 6344 | 5978 | 12322 | 15380 |
| MOKHOTLONG | 1650 | 1730 | 3380 | 9347 | 10156 | 19503 | 22883 |
| THABA-TSEKA | 1146 | 1104 | 2250 | 13718 | 15036 | 28754 | 31004 |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 9 1 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 7 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{7 6 8 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 7 4 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 1 7 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 9 2 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 6 0 4 8}$ |

Table 3.5 shows the distribution of registered primary schools by district, ecological zone and sex in 2014. It is seen from the table that enrolment in the Lowlands constituted 52.2 percent; it was followed by the percentage for the Mountains enrolment which stood at 24.4 percent and Foothills at 12.3 percent.

Furthermore, the table shows that more males than females were enrolled in the Lowlands, Foothills, and Senqu River Valley while there were more females than males in the Mountains as illustrated in Table 3.5. Therefore sex comparisons indicate that in 2014, the enrolment of females exceeded that of their male counterparts only in the mountainous parts of the districts.

Table 3.5: Enrolment in Registered Primary Schools by District, Zone and Sex, 2014

| DISTRICT | LOWLANDS |  | FOOTHILLS |  | MOUNTAIN |  | SENGU RIVER VALLEY |  | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F |  |
| BOTHA-BOTHE | 6498 | 6053 | 3677 | 3493 | 1261 | 1277 | 0 | 0 | 22259 |
| LERIBE | 20894 | 19310 | 4725 | 4460 | 3291 | 3235 | 0 | 0 | 55915 |
| BEREA | 19156 | 17482 | 3985 | 3728 | 124 | 126 | 0 | 0 | 44601 |
| MASERU | 32576 | 30339 | 6703 | 6368 | 3128 | 3373 | 0 | 0 | 82487 |
| MAFETENG | 15294 | 13834 | 3971 | 3917 | 31 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 37102 |
| MOHALE'S HOEK | 5572 | 5051 | 0 | 0 | 3195 | 3405 | 7566 | 7554 | 32343 |
| QUTHING | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3231 | 3455 | 7997 | 7391 | 22074 |
| QACHA'S NEK | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3115 | 2995 | 4755 | 4515 | 15380 |
| MOKHOTLONG | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10997 | 11886 | 0 | 0 | 22883 |
| THABA-TSEKA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14864 | 16140 | 0 | 0 | 31004 |
| Total | 99990 | 92069 | 23061 | 21966 | 43237 | 45947 | 20318 | 19460 | 366048 |

Table 3.6 illustrates enrolment of primary school learners in public and private owned schools by district and sex. For the purpose of analysis in this report, public schools represent those owned by government, churches and communities. These are the schools that get aids or donor from the Government such as payment of salaries of teachers, renovation and construction of classrooms and provision of other facilities. Private schools on the other hand function independent of the Government aid but observe the government stipulated curriculum or follow its guidelines closely if an alternative curriculum is used.

It is shown from the table that 98.5 percent of pupils were enrolled in public schools while only 1.5 percent were enrolled in private schools. In public schools, enrolment of males outnumbered the enrolment for females. However, among the private schools the number of females exceeded the number of males enrolled in registered primary schools. It is also shown in the same table that enrolment was higher in public schools than private schools in all the districts as it is observed that in some districts there were no private schools, for instance, from Mohale's Hoek to ThabaTseka.

Table 3.6: Enrolment in Registered Public and Private Schools by District and Sex, 2014

| DISTRICT | PUBLIC |  | PRIVATE |  |  |  | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | F | T | M | F | T |  |
| BOTHA-BOTHE | 11,396 | 10,781 | 22,177 | 40 | 42 | 82 | 22,259 |
| LERIBE | 28,418 | 26,488 | 54,906 | 492 | 517 | 1,009 | 55,915 |
| BEREA | 22,974 | 21,009 | 43,983 | 291 | 327 | 618 | 44,601 |
| MASERU | 40,729 | 38,384 | 79,113 | 1,,678 | 1,696 | 3,374 | 82,487 |
| MAFETENG | 19,027 | 17,533 | 36,560 | 269 | 273 | 542 | 37,102 |
| MOHALE'S HOEK | 16,333 | 16,010 | 32,343 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32,343 |
| QUTHING | 11,228 | 10,846 | 22,074 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22,074 |
| QACHA'S NEK | 7,870 | 7,510 | 15,380 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15,380 |
| MOKHOTLONG | 10,997 | 11,886 | 22,883 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22,883 |
| THABA-TSEKA | 14,864 | 16,140 | 31,004 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31,004 |
| Total | 183,836 | 176,587 | 360,423 | 2,770 | 2,855 | 5,625 | 366,048 |

Table 3.7 illustrates enrolment in registered primary schools by district, agency and sex in 2014. It is observed from this table that enrolment was highest in LEC primary schools at 123,157 pupils; this number was seconded by the one for RCM with 119,795 pupils. The third and fourth highest enrolments were for ACL $(40,471)$ and Government with 39,068 pupils. In disaggregating enrolment by agency and sex, a similar pattern is in the previous analysis by sex is observed where enrolment of males dominates the enrolment for females in all the agencies.

| DISTRICT | GVT |  | COMMUNITY |  | LEC |  | RCM |  | ACL |  | AME |  | OTHER |  | PRIVATE |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | $\mathbf{M}$ | F | M | F | M | F |  |
| BOTHA-BOTHE | 598 | 647 | 1,145 | 1,138 | 4,202 | 3,784 | 2,936 | 2,731 | 1,801 | 1,631 | 206 | 225 | 508 | 625 | 40 | 42 | 2,2259 |
| LERIBE | 2,110 | 1,958 | 1,709 | 1,582 | 9,288 | 8,723 | 8,421 | 7,708 | 5,132 | 4,733 | 309 | 280 | 1,449 | 1,504 | 492 | 517 | 55,915 |
| BEREA | 3,207 | 2,948 | 515 | 549 | 7,139 | 6,410 | 8,832 | 7,986 | 2,348 | 2,147 | 0 | 0 | 933 | 969 | 291 | 327 | 44,601 |
| MASERU | 4,267 | 3,923 | 4,278 | 4,099 | 12,687 | 11,905 | 13,426 | 12,493 | 3,606 | 3,524 | 336 | 278 | 2,129 | 2,162 | 1,678 | 1,696 | 82,487 |
| MAFETENG | 1,792 | 1,662 | 504 | 519 | 8,140 | 7,389 | 5,587 | 5,060 | 1,855 | 1,751 | 375 | 359 | 774 | 793 | 269 | 273 | 37,102 |
| MOHALE'S HOEK | 1,885 | 1,617 | 509 | 531 | 6,206 | 5,845 | 5,010 | 5,320 | 1,572 | 1,544 | 170 | 121 | 981 | 1,032 | 0 | 0 | 32,343 |
| QUTHING | 1,175 | 1,260 | 0 | 0 | 5,351 | 5,009 | 3,108 | 3,038 | 1,517 | 1,483 | 0 | 0 | 77 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 22,074 |
| QACHA'S NEK | 1,137 | 1,129 | 0 | 0 | 3,028 | 2,850 | 2,822 | 2,661 | 810 | 812 | 73 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15,380 |
| MOKHOTLONG | 1,101 | 1,093 | 0 | 0 | 3,627 | 3,891 | 4,337 | 4,770 | 1,160 | 1,330 | 534 | 515 | 238 | 287 | 0 | 0 | 22,883 |
| THABA-TSEKA | 2,541 | 3,018 | 926 | 969 | 3,737 | 3,946 | 6,515 | 7,034 | 843 | 872 | 0 | 0 | 302 | 301 | 0 | 0 | 31,004 |
| Total | 19,813 | 19,255 | 9,586 | 9,387 | 63,405 | 59,752 | 60,994 | 58,801 | 20,644 | 19,827 | 2,003 | 1,836 | 7,391 | 7,729 | 2,770 | 2,855 | 366,048 |

### 3.1.1 Accessibility of Education

Accessibility is defined as the proportion of children who have access to schooling out of all children of admission age at the corresponding grade, which is age 6 for grade 1 (primary school) admission in Lesotho. In this section, the extent of access to the first grade of primary education is discussed in detail.

### 3.1.1.1 New Entrants in Registered Primary Schools

The percentages of new entrants by age were similar to the previous years since the year 2009, whereby, there were more boys than girls, thus, 52.3 percent against 47.7 percent. Figure 3 . lbelow shows the enrolment of new entrants into registered primary schools by age and sex. The figure portrays that enrolment of new entrants was at the peak precisely at the age of six (the official admission age) for both boys and girls. Afterwards enrolment for both sexes drastically dropped until it was almost zero at ages 10 and upwards with male's enrolment being slightly higher.

Figure 3.1: Enrolment of New Entrants in Registered Primary Schools by Age and Sex, 2014


The following table shows the enrolment of new pupils into registered primary schools by district and sex. It is shown in the table that enrolment of new entrants has a similar pattern to that of the total enrolment in registered primary schools as it is observed that even for the new entrants, Maseru was leading with 22.4 percent of new entrants. It was followed by Leribe with 14.4 percent; then Berea and Mafeteng with 11.7 and 10.0 percent.

Table 3.8: New Entrants in Primary Schools by District and Sex, 2014

| District | M | $\mathbf{\%} \mathbf{M}$ | F | \% $\mathbf{F}$ | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| BOTHA-BOTHE | 1,463 | 5 | 1,434 | 6 | 2,897 |
| LERIBE | 3,781 | 14 | 3,582 | 15 | 7,363 |
| BEREA | 3,148 | 12 | 2,849 | 12 | 5,997 |
| MASERU | 5,974 | 22 | 5,535 | 23 | 11,509 |
| MAFETENG | 2,672 | 10 | 2,435 | 10 | 5,107 |
| MOHALE'S HOEK | 2,665 | 10 | 2,351 | 10 | 5,016 |
| QUTHING | 1,679 | 6 | 1,435 | 6 | 3,114 |
| QACHA'S NEK | 1,120 | 4 | 990 | 4 | 2,110 |
| MOKHOTLONG | 1,871 | 7 | 1,656 | 7 | 3,527 |
| THABA-TSEKA | 2,451 | 9 | 2,210 | 9 | 4,661 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 6 , 8 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 , 4 7 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 , 3 0 1}$ |

### 3.1.1.2 Registered Primary Schools Apparent Intake Rates (AIR) and Net Intake Rates (NIR)

The Apparent Intake Rates (AIR) and Net Intake Rates (NIR) for Lesotho from 2000 to 2014 are shown in Table 3.9. The Apparent and Net intake rates indicate accessibility of new entrants of a particular entering age for a particular grade, out of all children of admission age at the corresponding grade, which is age 6 in Lesotho for primary schools. The two ratios are essential to policy-makers and planners because they specify the degree of accessibility of primary school education. AIR is a crude measure because it considers all new entrants irrespective of age while NIR accounts for official entrance age for new entrants.

The Apparent Intake Rate (AIR) was highest in the year 2000 ( 200.9 percent) as seen in Table 3.9, and in the following years it decreased continuously until 2008 then remained steady at 102.2 from 2009 to 2011 .The year 2000 recorded a massive AIR due to the commencement of free primary education which resulted in high enrolment and as a result of the introduction of compulsory education in primary in 2012. AIR continued to be high; however, it fell to 94 in 2013. Sex comparison in AIR indicates that historically more males had access to primary education than females.

Net Intake Rate (NIR) on the other hand, has been unstable over the past years to date ranging between 53.3 and 64.1 percent. Unlike AIR which was in favour of males than females, NIR demonstrates that girls of primary school going age had more access than boys to primary education for the majority of the years from 2000 to 2014.

Table 3.9: Registered Primary Schools Apparent and Net Intake Rates and Gender Parity Indices by Sex, 2000-2014

| Year | Apparent Intake Rates |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { GPI } \\ & \text { (AIR) } \end{aligned}$ | Net Intake Rates (NIR) |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { GPI } \\ & \text { (NIR) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Males | Females | Total |  | Males | Females | Total |  |
| 2000 | 210.9 | 190.8 | 200.9 | 0.9 | 63.2 | 65.1 | 64.1 | 1.03 |
| 2001 | 150.0 | 134.0 | 142.1 | 0.89 | 61.7 | 62.8 | 62.2 | 1.02 |
| 2002 | 129.2 | 121.0 | 125.1 | 0.94 | 60.2 | 62.5 | 61.3 | 1.04 |
| 2003 | 124.9 | 118.0 | 121.5 | 0.94 | 61.3 | 63 | 62.1 | 1.03 |
| 2004 | 132.5 | 120.7 | 126.6 | 0.91 | 55.4 | 56.2 | 55.8 | 1.01 |
| 2005 | 117.0 | 110.1 | 113.6 | 0.94 | 53.6 | 54.1 | 54.1 | 1.01 |
| 2006 | 118.0 | 111.2 | 114.6 | 0.94 | 55.9 | 57.9 | 56.9 | 1.04 |
| 2007 | 111.5 | 105.1 | 108.3 | 0.94 | 54.7 | 55 | 54.9 | 1.01 |
| 2008 | 106.1 | 102.7 | 104.4 | 0.97 | 54.8 | 56.5 | 55.6 | 1.03 |
| 2009 | 105.5 | 98.8 | 102.2 | 0.94 | 55.4 | 54.7 | 55 | 0.99 |
| 2010 | 106.1 | 98.2 | 102.2 | 0.93 | 60.8 | 59.1 | 60 | 0.97 |
| 2011 | 105.1 | 99.4 | 102.2 | 0.95 | 58 | 59.1 | 58.5 | 0.99 |
| 2012 | 103.3 | 97.3 | 100.4 | 0.94 | 56.9 | 57.7 | 57.3 | 1.01 |
| 2013 | 97.1 | 90.8 | 94 | 0.94 | 53.9 | 52.8 | 53.3 | 0.98 |
| 2014 | 99.4 | 92.6 | 96.1 | 0.93 | 55.3 | 54.7 | 55 | 0.99 |

### 3.1.2 Gender Parity Index in Registered Primary Schools

Gender parity index measures equity between boys and girls at school level which is primary school level in this case. A value of more than one (1) indicates more females than males in the selected age, while a value below one (1) indicates more males than females while a one (1) signifies enrolment equity between males and females. The GPI associated with AIR in Table 3.9 above reveals that generally, more males than females had access to primary education.

However, when the appropriate school going age is being considered, NIR indicates that for the most of the years under review, there were more females than males that had access to primary schooling; this is consistent with the fact that some males attend school at older ages as they become herd boys before they can start schooling especially in the mountainous districts. Even though there were differences between AIR and NIR, GPI has been around one (1), which means that the gender parity gap for admission into primary schools was slightly low over the years from 2000 to 2014.

### 3.1.3 Coverage of Participation in Primary Education

Gross Enrolment Ratio (GER) is defined as enrolment in a specified level of education regardless of age expressed as a percentage of the total official age population for that level. This indicator is used to demonstrate the general level of participation in a particular level of education. It is also used to signify the degree to which over-aged and under-aged children enroll in primary school in this situation. A high GER shows that, there is a high degree of participation. Therefore, a GER of 100 percent signifies that a country is able to accommodate all of its school-age population into school but this is not an easy task for a country to achieve.

The overall coverage of participation of eligible population in the education system is usually indicated by Net Enrolment Rate (NER) therefore a high value of NER indicates a high degree of participation of the official school-age population.

As a result, Table 3.10 shows a slow fall in GER from 2007 to 2014 , which reflects a decrease in the degree of participation, whereas NER has been largely fluctuating between 77.3 and 85.0 percent during the same period.

Sex comparison reveals that from 2007 to 2014 GER for males was higher than that of females while the opposite was observed in the preceding period, that is, from 2000 to 2006. On the other hand, NER for females reflected a total dominance over that of males reaching a peak in 2003 with 88.1 percent during the period under review.

Provision of quality basic education is one of the strategic goals of Ministry of Education and Training and in order to achieve this, the Ministry set itself targets; one such is the reduction of the pupil-teacher ratio from 46 pupils to 1 teacher in 2003 to $41: 1$ in 2007 and $40: 1$ by the year 2015. It is evident that these targets have already been attained. For instance, in 2007, the ratio was 37 pupils to one teacher which was clearly above the Ministry's target. The ratio further dropped to 34 pupils to one teacher from 2009 to 2012 and then 33 pupils in 2013 to 2014.

Table 3.10: Registered Primary Schools Gross and Net Enrolment Rates and Pupils Teacher Ratios, 2000-2014

| Year | Gross <br> Enrolment |  |  |  |  | Net <br> Enrolment |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Males | Females | GPI | Total | Males | Females | GPI | Total | PTR |
| 2000 | 118.1 | 122.6 | 1.04 | 120.3 | 78.7 | 85.3 | 1.08 | 82 | 48 |
| 2001 | 120.6 | 123.2 | 1.02 | 121.9 | 79.5 | 85.4 | 1.07 | 82.7 | 47 |
| 2002 | 122.7 | 124.9 | 1.02 | 123.8 | 81.1 | 87 | 1.07 | 84 | 47 |
| 2003 | 123.8 | 125.9 | 1.02 | 124.9 | 82 | 88.1 | 1.07 | 85 | 46 |
| 2004 | 126.2 | 127 | 1.01 | 126.6 | 81 | 86 | 1.06 | 83 | 44 |
| 2005 | 126 | 126.3 | 1.00 | 126.1 | 80.6 | 85.7 | 1.06 | 83.1 | 42 |
| 2006 | 127.3 | 127.5 | 1.00 | 127.4 | 81.6 | 86.3 | 1.06 | 83.9 | 41 |
| 2007 | 120.8 | 120.2 | 1.00 | 120.5 | 79.5 | 83.4 | 1.05 | 81.4 | 37 |
| 2008 | 119.3 | 118.6 | 0.99 | 119 | 79.9 | 84.1 | 1.05 | 82 | 35 |
| 2009 | 116.2 | 116.2 | 1.00 | 116.2 | 78.6 | 83.2 | 1.06 | 80.9 | 34 |
| 2010 | 116.2 | 113.9 | 0.98 | 115.1 | 80.1 | 83.5 | 1.04 | 81.8 | 34 |
| 2011 | 114.6 | 111.3 | 0.97 | 113 | 80.2 | 83.1 | 1.04 | 81.6 | 34 |
| 2012 | 111.6 | 108.8 | 0.97 | 110.2 | 79.6 | 82.6 | 1.04 | 81.1 | 34 |
| 2013 | 105.8 | 103.9 | 0.98 | 104.9 | 75.6 | 79 | 1.04 | 77.3 | 33 |
| 2014 | 103.4 | 101.1 | 0.98 | 102.3 | 75.1 | 78.2 | 1.04 | 76.6 | 33 |

Table 3.11 summarizes pupil teacher ratios (PTR) for 2014 by districts. It is observed from the table that the pupil teacher ratios for registered primary schools ranged from 29 in Botha-Bothe to 39 pupils per teacher in Mokhotlong.

Table 3.11: Pupils Enrolled in Registered Primary Schools by District, 2014

| District | Enrolment |  |  | Teachers |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { PTR } \\ \text { Total } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | F | Total | M |  | F |  | Total |  |
| BOTHA-BOTHE | 11436 | 10823 | 22259 |  | 170 |  | 590 | 760 | 29 |
| LERIBE | 28910 | 27005 | 55915 |  | 368 |  | 1,378 | 1,746 | 32 |
| BEREA | 23265 | 21336 | 44601 |  | 294 |  | 1,055 | 1,349 | 33 |
| MASERU | 42407 | 40080 | 82487 |  | 544 |  | 1,822 | 2,366 | 35 |
| MAFETENG | 19296 | 17806 | 37102 |  | 334 |  | 887 | 1,221 | 30 |
| MOHALE'S HOEK | 16333 | 16010 | 32343 |  | 274 |  | 800 | 1,074 | 30 |
| QUTHING | 11228 | 10846 | 22074 |  | 173 |  | 541 | 714 | 31 |
| QACHA'S NEK | 7870 | 7510 | 15380 |  | 136 |  | 387 | 523 | 29 |
| MOKHOTLONG | 10997 | 11886 | 22883 |  | 168 |  | 420 | 588 | 39 |
| THABA-TSEKA | 14864 | 16140 | 31004 |  | 256 |  | 570 | 826 | 38 |
| Total | 186,606 | 179,442 | 366,048 |  | 2,717 |  | 8,450 | 11,167 | 33 |

### 3.2 Disability in Registered Primary Schools

Out of the total enrolment of 366,048 in registered primary schools in 2014, about 20,357 were the pupils with the special educational needs. This implies that 5.6 percent of them had some form of disability in 2014.

Table 3.12 below shows enrolment of pupils with disability in registered primary schools by type of disability, grade and sex in 2014. The table shows that generally there were more boys than girls with special educational needs even in this level of education in all the grades except for Grade 7 which had slightly more girls than boys that had some form of disability. For instance, in Grade 1, 2 and 3 there were $63.5 ; 60.1$ and 62.3 percent of males that respectively had some form of disability.

The table further shows that intellectual disability accounted for 54.0 of the pupils with disability. Then, followed the percentages for visual and hearing impairment with 19.6 and 12.2 percent respectively. It should be noted that intellectual disability includes forms of learning difficulty, epilepsy and mental retardation.

Table 3.12: Enrolment of Pupils with Special Educational Needs in registered Primary by type of disability, Grade, 2014

| Disability Type | Grade 1 |  | Grade 2 |  | Grade 3 |  | Grade 4 |  | Grade 5 |  | Grade 6 |  | Grade 7 |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F |  |
| PD-Physical Disability | 140 | 75 | 95 | 76 | 114 | 82 | 135 | 88 | 83 | 83 | 70 | 68 | 64 | 73 | 1,246 |
| VI-Visual Impairment | 225 | 129 | 230 | 200 | 285 | 220 | 332 | 271 | 405 | 328 | 374 | 346 | 283 | 354 | 3,982 |
| HI-Hearing Impairment | 125 | 99 | 126 | 117 | 183 | 160 | 236 | 218 | 240 | 268 | 162 | 218 | 152 | 188 | 2,492 |
| ID-Intellectual Disability | 696 | 378 | 941 | 553 | 1168 | 617 | 1511 | 849 | 1083 | 675 | 828 | 617 | 578 | 496 | 10,990 |
| Other | 172 | 99 | 180 | 98 | 161 | 77 | 171 | 93 | 159 | 72 | 100 | 83 | 106 | 76 | 1,647 |
| Total | 1358 | 780 | 1572 | 1044 | 1911 | 1156 | 2385 | 1519 | 1970 | 1426 | 1,534 | 1,332 | 1,183 | 1,187 | 20,357 |

Table 3.13 below shows enrolment of pupils with special educational needs by age and sex from grade 1 to 7 . There were more learners with disability around the ages of 9,10 and 11 as well as in grades 3,4 and 5 . Of the 20,357 pupils with disability, 19.0 percent were in grade 4 while the least number of learners with special needs were in grade 1.

Table 3.13: Enrolment of Pupils with Special Needs in Registered Primary Schools by Age, Grade and Sex, 2014

| AGE | Grade 1 |  | Grade 2 |  | Grade 3 |  | Grade 4 |  | Grade 5 |  | Grade 6 |  | Grade 7 |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F |  |
| <6 | 60 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 95 |
| 6 | 637 | 358 | 57 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1100 |
| 7 | 361 | 224 | 591 | 436 | 50 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1690 |
| 8 | 138 | 80 | 461 | 305 | 476 | 361 | 35 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1883 |
| 9 | 50 | 36 | 249 | 108 | 512 | 353 | 378 | 345 | 26 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2093 |
| 10 | 47 | 20 | 106 | 63 | 399 | 202 | 511 | 391 | 211 | 274 | 24 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 2283 |
| 11 | 24 | 12 | 47 | 29 | 201 | 86 | 453 | 257 | 305 | 269 | 156 | 177 | 15 | 25 | 2056 |
| 12 | 13 | 7 | 27 | 18 | 120 | 50 | 345 | 152 | 339 | 254 | 216 | 266 | 199 | 199 | 2094 |
| 13 | 8 | 3 | 21 | 18 | 68 | 35 | 270 | 144 | 333 | 197 | 268 | 233 | 152 | 199 | 1949 |
| 14 | 14 | 0 | 5 | 10 | 43 | 16 | 197 | 89 | 334 | 163 | 246 | 205 | 199 | 231 | 1752 |
| 15 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 4 | 13 | 9 | 105 | 54 | 210 | 91 | 251 | 172 | 211 | 182 | 1311 |
| 16 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 8 | 44 | 25 | 125 | 82 | 186 | 92 | 186 | 164 | 926 |
| 17 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 28 | 13 | 45 | 32 | 103 | 57 | 151 | 84 | 526 |
| 18 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 7 | 6 | 15 | 15 | 33 | 44 | 76 | 48 | 253 |
| 19 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 11 | 7 | 27 | 20 | 41 | 23 | 148 |
| 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 8 | 4 | 7 | 14 | 23 | 12 | 76 |
| >20 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 2 | 25 | 17 | 30 | 23 | 122 |
| Total | 1358 | 780 | 1572 | 1044 | 1911 | 1156 | 2385 | 1519 | 1970 | 1426 | 1534 | 1332 | 1183 | 1187 | 20357 |

### 3.3 Orphan-hood in Registered Primary Schools

Out of the total enrolment of 366,048 in 2014, 110,825 pupils enrolled in registered primary schools had either lost one of or both parents through death. Some children were deemed social orphans because of the severity of their vulnerability due to severe poverty and abuse although they had parent(s).

Table 3.14 shows enrolment of orphans in registered primary schools by type of orphanhood, grade and sex. It is observed from the table that the percentage of paternal orphans was leading even in this level of education as it constituted 52.0 percent of all the orphans registered in registered primary schools; then followed the percentage for double orphans with 19.5. Maternal and social orphans accounted for 18.7 and 9.8 percent of the orphans in registered primary schools. The table further shows that majority of orphans were males in all the grades except in Grade 6 and 7.

Table 3.14: Enrolment of Orphans in Registered Primary Schools by Type grade and Sex, 2014

| Type | GRADE 1 |  | GRADE 2 |  | GRADE 3 |  | GRADE 4 |  | GRADE 5 |  | GRADE 6 |  | GRADE 7 |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F |  |
| Paternal | 3058 | 2515 | 3394 | 2987 | 4406 | 3732 | 5592 | 4769 | 4839 | 4747 | 4088 | 4791 | 3862 | 4892 | 57672 |
| Maternal | 931 | 856 | 1170 | 975 | 1540 | 1320 | 2087 | 1771 | 1834 | 1658 | 1578 | 1769 | 1442 | 1750 | 20681 |
| Double | 635 | 532 | 889 | 715 | 1316 | 1024 | 2092 | 1610 | 2161 | 1911 | 2035 | 2212 | 1974 | 2503 | 21609 |
| Socials | 954 | 806 | 948 | 852 | 887 | 815 | 907 | 866 | 700 | 766 | 551 | 671 | 474 | 666 | 10863 |
| Total | 5578 | 4709 | 6401 | 5529 | 8149 | 6891 | 10678 | 9016 | 9534 | 9082 | 8252 | 9443 | 7752 | 9811 | 110825 |

Table 3.15 presents enrolment of orphans in registered primary schools by age, sex and grade. It is observed from the table that there were more male orphans in the lower grades ( 1 to 4), than female orphans while the number of female orphans outnumbered that of males in the higher grades. It is also shown that there was a minimum number of orphans in the lower grades and more orphans in the upper grades. This has been an observation even in the previous five years.

Table 3.15: Orphans in Registered Primary Schools by Age, Grade and Sex, 2014

| AGE | Grade 1 |  | Grade 2 |  | Grade 3 |  | Grade 4 |  | Grade 5 |  | Grade 6 |  | Grade 7 |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F |  |
| <6 | 314 | 279 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 593 |
| 6 | 2900 | 2688 | 158 | 207 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5968 |
| 7 | 1466 | 1237 | 2456 | 2537 | 181 | 216 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8085 |
| 8 | 513 | 353 | 1985 | 1701 | 2015 | 2362 | 167 | 307 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9414 |
| 9 | 211 | 97 | 1019 | 708 | 2349 | 2302 | 1645 | 2203 | 101 | 204 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10844 |
| 10 | 95 | 37 | 430 | 245 | 1738 | 1144 | 2572 | 2757 | 1187 | 1825 | 130 | 255 | 0 | 0 | 12402 |
| 11 | 31 | 9 | 162 | 83 | 922 | 491 | 2205 | 1702 | 1651 | 2429 | 896 | 1748 | 139 | 255 | 12714 |
| 12 | 20 | 7 | 101 | 25 | 485 | 223 | 1764 | 1002 | 2000 | 1861 | 1388 | 2284 | 755 | 1723 | 13638 |
| 13 | 11 | 0 | 47 | 13 | 253 | 82 | 1107 | 521 | 1761 | 1311 | 1706 | 1957 | 1253 | 2256 | 12278 |
| 14 | 9 | 0 | 28 | 6 | 134 | 42 | 797 | 312 | 1497 | 812 | 1678 | 1594 | 1709 | 2270 | 10888 |
| 15 | 3 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 38 | 14 | 275 | 130 | 787 | 381 | 1240 | 883 | 1560 | 1705 | 7026 |
| 16 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 18 | 8 | 96 | 56 | 355 | 177 | 721 | 418 | 1148 | 910 | 3914 |
| 17 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 35 | 11 | 128 | 53 | 320 | 193 | 718 | 466 | 1934 |
| 18 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 36 | 19 | 107 | 64 | 299 | 151 | 698 |
| 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 19 | 6 | 45 | 22 | 100 | 47 | 252 |
| 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 10 | 10 | 42 | 9 | 91 |
| >20 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 11 | 15 | 31 | 16 | 86 |
| Total | 5578 | 4709 | 6401 | 5529 | 8149 | 6891 | 10678 | 9016 | 9534 | 9082 | 8252 | 9443 | 7752 | 9811 | 110825 |

Figure 3.2 presents the percentage distribution of orphans in registered primary schools by age and sex in 2014. It is observed from the table that majority of orphans were males in all the ages it ranges from 65.5 percent to 72.8 percent in age 17 years. Although the highest percentage is 77.1 ; it has not been much considered as the definition of orphaned children considers children from zero to 17 years only.

The figure further depicts that the percentage of orphans among males was 68.0 in ages less than 6 years; it then gradually dropped to 65.7 percent in age 12 and then increased afterwards.

Figure 3.2: Percentage Distribution of Orphans by Age and Sex, 2014


### 3.4 Inputs for Primary Education

The Ministry of Education and Training values inputs to primary education as key to shifting this level to the higher step. To ensure the support to primary education, the Ministry's huge responsibility comprises of provision of appropriate buildings, qualified teachers, sufficient facilities and education materials to increase the accessibility of schools and achieve sustainable enrolment gains at this level of education.

### 3.4.1 Primary Schools

During the past century, the majority of the schools in the country were belonging to the churches and community. Nowadays, with the Ministry's huge responsibility there are more schools owned by Government and those that are privately owned. Despite the fact that provision of quality education is a joint responsibility between agencies, the government is hugely responsible for the payment of teachers and provision of financial support for most of these registered schools belonging to churches, the community and government itself.

Figure 3.3 presents the percentage share of registered primary schools and agencies in 2014. It is observed from the figure that RCM had the majority of the registered primary schools with 34 percent. This percentage was followed by the one for LEC with 32. ACL and the Government were the third and fourth highest with 12 and percent respectively.

Figure 3.3: Percentage Share of Registered Primary Schools and Agency, 2014
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Table 3.17 shows the registered primary school enrolment, number of schools, number of teachers and percentage change in enrolment from 2005 to 2014. The table demonstrates that primary school enrolment increased from 422,278 in 2005 to 424,855 in 2006. But thereafter enrolment decreased to 366,048 in 2014. The table further indicates that the total number of primary schools increased continuously from 1,419 in 2005 to 1,479 in 2009. The number of schools fluctuated until it stood at 1,477 in 2014. It should be noted that these are the schools that have responded and they were operational during data collection period for these ten years. Some of the schools that were not operational were the schools that were not officially shut down or closed but rather none-operational because they had no students enrolled in the first and the second quarters of school calendar during data collection.

It should be noted that reduced number of schools may not necessarily mean reduction of registered schools, but since Government has been building schools in the remote and hard to walk by children to reduce long walking- distances by children to schools; and so children leave their old schools which are further off to attend new government schools, which are also better equipped with facilities and teaching staff, which leaves old schools with little or no children.

Physical access to schools in the mountainous and remote areas where average walking time is over one hour is a major challenge particularly for children from food insecure households. Evidence provided by WFP baseline survey in 2004 indicated that the rations provided at the schools were often the only full meals children have during the day, especially during lean periods and in years with bad harvest.

Table 3.16 furthermore shows that number of orphans enrolled in primary school level have been high as it was constituting 30.3 percent of the total enrolment in 2014. Evidently, free primary education has facilitated access to education for many orphans at this level of education.

It is further shown that the number of pupils with special educational needs in registered primary schools has been higher over the years under observation, however lower than the one for orphans. For instance, this group of pupils accounted for 5.6 percent in 2014.

Table 3.16: Registered Primary School Enrolment, Number of Schools, Number of Teachers and Percentage Change in Enrolment, 2005-2014

| Indicators | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Enrolment <br> \% Change <br> in | 422278 | 424855 | 400934 | 396041 | 389424 | 388681 | 385437 | 381690 | 369469 | 366048 |
| Enrolment <br> Number of <br> schools | -1.1 | 0.6 | -5.6 | -1.2 | -1.7 | -0.2 | -0.8 | -1 | -3.2 | -0.9 |
| Number of <br> teachers | 1419 | 1455 | 1455 | 1472 | 1479 | 1473 | 1468 | 1469 | 1472 | 1477 |
| Number of <br> Orphans <br> Number of <br> Disabled | 122769 | 128257 | 111335 | 121175 | 121155 | 120463 | 116558 | 122178 | 115379 | 110825 |

Table 3.17 presents the distribution of schools by district and ecological zones. As a result, it is seen from the table that within the Lowlands, Maseru (154) had the highest number of schools; it was followed by Leribe with 134 and then Mafeteng with 121 while Berea had 113 registered primary schools in the Lowlands. It is also observed that from Quthing to Thaba-Tseka there were no Lowlands or no schools in the Lowlands. Berea and Mafeteng had only one school each

For the Foothills, majority of schools were also in Maseru (63); it was followed by Mafeteng with 36 schools; Leribe with 33; Botha-Bothe with 30 and lastly Berea with 25 schools; whereas; the rest of the districts had no Foothills or no schools in that Ecological zone.

Berea and Mafeteng were reported to have only one school each in the Mountains while Botha-Bothe had 12 schools in the same Ecological zone. There were only three districts with schools in the Senqu River Valley; namely; Mohale's hoek with 80; Guthing with 90 and Qacha's Nek with 65 schools.

Table 3.17: Registered Primary Schools by District and Ecological Zones, 2014

| District | LOWLANDS | FOOTHILLS | MOUNTAIN | S R V | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| BOTHA-BOTHE | 40 | 30 | 12 | 0 | 82 |
| LERIBE | 134 | 33 | 32 | 0 | 199 |
| BEREA | 113 | 25 | 1 | 0 | 139 |
| MASERU | 154 | 63 | 35 | 0 | 252 |
| MAFETENG | 121 | 36 | 1 | 0 | 158 |
| MOHALE'S HOEK | 41 | 0 | 50 | 80 | 171 |
| QUTHING | 0 | 0 | 36 | 90 | 126 |
| QACHA'S NEK | 0 | 0 | 38 | 65 | 103 |
| MOKHOTLONG | 0 | 0 | 106 | 0 | 106 |
| THABA-TSEKA | 0 | 0 | 141 | 0 | 141 |
| Total | $\mathbf{6 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 4 7 7}$ |

Table 3.18 presents the number and percentage distribution of registered public and private schools by district. It is shown from the table that majority of registered primary schools were public, while private schools fewer in number. In general out of 1,477 schools 99.0 percent were public in 2014. As usual Maseru accounted for more schools ( 17.1 percent); it was followed by Leribe with 13.5; Mohale’s Hoek with 11.6 percent. Mafeteng and Berea followed respectively with 10.7 and 9.4 percent.

Table 3.18: Number and Percentage Distribution of Registered Public and Private Schools by District, 2014

| District | PUBLIC |  | PRIVATE |  | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NO. OF SCHOOLS | PERCENT | NO. OF SCHOOLS | PERCENT |  |
| Botha-Bothe | 81 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 82 |
| Leribe | 195 | 13 | 4 | 22 | 199 |
| Berea | 136 | 9 | 3 | 17 | 139 |
| Maseru | 245 | 17 | 7 | 39 | 252 |
| Mafeteng | 155 | 11 | 3 | 17 | 158 |
| Mohale's Hoek | 171 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 171 |
| Quthing | 126 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 126 |
| Qacha's Nek | 103 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 103 |
| Mokhotlong | 106 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 106 |
| Thaba-Tseka | 141 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 141 |
| Total | 1,459 | 100 | 18 | 100 | 1,477 |

Table 3.19 displays the number and percentage distribution of registered primary schools by residence and district in 2014 . It is shown in the table that 89.6 percent of the registered primary schools were in the rural areas.

In the urban areas, Maseru constituted the highest percentage estimated at 41.0 while in the rural areas Maseru and Leribe accounted for about 14 percent each district. Mokhotlong and Qacha's Nek also had equal percentages of registered primary school in the rural areas in 2014.

Table 3.19: Number and Percentage Distribution of Registered Primary Schools by Residence, and District, 2014

| Residence | Urban | Rural |  | Total |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| District | No. of <br> Schools | Percent | No. of <br> Schools | Percent |  |
| BOTHA-BOTHE | 14 | 9.0 | 68 | 5.0 | 82 |
| LERIBE | 20 | 13.0 | 179 | 14.0 | 199 |
| BEREA | 10 | 7.0 | 129 | 10.0 | 139 |
| MASERU | 62 | 41.0 | 190 | 14.0 | 252 |
| MAFETENG | 9 | 6.0 | 149 | 11.0 | 158 |
| MOHALE'S HOEK | 4 | 3.0 | 167 | 13.0 | 171 |
| QUTHING | 9 | 6.0 | 117 | 9.0 | 126 |
| QACHA'S NEK | 9 | 6.0 | 94 | 7.0 | 103 |
| MOKHOTLONG | 11 | 7.0 | 95 | 7.0 | 106 |
| THABA-TSEKA | 5 | 3.0 | 136 | 10.0 | 141 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 5 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 3 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 4 7 7}$ |

### 3.4.2 Teachers in Registered Primary Schools

This sub-section focuses mainly on teachers and whether they were qualified or not. It further compares them by district and pupil to teacher ratio by district and sex. Out of the total number of 11,167 primary school teachers in $2014,75.8$ percent were qualified. However, it is observed that these qualified teachers were not willing to teach in the mountainous districts such as Mokhotlong and Thaba-Tseka. It is further observed that generally there were more pupils per qualified primary school teacher than primary school pupils per teacher irrespective of the qualifications. Thus one qualified teacher was to teach 43 primary school pupils, whereas, there were 33 primary school pupils who were supposed to be taught by one teacher irrespective of the teacher's qualification.

The ratios for the qualified teachers were highest in Thaba-Tseka (63) and Mokhotlong with 53 pupils per qualified teacher; then followed Quthing and Gacha's Nek respectively with 48 and 47 pupils per qualified teacher. Even though the ratios for all teachers were high also in Mokhotlong and Thaba-Tseka they were lower than the ones for the qualified teachers as they were estimated at 39 and 38 respectively in Mokhotlong and Thaba-Tseka.

The table further shows that the female teachers outnumbered their male counterparts as they constituted 67.0 percent among unqualified teachers and 78.5 percent among qualified teachers.

Table 3.20: Enrolment, Number of Teachers and Pupil to Teacher Ratios in Registered Primary Schools by District and Sex, 2014

| District | Enrolment |  |  | Total Teachers |  |  | Gualified Teachers |  |  | Unqualified Teachers |  |  | Pupil qualified)Teacher RatiosPTR $\quad$ PGTR |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | F | Total | M | F | Total | M | F | Total | M | F | Total |  |  |
| Botha-Bothe | 11436 | 10823 | 22259 | 170 | 590 | 760 | 134 | 473 | 607 | 36 | 117 | 153 | 29 | 37 |
| Leribe | 28910 | 27005 | 55915 | 368 | 1,378 | 1,746 | 271 | 1198 | 1469 | 97 | 180 | 277 | 32 | 38 |
| Berea | 23265 | 21336 | 44601 | 294 | 1,055 | 1,349 | 204 | 843 | 1047 | 90 | 212 | 302 | 33 | 43 |
| Maseru | 42407 | 40080 | 82487 | 544 | 1,822 | 2,366 | 411 | 1522 | 1933 | 133 | 300 | 433 | 35 | 43 |
| Mafeteng | 19296 | 17806 | 37102 | 334 | 887 | 1,221 | 243 | 674 | 917 | 91 | 213 | 304 | 30 | 40 |
| Mohale's Hoek | 16333 | 16010 | 32343 | 274 | 800 | 1,074 | 163 | 612 | 775 | 111 | 188 | 299 | 30 | 42 |
| Quthing | 11228 | 10846 | 22074 | 173 | 541 | 714 | 86 | 378 | 464 | 87 | 163 | 250 | 31 | 48 |
| Qacha's Nek | 7870 | 7510 | 15380 | 136 | 387 | 523 | 78 | 247 | 325 | 58 | 140 | 198 | 29 | 47 |
| Mokhotlong | 10997 | 11886 | 22883 | 168 | 420 | 588 | 97 | 334 | 431 | 71 | 86 | 157 | 39 | 53 |
| Thaba-Tseka | 14864 | 16140 | 31004 | 256 | 570 | 826 | 132 | 363 | 495 | 124 | 207 | 331 | 38 | 63 |
| Total | 186606 | 179442 | 366048 | 2,717 | 8,450 | 11,167 | 1819 | 6644 | 8463 | 898 | 1806 | 2704 | 33 | 43 |

Table 3.21 below shows the number of teachers in registered primary schools by rank, agency and sex in 2014 . Out of the total 11,167 teachers, 50.2 occupied the rank of Teacher; 11.8 percent were Associate Teachers; 11.7 were Teacher Assistants (lc); while Senior Teacher and Teacher Assistants (1a) accounted for 11.2 percent each. The table further shows that out of all teachers in registered primary schools, 32.6 percent were in LEC schools; 32.4 were in RCC schools; while $11 . .2$ percent were from Government and about 5.0 percent were in Community schools.

Table 3.21: Number of Teachers in Registered Primary Schools by Rank, Agency and Sex, 2014

| RANK | GOVERNMENT |  |  | COMMUNITY |  |  | LEC |  |  | RCC |  |  | ACL |  |  | METHODIST |  |  | OTHER |  |  | PRIVATE |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | F | Total | M | F | Total | M | F | Total | M | F | Total | M | F | Total | M | F | Total | M | F | Total | M | F | Total |  |
| 1a-Teacher Assistant | 38 | 54 | 92 | 7 | 26 | 33 | 131 | 311 | 442 | 147 | 302 | 449 | 31 | 113 | 144 | 4 | 15 | 19 | 14 | 39 | 53 | 6 | 12 | 18 | 1250 |
| 1b-Teacher Assistant | 40 | 68 | 108 | 11 | 25 | 36 | 175 | 303 | 478 | 159 | 263 | 422 | 57 | 94 | 151 | 8 | 12 | 20 | 8 | 52 | 60 | 11 | 22 | 33 | 1308 |
| 1c-Teacher Assistant | 3 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 14 | 20 | 7 | 7 | 14 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 12 | 22 | 5 | 6 | 11 | 79 |
| 1d-Teacher Assistant | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 7 | 9 | 1 | 12 | 13 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 35 |
| 1e-Teacher Assistant | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 9 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 28 |
| 1f-Teacher Assistant | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 2-Associate Teacher | 29 | 58 | 87 | 10 | 53 | 63 | 58 | 379 | 437 | 63 | 436 | 499 | 19 | 142 | 161 | 2 | 15 | 17 | 4 | 33 | 37 | 1 | 21 | 22 | 1323 |
| 3-Teacher | 225 | 530 | 755 | 70 | 207 | 277 | 407 | 1392 | 1799 | 417 | 1365 | 1782 | 124 | 498 | 622 | 11 | 44 | 55 | 44 | 186 | 230 | 19 | 62 | 81 | 5601 |
| 4-Senior Teacher | 27 | 137 | 164 | 16 | 93 | 109 | 81 | 305 | 386 | 92 | 267 | 359 | 33 | 125 | 158 | 5 | 11 | 16 | 3 | 46 | 49 | 2 | 15 | 17 | 1258 |
| 5-Assistant Specialist Teacher | 8 | 26 | 34 | 3 | 21 | 24 | 8 | 42 | 50 | 15 | 40 | 55 | 12 | 29 | 41 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 16 | 17 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 224 |
| 6-Specialist Teacher | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 10 | 11 | 3 | 13 | 16 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 40 |
| 7-Senior Specialist Teacher | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 17 |
| Total | 371 | 882 | 1253 | 120 | 433 | 553 | 869 | 2774 | 3643 | 909 | 2713 | 3622 | 280 | 1012 | 1292 | 31 | 102 | 133 | 90 | 390 | 480 | 47 | 144 | 191 | 11167 |

The distribution of teachers in registered primary schools by district, agency and sex is illustrated in Table 3.22 . From the table, it is learned that Maseru district accounted for 19.6 percent of the teachers in Government schools; 19.4 percent on teachers in LEC schools; and 20.0 percent in RCM schools, whereas, in ACL and Methodist schools majority of teachers were teaching in Leribe and Mafeteng with 22.4 and 20.3 percent respectively.

Table 3.22: Teachers in Registered Primary Schools by District, Agency and Sex, 2014


### 3.5 Efficiency and Guality of Education

The term 'efficiency' is derived from economic theory; it is defined as the optimal relationship between inputs and outputs. An activity is said to be carried out efficiently when a given quantity of output is obtained with a minimum amount of inputs or when a given quantity of inputs produces maximal output. The term applies to all types of planned behavior geared towards defined objectives hence its adoption by planners in the field of education.

The pupil-year concept is a convenient, non-monetary way of measuring inputs. One pupil-year stands for all the resources used to keep one pupil in school for one academic year. Therefore, it represents one year's worth of education and accompanying expenditure. Two pupil years, for instance, represent the resources needed to keep one pupil in school for two years. If a pupil repeats a grade, he is getting only one year's worth education, but consuming two years' worth of expenditure. If it takes 6 years to qualify for a certain diploma, a pupil who has dropped out of school after only three years has used three years' worth of expenditure but failed to obtain the qualifying diploma. In the analysis of efficiency, repeaters and dropouts represent waste in the educational system.

In order to track the flow of pupils through an education system, at the beginning of the year it is necessary to ask a question such as: "What has become of the pupils enrolled in a particular grade the previous year?" There are three possible and mutually exclusive events: (a) Pupils may have been promoted to the next higher grade, (b) Pupils may have repeated the same grade, (c) Pupils may have dropped out (that is, left school entirely, emigrated to another school system or died).

Successful pupils might have gone through the cycle and graduated from the final year of the cycle. Promotion, repetition and dropout rates are the three likely paths of pupils' flow from grade to grade and they characterize the efficiency of the education system in producing graduates. These rates are, therefore, used for monitoring, evaluation and projection of the efficiency of pupil flow in an education system.

### 3.5.1 Repeaters in Registered Primary Schools

Table 3.23 shows enrolment of repeaters in registered primary schools by age, sex and grade in 2014. It is revealed that out of the total 366,048 pupils enrolled in registered primary schools in 2014, about 9.0 percent were repeaters. The table also illustrates that number of repeaters was highest in grade 4 and decreased with progression in grades. The least number of repeaters was observed in grade 3 followed by the lower grades 2 and 1. It is also portrayed that more boys repeated grades than girls in all grades except for grade 7; this has been the trend over the past few years (see the table below).

Table 3.23: Enrolment of Repeaters in Registered Primary Schools by Age, Grade and Sex, 2014

| Age | Grade 1 |  | Grade 2 |  | Grade 3 |  | Grade 4 |  | Grade 5 |  | Grade 6 |  | Grade 7 |  | Total |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F |  |
| <6 | 15 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 6 | 21 |
| 6 | 235 | 156 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 240 | 162 | 402 |
| 7 | 976 | 620 | 86 | 80 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1064 | 703 | 1767 |
| 8 | 507 | 292 | 359 | 242 | 69 | 50 | 7 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 942 | 603 | 1545 |
| 9 | 167 | 107 | 345 | 207 | 204 | 143 | 124 | 134 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 848 | 594 | 1442 |
| 10 | 79 | 29 | 201 | 99 | 311 | 163 | 724 | 666 | 89 | 89 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1404 | 1051 | 2455 |
| 11 | 33 | 13 | 103 | 29 | 239 | 88 | 1206 | 773 | 342 | 398 | 51 | 62 | 4 | 13 | 1978 | 1376 | 3354 |
| 12 | 18 | 5 | 56 | 24 | 175 | 49 | 1200 | 656 | 677 | 662 | 174 | 298 | 40 | 82 | 2340 | 1776 | 4116 |
| 13 | 4 | 1 | 30 | 9 | 94 | 30 | 976 | 383 | 944 | 670 | 418 | 539 | 111 | 330 | 2577 | 1962 | 4539 |
| 14 | 7 | 0 | 10 | 6 | 53 | 17 | 665 | 229 | 952 | 472 | 621 | 588 | 313 | 678 | 2621 | 1990 | 4611 |
| 15 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 7 | 6 | 246 | 92 | 628 | 289 | 664 | 484 | 429 | 687 | 1980 | 1561 | 3541 |
| 16 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 7 | 76 | 45 | 274 | 122 | 508 | 259 | 391 | 489 | 1259 | 926 | 2185 |
| 17 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 33 | 14 | 108 | 55 | 232 | 135 | 366 | 294 | 745 | 499 | 1244 |
| 18 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 27 | 24 | 98 | 60 | 192 | 97 | 323 | 182 | 505 |
| 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 17 | 7 | 27 | 16 | 66 | 36 | 114 | 65 | 179 |
| 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 7 | 10 | 29 | 15 | 41 | 30 | 71 |
| >20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 9 | 9 | 13 | 10 | 28 | 22 | 50 |
| Total | 2044 | 1229 | 1205 | 709 | 1165 | 557 | 5270 | 3023 | 4072 | 2794 | 2809 | 2465 | 1954 | 2731 | 18519 | 13508 | 32027 |

The analysis of repeaters by Sex, Ecological Zone, and District is shown in Table 3.24. It is revealed in the table that the Lowlands and Mountains had the higher number of primary school repeaters whereas the Foothills and SRV had the least number of repeaters. Maseru also had the highest number of repeaters represented by 22.0 percent, whereas Gacha's Nek constituted only 4.0 percent of repeaters.

Table 3.24: Enrolment of Repeaters in Registered Primary School by District, Zone and Sex, 2014

| DISTRICT | LOWLANDS |  |  | FOOTHILLS |  |  | MOUNTAIN |  |  | S. RIVER VALLEY Total |  |  | Total | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | F | Total | M | F | Total | M | F | Total | M | F | Total |  |  |
| BOTHA-BOTHE | 589 | 309 | 898 | 437 | 299 | 736 | 132 | 90 | 222 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1856 | 5.8 |
| LERIBE | 1770 | 1055 | 2825 | 321 | 219 | 540 | 300 | 232 | 532 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3897 | 12.2 |
| BEREA | 1550 | 1077 | 2627 | 425 | 316 | 741 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3372 | 10.5 |
| MASERU | 2932 | 1999 | 4931 | 755 | 572 | 1327 | 348 | 344 | 692 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6950 | 21.7 |
| MAFETENG | 1742 | 966 | 2708 | 456 | 353 | 809 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3517 | 11.0 |
| MOHALE'S HOEK | 654 | 397 | 1051 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 315 | 336 | 651 | 934 | 724 | 1658 | 3360 | 10.5 |
| QUTHING | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 342 | 366 | 708 | 856 | 550 | 1406 | 2114 | 6.6 |
| QACHA'S NEK | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 313 | 214 | 527 | 425 | 327 | 752 | 1279 | 4.0 |
| MOKHOTLONG | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1152 | 1063 | 2215 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2215 | 6.9 |
| THABA-TSEKA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1771 | 1696 | 3467 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3467 | 10.8 |
| Total | 9237 | 5803 | 15040 | 2394 | 1759 | 4153 | 4673 | 4345 | 9018 | 2215 | 1601 | 3816 | 32027 | 100.0 |

The repetition rates in Table 3.25 also exhibited a similar pattern to that of repeaters in that male repetition rates were higher than the rates for females. This has been the case over the years since 2008. This observation was consistent within the grades, although the repetition rates were higher in the lower grades and gradually declined in the upper grades. Moreover, the total repetition rates have also been steadily falling from the year 2008 (19.1) to 2013 (8.7).

Table 3.25: Repetition Rates in Registered Primary Schools by Grade and Sex, 2008-2013

| Grade | 2008 |  |  | 2009 |  |  | 2010 |  |  | 2011 |  |  | 2012 |  |  | 2013 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| 1 | 27.7 | 21.2 | 24.7 | 34.2 | 18.6 | 25.7 | 27.7 | 20 | 24.2 | 21.6 | 15.6 | 18.9 | 13.9 | 10.4 | 12.3 | 6.4 | 4.4 | 5.5 |
| 2 | 25.2 | 17.3 | 21.5 | 31.1 | 16 | 23.1 | 25.6 | 17.2 | 21.7 | 20 | 13.4 | 16.9 | 13.5 | 9 | 11.4 | 3.9 | 2.7 | 3.4 |
| 3 | 24.6 | 15.9 | 20.6 | 28.5 | 14.6 | 21.2 | 24.7 | 15.8 | 20.5 | 19.3 | 12.1 | 16 | 13.1 | 8.4 | 10.9 | 3.8 | 2.1 | 3.0 |
| 4 | 23.6 | 16.1 | 20 | 26.5 | 15.6 | 20.8 | 24.5 | 15.8 | 20.3 | 22.6 | 15.2 | 19.1 | 22.5 | 14.3 | 18.6 | 17.4 | 11.3 | 14.5 |
| 5 | 18.9 | 13.8 | 16.3 | 19.3 | 15.1 | 17.3 | 20.4 | 14.1 | 17.2 | 19.3 | 13.6 | 16.4 | 17.5 | 12.7 | 15.1 | 16.1 | 10.9 | 13.5 |
| 6 | 14.8 | 12 | 13.3 | 13.7 | 13.8 | 13.8 | 15.7 | 12 | 13.7 | 15.3 | 12.1 | 13.6 | 13.9 | 10.9 | 12.3 | 12.9 | 10.1 | 11.4 |
| 7 | 12.3 | 13.6 | 13 | 10.3 | 18.2 | 13.7 | 13.7 | 13.2 | 13.4 | 12.2 | 12.9 | 12.6 | 10.8 | 12.8 | 12 | 10.8 | 11.6 | 11.2 |
| TOTAL | 22.2 | 15.9 | 19.1 | 24 | 16.1 | 20 | 22.8 | 15.6 | 19.3 | 19.2 | 13.6 | 16.5 | 15.2 | 11.2 | 13.2 | 9.8 | 7.4 | 8.7 |

As indicated before, promotion, repetition and dropout rates are used to assess the efficiency of the education system in producing graduates. The promotion rate shows the percentage of pupils who enrolled in a given year that have successfully completed their training, while dropout rate represents the percentage of pupils who quit training in a given year, it assesses the scale of loss in a program.

When comparing the promotion, repetition and dropout rates from 2011 to 2013 in Table 3.26, a general improvement is observed in this level of education; promotion rate has increased from 82.5 to 90.4 , while repetition rate dropped from 16.5 percent in 2011 to 12.0 in 2012 and then 11.2 percent; and dropout rate dropped from 4.9 in 2011 to 3.2 in 2012 and then dropped to 0.9 percent in 2013.

Table 3.26: Primary Schools Promotion, Repetition and Dropout Rates by Grade, 2011-2013

| Grade | 2011 |  |  | $2012$ |  |  | 2013 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Prom | Rep | Drop | Prom | Rep | Drop | Prom | Rep | Drop |
| 1 | 71 | 18.9 | 10.1 | 74.5 | 12.3 | 13.2 | 83.5 | 5.5 | 11.0 |
| 2 | 80.6 | 16.9 | 2.5 | 84.5 | 11.4 | 4.1 | 94.6 | 3.4 | 2.1 |
| 3 | 80.4 | 16 | 3.6 | 81.8 | 10.9 | 7.3 | 95.7 | 3.0 | 1.3 |
| 4 | 76.2 | 19.1 | 4.8 | 75.6 | 18.6 | 5.8 | 79.7 | 14.5 | 5.7 |
| 5 | 78.1 | 16.4 | 5.4 | 78.2 | 15.1 | 6.8 | 81.3 | 13.5 | 5.2 |
| 6 | 77.2 | 13.6 | 9.1 | 78.3 | 12.3 | 9.4 | 79.8 | 11.4 | 8.8 |
| 7 | 83.2 | 12.6 | 4.2 | 82.8 | 12.0 | 5.3 | 84.2 | 11.2 | 4.5 |
| Total | 82.5 | 16.5 | 4.9 | 83.6 | 13.2 | 3.2 | 90.4 | 8.7 | 0.9 |

### 3.5.2 Primary School Leaving Examination (PSLE) Results

Table 3.27 displays the primary school leaving examination results over the course of ten years from 2004 to 2014 and it is observed that pass rates range from 85.4 to 87.8 percent. The lowest and highest pass rates were observed in 2007 with 83.1 percent and in the year 2013 with 88.7 percent. First class pass rates have been under 20 percent while second class rates varied from 20 to 25 percent. The minimum and maximum third class pass rates were 43 and 50 percent in 2011 and 2012 respectively. The table further demonstrates that the overall pass rates, thus, the first class and second class pass rates and number of students who sat for examinations improved from 2012 to 2013 but declined in 2014.

Table 3.27: Primary School Leaving Examination Results (PSLE), 2005-2014

| PSLE Results | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Sat | 35097 | 44316 | 42512 | 41837 | 41397 | 41869 | 40752 | 39661 | 39827 | 39817 |
| Total Passes | 29991 | 38063 | 35336 | 36132 | 35582 | 36634 | 35555 | 34585 | 35089 | 34969 |
| \%Passed | 85.4 | 85.8 | 83.1 | 86.4 | 86.0 | 87.5 | 87.2 | 87.2 | 88.1 | 87.8 |
| First class | 5357 | 7710 | 5998 | 7461 | 6664 | 5954 | 6920 | 5286 | 7275 | 6506 |
|  | $(15.3)$ | $(17.4)$ | $(14.1)$ | $(17.8)$ | $(16.1)$ | $(14.2)$ | $(17)$ | $(13.3)$ | $(18.3)$ | $(16.3)$ |
| Second class | 7329 | 9321 | 10048 | 9663 | 10762 | 9877 | 1107 | 9489 | 9863 | 10844 |
|  | $(20.9)$ | $(21.0)$ | $(23.6)$ | $(23.1)$ | $(26.0)$ | $(23.6)$ | $(27.3)$ | $(23.0)$ | $(24.8)$ | $(27.2)$ |
| Third class | 17305 | 21032 | 19290 | 19008 | 18156 | 20803 | 7528 | 19810 | 17951 | 17619 |
|  | $(49.6)$ | $(47.5)$ | $(45.4)$ | $(45.4)$ | $(43.9)$ | $(49.7)$ | $(43.0)$ | $(50.0)$ | $(45.1)$ | $(44.2)$ |
| Fail | 5106 | 6253 | 7176 | 5705 | 5815 | 5235 | 5197 | 5076 | 4738 | 4848 |
|  | $(14.6)$ | $(14.1)$ | $(16.9)$ | $(13.6)$ | $(14.0)$ | $(12.5)$ | $(12.7)$ | $(12.8)$ | $(11.9)$ | $(12.2)$ |

### 3.5.3 Transition Rates from Standard 7 to Form A

Transition rates represent the number of pupils admitted into the first grade/form of a higher level of education in a given year, expressed as a percentage of the total number of pupils enrolled in the final grade of the lower level of education in the previous year. This indicator communicates information about the degree of transition from one level of education to the next. High transition rates indicate a high level of success from one level of education to another.

Table 3.28 illustrates that transition rates have been fluctuating since the year 2001 to 2014; the lowest transition rate was recorded in 2003 as 61.6 while the highest transition rate was recorded in 2010 at 75.5 . The table also indicates that more females than males proceeded from standard 7 to Form A from 2001 to 2011. The trend reversed direction from 2009 to 2013 whereby more females than males progressed from standard 7 to Form A.

| Number of Transits from Standard 7 to Form $A$ |  |  |  | Transition Rates |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Males | Females | Total | Males | Females | Total |
| 2001 | 9799 | 13035 | 22834 | 67.0 | 66.7 | 66.8 |
| 2002 | 10354 | 13698 | 24046 | 65.3 | 62.2 | 63.5 |
| 2003 | 10121 | 13138 | 23259 | 63.6 | 62.1 | 61.6 |
| 2004 | 10892 | 14367 | 24809 | 67.5 | 64.7 | 66.5 |
| 2005 | 11586 | 14999 | 26585 | 69.6 | 68.3 | 68.9 |
| 2006 | 10924 | 14205 | 25129 | 70.3 | 69.1 | 69.6 |
| 2007 | 12995 | 17980 | 30975 | 68.3 | 66.4 | 67.2 |
| 2008 | 12527 | 17525 | 30052 | 68.0 | 70.0 | 69.2 |
| 2009 | 13198 | 18105 | 31303 | 71.7 | 74.1 | 73.1 |
| 2010 | 13725 | 18630 | 32355 | 75.3 | 75.6 | 75.5 |
| 2011 | 13811 | 18514 | 32325 | 72.5 | 75.2 | 74 |
| 2012 | 14158 | 17812 | 31970 | 74.8 | 74.8 | 74.8 |
| 2013 | 13672 | 17494 | 31166 | 74.3 | 74.8 | 74.6 |
| 2014 | 13450 | 17948 | 31398 | 74.4 | 76.1 | 75.4 |

### 3.5.4 Cohort Analysis

Cohort survival symbolizes the life span of a group of pupils as they enter primary school in the same year. Their survival is observed in the final grade of primary level by considering how the pupils were affected by dropout rates and repetition rates as they proceeded from one grade to the next grade up to the final year. The survival rate is a crude measure while the new entrants include repeaters of the previous year's cohort and the reverse holds true for net survival rate.

Table 3.29 shows the crude and net cohort survival rates in registered primary schools from 2007 to 2014 ; it is therefore observed from this table that the net cohort survival rates have been fluctuating from 2007 to 2014 . They increased by 5.5 percent between 2007 and 2008, took a down turn between 2009 and 2010 by a 1.6 percent fall and then a 5.5 percent increment between the years 2010 and 2011 cohorts. Furthermore, it is shown that a decrease of 1.2 percent was noticed between 2011 and 2012 cohorts and an increase of 1.9 percent is observed from 2012 to 2013 cohorts. In 2014 the net cohort survival rate was 71.8 percent while crude cohort survival rate was 57.4 percent. This indicates an increase in net cohort survival and crude cohort survival rates of 4.4 and 2.8 from 2013 to 2014 respectively.

Table 3.29: Crude and Net Cohort Survival Rates in Primary Schools, 2007-2014

| Enrolment/Repeaters | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Enrolment | 409,617 | 396,041 | 389,424 | 388,681 | 385,437 | 381,690 | 369469 | 366048 |
| Total Repeaters | 85,339 | 83,750 | 75,674 | 77,794 | 74,833 | 63,613 | 50497 | 32027 |
| Net of Enrolment | 324,278 | 312,291 | 313,750 | 310,887 | 310,604 | 318,077 | 318972 | 334021 |
| Cohort | C2001-07 | C2002-08 | C2003-09 | C2004-10 | C2005-11 | C2006-12 | C2007-13 | C2008-14 |
| Crude Cohort Survival Rate | 45.3 | 49.4 | 50.8 | 53.8 | 54.6 | 53.9 | 54.6 | 57.4 |
| Net Cohort Survival Rate | 55.5 | 61 | 62.8 | 61.2 | 66.7 | 65.5 | 67.4 | 71.8 |

## Chapter 4: Secondary School Education

### 4.0 Introduction

Secondary education is an intermediate level between elementary level and college or University. It begins with Form 1 (Form A) to Form 5 (Form E). Form A starts around age 13 or 14 and progressing to Form E ending at around 17 or 18 years. This level of education generally comprises of junior or lower and senior or upper secondary education. Junior secondary level covers Form A to Form C, and the Junior Certificate (JC) is awarded on successful completion of Form C. Senior secondary level begins from Form D to Form E. Cambridge Overseas School Certificate (COSC) which is currently known as the Lesotho General Certificate in Secondary Education (LGCSE) is awarded on successful completion of Form E. Usually provided at this level is general, technical or vocational and college preparatory curricula. In Lesotho, junior and senior secondary schools are inseparable in terms of facilities and teaching staff.

### 4.1 Enrolment in Registered Secondary Schools

The total enrolment for 2014 was 128,473 in registered secondary schools. This enrolment increased by 1.1 percent from 127,121in 2013. The historic trend at this level has shown that enrolment for girls has always been higher than that of their boys' counterparts. In 2014 for instance, girls accounted for 57.3 percent and boy's enrolment was 42.7 percent. Table 4.1 below shows enrolment in registered secondary schools by age, form and gender. Generally, the number of females exceeded the number of males in all the forms. For instance, in early ages of less than 13 to 16 years (in Form A); 13 to 17 years (in Form B); 14 to 18 years (in Form C); 15 to 19 years (in Form D) and 16 to 20 years (in Form E) the number of females dominated. However, the dominance between males and females interchanged at later ages, beyond 16 years in (in Form A) up to 21 to 24 years (in Form E). There were 557 ( 0.4 percent) students above 24 years who were still at secondary level of which 82.2 percent of them were in the upper secondary schools.
Table 4.1: Distribution of Enrolment in Registered Lower and Upper Secondary Schools by Age, Form and Sex, 2014

| Lower Secondary |  |  |  |  |  |  | Upper Secondary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AGE | FORM A |  | FORM B |  | FORM C |  | Total |  | Total | FORM D |  | FORM E |  | Total |  | Total | Total |
|  | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F |  | M | F | M | F | M | F |  |  |
| <13 | 507 | 1127 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 507 | 1127 | 1634 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1634 |
| 13 | 2137 | 4190 | 433 | 1064 | 0 | 0 | 2570 | 5254 | 7824 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7824 |
| 14 | 3232 | 5348 | 1849 | 3542 | 354 | 823 | 5435 | 9713 | 15148 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15148 |
| 15 | 3311 | 4394 | 2636 | 4716 | 1371 | 2760 | 7318 | 11870 | 19188 | 308 | 820 | 0 | 0 | 308 | 820 | 1128 | 20316 |
| 16 | 2807 | 2897 | 2958 | 3960 | 1997 | 3522 | 7762 | 10379 | 18141 | 1159 | 2304 | 258 | 737 | 1417 | 3041 | 4458 | 22599 |
| 17 | 1904 | 1333 | 2682 | 2712 | 2182 | 2977 | 6768 | 7022 | 13790 | 1761 | 2772 | 925 | 1853 | 2686 | 4625 | 7311 | 21101 |
| 18 | 917 | 534 | 1730 | 1429 | 1752 | 1925 | 4399 | 3888 | 8287 | 1866 | 2364 | 1381 | 2190 | 3247 | 4554 | 7801 | 16088 |
| 19 | 324 | 170 | 972 | 614 | 1255 | 1007 | 2551 | 1791 | 4342 | 1523 | 1589 | 1283 | 1648 | 2806 | 3237 | 6043 | 10385 |
| 20 | 124 | 62 | 445 | 245 | 701 | 455 | 1270 | 762 | 2032 | 996 | 907 | 1115 | 1270 | 2111 | 2177 | 4288 | 6320 |
| 21 | 38 | 34 | 146 | 134 | 366 | 209 | 550 | 377 | 927 | 537 | 452 | 753 | 676 | 1290 | 1128 | 2418 | 3345 |
| 22 | 20 | 15 | 61 | 47 | 150 | 82 | 231 | 144 | 375 | 298 | 264 | 491 | 398 | 789 | 662 | 1451 | 1826 |
| 23 | 9 | 4 | 20 | 30 | 58 | 32 | 87 | 66 | 153 | 138 | 116 | 236 | 195 | 374 | 311 | 685 | 838 |
| 24 | 6 | 6 | 14 | 19 | 26 | 26 | 46 | 51 | 97 | 63 | 94 | 118 | 120 | 181 | 214 | 395 | 492 |
| $>24$ | 3 | 10 | 6 | 28 | 16 | 36 | 25 | 74 | 99 | 57 | 131 | 96 | 174 | 153 | 305 | 458 | 557 |
| Total | 15339 | 20124 | 13952 | 18540 | 10228 | 13854 | 39519 | 52518 | 92037 | 8706 | 11813 | 6656 | 9261 | 15362 | 21074 | 36436 | 128473 |

Table 4.2 displays enrolment in public and private registered secondary schools by sex and district. Public schools enrolled 98.6 percent of the students compared to private schools with only 1.4 percent. Girls outnumbered boys in both public and private schools and in all districts. There were 57.3 percent of girls compared to 42.7 percent boys of total public schools enrolment and 56.4 percent of girls compared to 43.6 percent of boys enrolled in private schools.

In disaggregating enrolment within the districts, it is observed that the private secondary schools were only in Botha-Bothe, Leribe, Berea and Maseru, whereas the southern districts and mountainous districts did not have the private secondary schools. Out of the total enrolment of 1,736 in private secondary schools, 72.4 and 18.3 percent were enrolled in Maseru and Leribe respectively, whereas, only 7.2 and 2.1 were enrolled in Botha-Bothe and Berea respectively.

Table 4.2: Distribution of Enrolment in Registered Public and Private Secondary Schools by District and Sex, 2014

|  |  | 3 | PUBLIC | PRIVATE |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DISTRICT | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | Total | $\mathbf{M}$ | F | Total |  |
| BOTHA-BOTHE | 3,939 | 5,443 | 9,382 | 41 | 84 | 125 | 9,507 |
| LERIBE | 10,447 | 13,621 | 24,068 | 138 | 180 | 318 | 24,386 |
| BEREA | 7,916 | 9,609 | 17,525 | 17 | 19 | 36 | 17,561 |
| MASERU | 14,345 | 18,302 | 32,647 | 561 | 696 | 1,257 | 33,904 |
| MAFETENG | 6,149 | 7,738 | 13,887 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13,887 |
| MOHALE'S HOEK | 3,508 | 4,800 | 8,308 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8,308 |
| QUTHING | 2,545 | 3,620 | 6,165 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6,165 |
| QACHA'S NEK | 1,773 | 2,752 | 4,525 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4,525 |
| MOKHOTLONG | 1,786 | 3,579 | 5,365 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5,365 |
| THABA-TSEKA | 1,716 | 3,149 | 4,865 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4,865 |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 4 , 1 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{7 2 , 6 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 6 , 7 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{7 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{9 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 7 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 8 , 4 7 3}$ |

Table 4.3 displays enrolment in registered secondary schools by district, ecological zone and sex. It is observed from the Table 4.3 that Maseru's enrolment was the highest with 26.4 percent; it was followed by Leribe with 19.0 percent while ThabaTseka and Qacha's Nek were the least with 3.8 and 3.5 percent respectively.

Enrolment by ecological zone reflects that the Lowlands had the highest enrolment of 72.0 percent; it was followed by Mountains with 13.5 percent while the Foothills and Senqu River Valley had the least with 7.9 percent and 6.5 percent respectively. The table further confirms higher enrolment of females as compared to the one for their male counterparts in districts and even in ecological zones.

Table 4.3: Distribution of Enrolment in Registered Secondary Schools by District, Ecological Zone and Sex, 2014

| DISTRICT | LOWLANDS |  |  | FOOTHILLS |  | MOUNTAIN |  | SENQU R V |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ |  |
| BOTHA-BOTHE | 3,242 | 4,403 | 697 | 1,008 | 41 | 116 | 0 | 0 | 9,507 |
| LERIBE | 8,633 | 10,865 | 1,398 | 2,080 | 554 | 856 | 0 | 0 | 24,386 |
| BEREA | 7,272 | 8,722 | 661 | 906 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17,561 |
| MASERU | 13,594 | 16,667 | 827 | 1,464 | 485 | 867 | 0 | 0 | 33,904 |
| MAFETENG | 5,740 | 7,063 | 409 | 675 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13,887 |
| MOHALE'S HOEK | 2,766 | 3,577 | 0 | 0 | 72 | 167 | 670 | 1,056 | 8,308 |
| QUTHING | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 323 | 666 | 2,222 | 2,954 | 6,165 |
| QACHA'S NEK | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,247 | 1,778 | 526 | 974 | 4,525 |
| MOKHOTLONG | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,786 | 3,579 | 0 | 0 | 5,365 |
| THABA-TSEKA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,716 | 3,149 | 0 | 0 | 4,865 |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 1 , 2 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 , 2 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 9 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 1 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 2 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 , 1 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 4 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 9 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 8 , 4 7 3}$ |

### 4.2 Trend Analysis of Registered Secondary Schools Enrolment

Table 4.4 shows trend enrolment from 2012 to 2014 by sex and district. It is observed from Table 4.4 that enrolment began to decline by 0.6 percent between 2012 and 2013. The table further shows an increment to 128,473 which is 1.1 percent from 2013 to 2014. As it has been shown earlier that Maseru and Leribe had higher enrolment whereas Qacha's Nek and Thaba-Tseka had the least enrolment, the pattern has been the same in the past three years as displayed in the table.

Increments of 0.4 and 1.5 percent among males and females respectively were noticed from 2013 to 2014. Female enrolment also has been higher than male enrolment in all the districts according to Table 4.4. The table further shows that enrolment in Berea, Maseru, Mohale's Hoek and Quthing has been constant from 2013 to 2014. In Thaba-Tseka, enrolment has been constant from 2012 to 2014 at an increase of 3.8 percent whereas the enrolment for Botha-Bothe has been declining since 2012. The one for Mafeteng has been increasing since 2013 to 2014. Enrolment for Mokhotlong, Qacha's Nek and Leribe has been fluctuating over these three years.

Table 4.4: Number and Percentage distribution of Secondary School Students in Registered Schools by District, Sex and Percentage Distribution, 2012-2014

| District | 2012 |  |  |  | 2013 |  |  |  | 2014 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | F | Total | \% | $\mathbf{M}$ | F | Total | \% | $\mathbf{M}$ | F | Total | \% |
| Botha-Bothe | 4,085 | 5,635 | 9,720 | 7.6 | 3,990 | 5,578 | 9,568 | 7.5 | 3,980 | 5,527 | 9,507 | 7.4 |
| Leribe | 10,437 | 13,765 | 24,202 | 19 | 10,754 | 13,758 | 24,512 | 19.3 | 10,585 | 13,801 | 24,386 | 19.0 |
| Berea | 8,032 | 9,615 | 17,647 | 14 | 7,979 | 9,407 | 17,386 | 13.7 | 7,933 | 9,628 | 17,561 | 13.7 |
| Maseru | 14,750 | 18,530 | 33,280 | 26 | 14,732 | 18,769 | 3,3501 | 26.4 | 14,906 | 18,998 | 33,904 | 26.4 |
| Mafeteng | 5,760 | 7,598 | 13,358 | 10 | 5,924 | 7,600 | 13,524 | 10.6 | 6,149 | 7,738 | 13,887 | 10.8 |
| Mohale's Hoek | 3,736 | 4,862 | 8,598 | 6.7 | 3,530 | 4,771 | 8,301 | 6.5 | 3,508 | 4,800 | 8,308 | 6.5 |
| Quthing | 2,621 | 3,741 | 6,362 | 5 | 2,555 | 3,555 | 6,110 | 4.8 | 2,545 | 3,620 | 6,165 | 4.8 |
| Qacha's Nek | 1,757 | 2,858 | 4,615 | 3.6 | 1,694 | 2,627 | 4,321 | 3.4 | 1,773 | 2,752 | 4,525 | 3.5 |
| Mokhotlong | 1,823 | 3,388 | 5,211 | 4.2 | 1,748 | 3,342 | 5,090 | 4.0 | 1,786 | 3,579 | 5,365 | 4.2 |
| Thaba-Tseka | 1,755 | 3,104 | 4,859 | 3.8 | 1,739 | 3,069 | 4,808 | 3.8 | 1,716 | 3,149 | 4,865 | 3.8 |
| Total | 54,756 | 73,096 | 127,852 | 100 | 54,645 | 72,476 | 127,121 | 100 | 54,881 | 73592 | 128,473 | 100 |

### 4.3 New Entrants in Registered Secondary Schools

Figure 4.1 illustrates new entrants in Form A and D by age and sex. In Form A, the number of female new entrants was higher than that of male new entrants from age below 13 to age 16 years. From age 16 to age 19, the number of male new entrants surpassed that of their female counterparts. In Form D, the number of new entrants was dominated by females starting from age 14 to age 19 years and subsequently the number of female and male new entrants were observed to be almost balanced from age 19 to age 24 years. Figure 4.1 also reveals that at lower ages, the difference between the number of male and female new entrants was larger than at older ages in both Form A and Form D.

Figure 4.1: Number of New Entrants in Form A and D by Age and Sex, 2014


### 4.4 Coverage of Participation in Secondary Education

The Gross Enrolment Rate (GER) and Net Enrolment Rate (NER) indicate the overall coverage of an educational system in relation to the population eligible for participation in the system. A high NER denotes a high degree of participation of the official school-age population. The theoretical maximum value is 100 percent. Increasing trends can be considered as reflecting improvement in the participation at the specified level of education. The incidence of under-aged and over-aged enrolment can be observed by the GER.

### 4.4.1 Gross and Net Enrolment Rates, Pupils to Teacher Ratios and the Gender Parity Indices for Registered Secondary Schools

Table 4.5 demonstrates the gross and net enrolment rates, pupils to teacher ratios and gender parity index for registered secondary schools for the years 2002 to 2014. Compared to the primary level, secondary school ratios remained quite low for the period under review. However, unlike in primary schools whereby rates have been decreasing, rates in secondary schools have been increasing over the years, as they increased from 2002 to 2011; however, between 2011 and 2012 Gross Enrolment Rates remained constant at 55.4 after which they declined in 2013 and increased
again to 55.7 in 2014. However unlike the Gross Enrolment Rates, the Net Enrolment Rates have been increasing from 2002 to 2014.

The total net enrolment rate has been increasing from 22.0 percent in 2002 to 37.7 percent in 2014. On the other hand, the NER for males and females increased by 0.7 and 1.3 percent respectively from 2013 to 2014 . The gender parity indices from gross enrolment rates and net enrolment rates have almost remained constant in more than 10 years' time. This signifies that the gender disparity is slightly in favour of females at this level of education.

The pupil teacher ratios represent the average number of students per teacher; the figures from 2002 to 2014 are displayed in Table 4.5. During the period under review, the pupil teacher ratios have been fluctuating between 23.5 and 26.6. Although the pupil teacher ratios seemed to be low, some teachers may still be loaded and teach many children while others may be underutilized. The situation originates from the uneven distribution of enrolments and teachers in registered secondary schools. Many teachers would not like to teach in the remote areas hence, are overcrowded in the schools located in the urban areas or lowlands. However in 2014, pupil teacher ratio decreased by 0.2 from 24.1 in 2013 to 23.9 in 2014.

Table 4.5: Secondary School Enrolment Rates, Gender Parity Indices and Pupil Teacher Ratios, 2002-2014

|  | Gross Enrolment Rates |  |  |  | Net Enrolment Rates |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| Year | Males | Females | Total | GPI | Males | Females | Total | GPI | P T R |  |
|  | 29 | 38.3 | 33.6 | 1.3 | 17.2 | 27 | 22.0 | 1.6 | 24.0 |  |
| 2002 | 30.1 | 39.1 | 34.5 | 1.3 | 17.9 | 27.9 | 22.8 | 1.6 | 23.9 |  |
| 2003 | 32.2 | 41.5 | 36.8 | 1.3 | 18.6 | 29 | 23.8 | 1.5 | 25.0 |  |
| 2004 | 34.2 | 44 | 39.1 | 1.3 | 19.8 | 31.2 | 25.4 | 1.6 | 26.6 |  |
| 2005 | 34.8 | 44.9 | 39.8 | 1.3 | 20 | 31.4 | 25.7 | 1.6 | 25.7 |  |
| 2006 | 35.5 | 47.3 | 41.4 | 1.3 | 20.8 | 33.4 | 27 | 1.6 | 24.4 |  |
| 2007 | 37.1 | 50.6 | 43.8 | 1.4 | 22.3 | 35.9 | 29 | 1.6 | 24.0 |  |
| 2008 | 39.7 | 55.8 | 47.7 | 1.4 | 23.8 | 39.4 | 31.5 | 1.7 | 23.5 |  |
| 2009 | 44.4 | 61.9 | 53.1 | 1.4 | 26 | 42.5 | 34.2 | 1.6 | 25.8 |  |
| 2010 | 46.5 | 64.4 | 55.4 | 1.4 | 27.6 | 44.2 | 35.8 | 1.6 | 24.9 |  |
| 2011 | 46.9 | 64.0 | 55.4 | 1.4 | 28.5 | 44.6 | 36.5 | 1.6 | 25.1 |  |
| 2012 | 46.9 | 63.6 | 55.2 | 1.4 | 29.2 | 45.6 | 37.3 | 1.6 | 24.1 |  |
| 2013 | 47.1 | 64.5 | 55.7 | 1.4 | 29.4 | 46.2 | 37.7 | 1.6 | 23.9 |  |
| 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 4.6 shows the net enrolment rates for lower and upper secondary schools aggregated by sex. The 2014 net enrolment rate for upper secondary ( 12.8 percent) was lower than the one for lower secondary ( 30.4 percent) schools. This implies that there was high degree of participation of the official school age population in lower secondary than upper secondary and this was a different case in 2013 whereby there was higher ( 47.2 percent) participation in upper secondary than lower secondary ( 30.8 percent). The female's participation of the official school age population was higher than the one for males. For instance, in lower secondary schools NER for males was estimated at 21.9 percent and the one for females was at
39.1 percent while in upper secondary schools it was estimated at 8.8 and 16.9 for males and females respectively.

Table 4.6: Lower and Upper Secondary School NER's by Sex, 2013-2014

|  | 2013 |  |  |  |  | 2014 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NER | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| Lower Secondary | 21.8 | 39.9 | 30.8 | 21.9 | 39.1 | 30.4 |
| Upper Secondary | 40.4 | 54.2 | 47.2 | 8.8 | 16.9 | 12.8 |

NER and GER for lower and upper Secondary Education, 2014

|  | Male | Female | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| NER Lower Secondary | 21.9 | 39.1 | 30.4 |
| GER Lower Secondary | 56.5 | 76.5 | 66.4 |
| NER Upper Secondary | 8.8 | 16.9 | 12.8 |
| GER Upper Secondary | 33.0 | 46.4 | 39.6 |
| NER Overall Secondary | 29.4 | 46.2 | 37.7 |
| GER Overall Secondary | 47.1 | 64.5 | 55.7 |

### 4.5 Enrolment of Students with Special Educational Needs in Registered Secondary Schools

Table 4.7 reflects that out of 128,473 registered secondary school enrolment, 6.5 percent had some form of disability in the year 2014. The table further shows that 39.6 percent were males and 60.4 percent were females. It is also observed that Leribe had the highest proportion of secondary school students with special educational needs ( 28.9 percent). The proportion for Maseru followed with (21.8 percent), while Gacha's Nek had the least proportion of 1.7 percent. There were more students with disability in the rural ( 53.5 percent) than in the urban (46.5 percent) areas.

Table 4.7: Number of Students with Special Educational Needs in Registered Secondary Schools by District, Urban and Rural Residence and Sex, 2014

| DISTRICT | URBAN |  |  |  |  |  | RURAL |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | F | Total | M | F | Total | Total |
| BOTHA-BOTHE | 80 | 145 | 225 | 79 | 144 | 223 | 448 |
| LERIBE | 306 | 538 | 844 | 679 | 876 | 1,555 | 2,399 |
| BEREA | 126 | 186 | 312 | 330 | 424 | 754 | 1,066 |
| MASERU | 509 | 628 | 1,137 | 187 | 488 | 675 | 1,812 |
| MAFETENG | 102 | 167 | 269 | 250 | 406 | 656 | 925 |
| MOHALE'S HOEK | 222 | 276 | 498 | 95 | 176 | 271 | 769 |
| QUTHING | 66 | 156 | 222 | 26 | 26 | 52 | 274 |
| QACHA'S NEK | 24 | 28 | 52 | 25 | 68 | 93 | 145 |
| MOKHOTLONG | 7 | 27 | 34 | 52 | 71 | 123 | 157 |
| THABA-TSEKA | 109 | 160 | 269 | 17 | 21 | 38 | 307 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 , 5 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 3 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 8 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 7 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 7 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 4 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 , 3 0 2}$ |

It is also important to classify the students with disability by type of disability, as a result, Table 4.8 shows the students with special educational needs in registered secondary schools by type, form and sex. It is observed from the table that majority of students with disability had visual impairment ( 42.1 percent), the proportion of secondary students with intellectual disability followed with 22.9 percent while those with physical disability constituted only 5.1 percent. Likewise, Visual impairment also dominates in all the Classes. The majority of students with disability were in Form A at 26.3 percent. This percentage was followed by the one for Form B (23.1 percent) and the least percentage was for those in Form E (14.1 percent).

Table 4.8: Number of Students with Special Educational Needs in Registered Secondary Schools by Disability Type, Form and Sex, 2014

| Disability Type | Form A |  | Form B |  | Form C |  | Form D |  | Form E |  | Total | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F |  |  |
| Physical Disability | 60 | 62 | 50 | 61 | 42 | 46 | 22 | 25 | 30 | 29 | 427 | 5.1 |
| Visual Impairment | 367 | 546 | 286 | 468 | 223 | 406 | 232 | 447 | 201 | 317 | 3493 | 42.1 |
| Hearing Impairment | 139 | 223 | 128 | 192 | 98 | 173 | 91 | 165 | 91 | 141 | 1441 | 17.4 |
| Intellectual | 250 | 293 | 238 | 263 | 149 | 238 | 137 | 137 | 94 | 102 | 1901 | 22.9 |
| Disability Other | 77 | 170 | 92 | 141 | 83 | 141 | 54 | 115 | 58 | 109 | 1040 | 12.5 |
| Total | 893 | 1,294 | 794 | 1,125 | 595 | 1,004 | 536 | 889 | 474 | 698 | 8,302 | 100.0 |

Table 4.9 shows the number of students with special educational needs in registered secondary schools by age and sex. The table shows that there were more females than males with special educational needs. It further portrays that students with disability were more concentrated in ages 14 to 18 years, the percentages for these ages ranged from 10.8 in age 14 to 17.9 in age 17 years. The rest of the ages constituted less than 6.0 percent except for age 19 years which accounted for 9.7 percent of students with disability.

Table 4.9: Students with Special Educational Needs in Registered Secondary Schools by Age,

| AGE | FORM A |  | FORM B |  | FORM C |  | FORM D |  | FORM E |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F |  |
| <13 | 23 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 83 |
| 13 | 106 | 218 | 18 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 383 |
| 14 | 192 | 336 | 90 | 194 | 14 | 68 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 894 |
| 15 | 195 | 294 | 126 | 263 | 73 | 222 | 18 | 89 | 0 | 0 | 1,280 |
| 16 | 144 | 208 | 161 | 246 | 106 | 208 | 53 | 158 | 15 | 44 | 1,343 |
| 17 | 132 | 117 | 161 | 193 | 123 | 186 | 115 | 197 | 81 | 179 | 1,484 |
| 18 | 62 | 37 | 112 | 96 | 105 | 154 | 111 | 160 | 96 | 141 | 1,074 |
| 19 | 27 | 18 | 79 | 54 | 90 | 83 | 115 | 127 | 84 | 127 | 804 |
| 20 | 6 | 1 | 30 | 19 | 45 | 50 | 67 | 87 | 90 | 97 | 492 |
| 21 | 4 | 2 | 12 | 6 | 21 | 19 | 28 | 39 | 55 | 44 | 230 |
| 22 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 8 | 6 | 21 | 6 | 22 | 26 | 100 |
| 23 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 19 | 12 | 48 |
| 24 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 9 | 6 | 28 |
| >24 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 15 | 3 | 22 | 59 |
| Total | 893 | 1,294 | 794 | 1,125 | 595 | 1,004 | 536 | 889 | 474 | 698 | 8,302 |

### 4.6 Orphans in Registered Secondary Schools

Out of the total enrolment of 128,473 pupils in registered secondary schools, 41.4 percent had lost either one or both parents through death. The vulnerable pupils also were considered as the social orphans.

Table 4.10 illustrates that the number of female orphans exceeded the number of male orphans in all the grades and all orphan types. As with the students that had disability; the lower the grade, the higher the proportion of orphans. For instance, in Form A the percentage of orphans was highest with 26.9 and it dropped until it reached 13.1 in Form E. Form B followed Form A with majority of orphans (24.8 percent). Male orphans constituted 42.4 while females accounted for 57.6 percent of orphans.

Majority of orphans were those that have lost a father (Paternal) as they accounted for 50.5 percent. The second highest proportion was for those who have lost both parents (Double) with 28.1 percent. Those that were abandoned by the parents who are said to be social orphans constituted only 2.8 percent.

Table 4.10: Orphans in Registered Secondary Schools by Type, Form and Sex, 2014

| Orphanhoo <br> d Type | FORM A |  | FORM B |  | FORM C |  | FORM D |  | FORM E |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M | F | M | F | $\mathbf{M}$ | F | $\mathbf{M}$ | F | M | F | Total | Percentag <br> $\mathbf{e}$ |  |
| Paternal | 3031 | 4101 | 2790 | 3826 | 2067 | 2804 | 1740 | 2417 | 1465 | 1976 | 26217 | 50.5 |
| Maternal | 1166 | 1515 | 1011 | 1320 | 769 | 1038 | 703 | 902 | 516 | 714 | 9654 | 18.6 |
| Double | 1571 | 2206 | 1532 | 2037 | 1198 | 1692 | 979 | 1351 | 833 | 1156 | 14555 | 28.1 |
| Social | 161 | 213 | 131 | 242 | 144 | 173 | 105 | 128 | 75 | 78 | 1450 | 2.8 |
| Total | 5929 | 8035 | 5464 | 7425 | 4178 | 5707 | 3527 | 4798 | 2889 | 3924 | 51876 | 100.0 |

Table 4.11 presents the number of orphans in registered secondary schools by district, urban and rural residence and sex. It is observed from the table that Maseru ( 25.9 percent) had the highest proportion of orphans. It was followed by Leribe ( 17.8 percent), then Berea ( 13.7 percent) and Mafeteng with 11.1 percent. The rest of the districts constituted less than 10.0 percent of orphans each.

The table further shows that in all the districts, the rural areas had the higher number of orphans than the urban areas. The percentages of orphans for Leribe, Berea, Mafeteng and Mokhotlong rural areas exceeded 70.0 percent.

Table 4.11: Orphans in Registered Secondary Schools by District, Urban and Rural and Sex, 2014

| DISTRICT | URBAN |  |  | RURAL |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{M}$ | F | Total | $\mathbf{M}$ | F | Total |  | \% |  |
| BOTHA-BOTHE | 631 | 900 | 1531 | 802 | 1194 | 1996 | 3527 | 6.8 |  |
| LERIBE | 1024 | 1354 | 2378 | 3021 | 3842 | 6863 | 9241 | 17.8 |  |
| BEREA | 652 | 764 | 1416 | 2513 | 3199 | 5712 | 7128 | 13.7 |  |
| MASERU | 3262 | 4090 | 7352 | 2569 | 3500 | 6069 | 13421 | 25.9 |  |
| MAFETENG | 546 | 677 | 1223 | 1885 | 2628 | 4513 | 5736 | 11.1 |  |
| MOHALE'S HOEK | 605 | 735 | 1340 | 1027 | 1433 | 2460 | 3800 | 7.3 |  |
| QUTHING | 412 | 586 | 998 | 768 | 1036 | 1804 | 2802 | 5.4 |  |
| QACHA'S NEK | 383 | 593 | 976 | 386 | 607 | 993 | 1969 | 3.8 |  |
| MOKHOTLONG | 51 | 157 | 208 | 774 | 1341 | 2115 | 2323 | 4.5 |  |
| THABA-TSEKA | 352 | 653 | 1005 | 322 | 602 | 924 | 1929 | 3.7 |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 9 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 5 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 4 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 0 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 3 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 4 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 8 7 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |  |

Table 4.12 portrays the number of orphans in registered secondary schools by age and sex. It is observed that majority of orphans were concentrated in age 14 to 17 years. For instance, in age 17 and 18 years the percentages of orphans were 17.6 and 17.5. As mentioned earlier, there were more female orphans than male orphans.

Table 4.12: Orphans in Registered Secondary Schools by Age, Form and Sex, 2014

| Age | FORM A |  | FORM B |  | FORM C |  | FORM D |  | FORM E |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ |  |
| $<13$ | 112 | 318 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 430 |
| 13 | 687 | 1436 | 120 | 286 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2529 |
| 14 | 1196 | 2034 | 693 | 1245 | 102 | 270 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5540 |
| 15 | 1378 | 1913 | 990 | 1800 | 596 | 1018 | 71 | 193 | 0 | 0 | 7959 |
| 16 | 1151 | 1317 | 1162 | 1657 | 792 | 1425 | 456 | 859 | 90 | 212 | 9121 |
| 17 | 827 | 639 | 1087 | 1292 | 898 | 1303 | 716 | 1203 | 382 | 726 | 9073 |
| 18 | 408 | 229 | 716 | 680 | 756 | 899 | 816 | 1075 | 593 | 975 | 7147 |
| 19 | 112 | 99 | 416 | 294 | 546 | 470 | 619 | 636 | 602 | 713 | 4507 |
| 20 | 43 | 33 | 172 | 89 | 273 | 201 | 403 | 397 | 474 | 579 | 2664 |
| 21 | 6 | 8 | 55 | 42 | 125 | 63 | 232 | 197 | 338 | 338 | 1404 |
| 22 | 3 | 3 | 33 | 13 | 49 | 27 | 116 | 115 | 217 | 185 | 761 |
| 23 | 3 | 1 | 12 | 17 | 23 | 11 | 52 | 39 | 103 | 78 | 339 |
| 24 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 9 | 6 | 27 | 33 | 40 | 48 | 171 |
| $>24$ | 3 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 9 | 14 | 19 | 51 | 50 | 70 | 231 |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 , 9 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{8 , 0 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 4 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{7 , 4 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 1 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 7 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 5 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 7 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 8 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 9 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 , 8 7 6}$ |

### 4.7 Inputs for Secondary Education

### 4.7.1 Secondary Schools

Table 4.13 shows the number of registered Public and Private Secondary Schools by District and Agency. The number of registered secondary schools increased to 339 in 2014 from 338 in 2013. Public secondary schools constituted 98 percent of the schools while the private schools constituted 2 percent.

There were no private registered secondary schools in the southern districts, such as Mafeteng, Mohale's Hoek and Quthing. The mountainous districts like Gacha's Nek, Mokhotlong and Thaba-Tseka did not have private secondary schools also.

Table 4.13: Number of Registered Secondary Schools by District, Public and Private, 2014

| District | Public | Private | Total | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Botha-Bothe | 21 | 1 | 22 | 6.5 |
| Leribe | 65 | 2 | 67 | 19.8 |
| Berea | 41 | 1 | 42 | 12.4 |
| Maseru | 69 | 3 | 72 | 21.2 |
| Mafeteng | 39 | 0 | 39 | 11.5 |
| Mohale's Hoek | 25 | 0 | 25 | 7.4 |
| Quthing | 17 | 0 | 17 | 5.0 |
| Qacha's Nek | 20 | 0 | 20 | 5.9 |
| Mokhotlong | 16 | 0 | 16 | 4.7 |
| Thaba-Tseka | 19 | 0 | 19 | 5.6 |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 3 2} \mathbf{( 9 8 \% )}$ | $\mathbf{7 ( 2 \% )}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

Table 4.14 shows the distribution of secondary schools by district, urban and rural area. It shows that, consistently, as with primary schools, there were more schools in rural areas than there were in urban areas, as a result of the dispersal of villages in the highlands. Leribe had the most schools (55), followed by Maseru (44) then Berea (36). In comparison, in the urban areas, the district that had the most schools were in Maseru with only 29, followed by Leribe with 12, while Mokhotlong had the least number of schools (1).

Table 4.14: Number of Registered Secondary Schools by District, Urban and Rural, 2014

| DISTRICT | URBAN | RURAL | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BOTHA-BOTHE | 9 | 13 | 22 |
| LERIBE | 12 | 55 | 67 |
| BEREA | 6 | 36 | 42 |
| MASERU | 29 | 44 | 72 |
| MAFETENG | 5 | 34 | 39 |
| MOHALE'S HOEK | 4 | 21 | 25 |
| QUTHING | 4 | 13 | 17 |
| QACHA'S NEK | 5 | 15 | 20 |
| MOKHOTLONG | 1 | 15 | 16 |
| THABA-TSEKA | 4 | 15 | 19 |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 9}$ |

Figure 4.2 depicts the percentage distribution of registered secondary schools by Ecological zones. Most of the schools were in the Lowlands ( 63 percent). The second highest percentage was for the Mountains with only 18.0 percent. The Foothills and SRV had 10.0 and 9.0 percent respectively.

Figure 4.2: Percentage Share of Registered Secondary Schools by Ecological Zones, 2014


Figure 4.3 presents the distribution of registered secondary schools by agency. It is shown from the figure that majority of schools were owned by Government with 27.0 percent, RCM followed with 26.0 percent and then LEC with 24.0 percent. The AME and private schools were the least with only 2.0 percent each.

Figure 4.3: Percentage share of Registered Secondary Schools by Agency, 2014


### 4.7.2 Secondary Schools Teachers

There were 5,367 registered secondary schools' teachers in 2014. Table 4.15 reveals that female teachers constituted 55.9 percent while males represented 44.1 percent. The percentage of qualified teachers out of the total teachers increased from 90.0 percent in 2013 to 94.0 percent in 2014 . Out of those that were qualified, males had a share of 43.3 percent while females had a share of 56.7 percent. Out of total teachers from each district, Maseru had the highest percentage of qualified teachers ( 97.2 percent); it was seconded by Mafeteng and Thaba-Tseka with 96.3 percent each. Botha-Bothe and Guthing followed with shares of 94.4 and 93.7 percent respectively. Mohale's Hoek, Mokhotlong were next with a share of 93.6 and 93.4 percent respectively. Leribe, Qacha's Nek and Berea had the least shares of 91.2, 90.6 and 90.3 percent respectively.

Unqualified teachers included those who acquired certificates such as: Cambridge Overseas School Certificate (COSC) and Junior Certificate (JC).The pupil teacher ratio (PTR) was 24 pupils per teacher for the whole country and it ranged from 21 to 26 across the districts. In addition, the pupil-qualified teacher ratio (PGTR) was 26 pupils per teacher for the whole country. Gacha's Nek had the lowest PGTR estimated at 23 children per teacher; this means that there were many qualified teachers compared to enrolment in this district. Thaba-Tseka had fewer qualified teachers compared to other districts, with 27 pupils per teacher.

Table 4.15: Number of Teachers in Registered Secondary Schools by District and Sex, 2014

|  | Enrolment |  |  |  | Teachers |  |  |  | Qualified |  |  |  | Unqualified |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | Total | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | Total | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | Total | $\mathbf{M}$ | F | Total | PTR | QPTR |  |
| Botha-Bothe | 3980 | 5527 | 9507 | 198 | 198 | 396 | 187 | 187 | 374 | 11 | 11 | 22 | 24 | 25.4 |  |
| Leribe | 10585 | 13801 | 24386 | 495 | 553 | 1048 | 436 | 520 | 956 | 59 | 33 | 92 | 23.3 | 25.5 |  |
| Berea | 7933 | 9628 | 17561 | 300 | 398 | 698 | 265 | 365 | 630 | 35 | 33 | 68 | 25.2 | 27.9 |  |
| Maseru | 14906 | 18998 | 33904 | 561 | 847 | 1408 | 537 | 831 | 1368 | 24 | 16 | 40 | 24.1 | 24.8 |  |
| Mafeteng | 6149 | 7738 | 13887 | 256 | 335 | 591 | 245 | 324 | 569 | 11 | 11 | 22 | 23.5 | 24.4 |  |
| Mohale's Hoek | 3508 | 4800 | 8308 | 148 | 197 | 345 | 138 | 185 | 323 | 10 | 12 | 22 | 24.1 | 25.7 |  |
| Guthing | 2545 | 3620 | 6165 | 133 | 121 | 254 | 126 | 112 | 238 | 7 | 9 | 16 | 24.3 | 25.9 |  |
| Gacha's Nek | 1773 | 2752 | 4525 | 86 | 138 | 224 | 74 | 129 | 203 | 12 | 9 | 21 | 20.2 | 22.3 |  |
| Mokhotlong | 1786 | 3579 | 5365 | 92 | 120 | 212 | 84 | 114 | 198 | 8 | 6 | 14 | 25.3 | 27.1 |  |
| Thaba-Tseka | 1716 | 3149 | 4865 | 96 | 95 | 191 | 93 | 91 | 184 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 25.5 | 26.4 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 4 8 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{7 3 5 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 8 4 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 6 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 3 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 5}$ |  |

Table 4.16 shows the number of teachers in registered secondary school by district, agency and sex. Teachers in public secondary schools were 5,285 ( 98.5 percent) while in private schools were 82 ( 1.5 percent). Distribution of teachers in both public and private schools followed the same pattern as the ones for total secondary schools whereby most teachers were located in the lowlands.

Table 4.16: Teachers in Public and Private Registered Secondary Schools by District and Sex, 2014

| DISTRICT | PUBLIC |  |  | PRIVATE |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | Total | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | Total |  |
| BOTHA-BOTHE | 193 | 195 | 388 | 5 | 3 | 8 | 396 |
| LERIBE | 486 | 545 | 1,031 | 9 | 8 | 17 | 1,048 |
| BEREA | 296 | 394 | 690 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 698 |
| MASERU | 543 | 816 | 1,359 | 18 | 31 | 49 | 1,408 |
| MAFETENG | 256 | 335 | 591 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 591 |
| MOHALE'S HOEK | 148 | 197 | 345 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 345 |
| QUTHING | 133 | 121 | 254 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 254 |
| GACHA'S NEK | 86 | 138 | 224 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 224 |
| MOKHOTLONG | 92 | 120 | 212 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 212 |
| THABA-TSEKA | 96 | 95 | 191 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 191 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 , 3 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 9 5 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 2 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 6}$ | $\mathbf{8 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 3 6 7}$ |

### 4.8 Efficiency and Quality of Education in Registered Secondary Schools

### 4.8.1 Repeaters in Registered Secondary Schools

Table 4.17 reflects enrolment of repeaters in registered secondary schools by district, ecological zones and sex. Out of 128,473 enrolled students in this level of education, 12.1 percent were repeaters. Females constituted 55.0 percent while males were represented by 45.0 percent.

Repeaters by ecological zones shows that the Lowlands had the highest number of 11,219 which is 72.0 percent; it was followed by Mountains with 15.0 percent, then the Foothills with 1179 ( 8.0 percent). Repeaters distribution by district illustrates that Maseru was topmost with 20 percent followed by Leribe with 19.1; Mafeteng with 14.4; and then Berea with 14.0 percent. The table also shows that Qacha's Nek recorded the least number of repeaters which constituted 3.4 percent.

Table 4.17: Repeaters in Registered Secondary Schools by District, Zone and Sex, 2014

| DISTRICT | LOWLANDS |  | FOOTHILLS |  |  | MOUNTAIN |  | SENQU R V |  | Total |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ |  |  |
| BOTHA-BOTHE | 531 | 630 | 87 | 112 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 622 | 748 | 1370 | 8.8 |
| LERIBE | 1188 | 1305 | 132 | 169 | 75 | 101 | 0 | 0 | 1395 | 1575 | 2970 | 19.1 |
| BEREA | 960 | 978 | 118 | 111 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1078 | 1089 | 2167 | 14.0 |
| MASERU | 1221 | 1397 | 116 | 183 | 69 | 124 | 0 | 0 | 1406 | 1704 | 3110 | 20.0 |
| MAFETENG | 1004 | 1074 | 72 | 79 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1076 | 1153 | 2229 | 14.4 |
| MOHALE'S HOEK | 367 | 564 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 23 | 112 | 108 | 493 | 695 | 1188 | 7.7 |
| QUTHING | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 51 | 204 | 295 | 237 | 346 | 583 | 3.8 |
| QACHA'S NEK | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 200 | 234 | 32 | 60 | 232 | 294 | 526 | 3.4 |
| MOKHOTLONG | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 237 | 469 | 0 | 0 | 237 | 469 | 706 | 4.5 |
| THABA-TSEKA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 236 | 438 | 0 | 0 | 236 | 438 | 674 | 4.3 |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 , 2 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 9 4 8}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{8 6 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 4 4 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{7 , 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{8 , 5 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 , 5 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

The distribution of repeaters by urban and rural followed the same pattern as that of enrolment whereby, 67.0 percent of the repeaters in secondary schools were in the rural areas while 33.0 percent were in the urban areas.

Table 4.18: Repeaters in Registered Secondary Schools by District, Urban and Rural and Sex,

| 2014 | URBAN |  |  |  |  |  | RURAL |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DISTRICT | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | Total | $\mathbf{M}$ | F | Total |  |
| BOTHA-BOTHE | 321 | 368 | 689 | 301 | 380 | 681 | 1370 |
| LERIBE | 415 | 485 | 900 | 980 | 1090 | 2070 | 2970 |
| BEREA | 234 | 218 | 452 | 844 | 871 | 1715 | 2167 |
| MASERU | 617 | 711 | 1328 | 789 | 993 | 1782 | 3110 |
| MAFETENG | 222 | 237 | 459 | 854 | 916 | 1770 | 2229 |
| MOHALE'S HOEK | 172 | 289 | 461 | 321 | 406 | 727 | 1188 |
| QUTHING | 65 | 103 | 168 | 172 | 243 | 415 | 583 |
| QACHA'S NEK | 150 | 180 | 330 | 82 | 114 | 196 | 526 |
| MOKHOTLONG | 9 | 29 | 38 | 228 | 440 | 668 | 706 |
| THABA-TSEKA | 94 | 191 | 285 | 142 | 247 | 389 | 674 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 2 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 7 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 7 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 4 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 5 2 3}$ |

The number of repeaters was higher between the ages of 15 to 20 years whereby the pupils aged 17 years had the highest number of repeaters ( 21.0 percent) while age 20 recorded 7.0 percent.

Table 4.19: Repeaters in Registered Secondary Schools by Age, Form and Sex, 2014

| Age | Form A |  | Form B |  | Form C |  | Form D |  | Form E |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | Total |
| $<13$ | 6 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 |
| 13 | 46 | 91 | 3 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 153 |
| 14 | 205 | 401 | 48 | 111 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 773 |
| 15 | 381 | 588 | 248 | 446 | 35 | 45 | 10 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 1,767 |
| 16 | 462 | 528 | 414 | 829 | 91 | 200 | 75 | 111 | 1 | 4 | 2,715 |
| 17 | 402 | 341 | 668 | 805 | 174 | 345 | 199 | 298 | 8 | 12 | 3,252 |
| 18 | 259 | 146 | 538 | 514 | 202 | 332 | 260 | 414 | 18 | 24 | 2,707 |
| 19 | 83 | 50 | 315 | 239 | 224 | 235 | 282 | 329 | 35 | 24 | 1,816 |
| 20 | 33 | 7 | 202 | 77 | 174 | 132 | 236 | 228 | 25 | 34 | 1,148 |
| 21 | 6 | 4 | 57 | 32 | 90 | 47 | 111 | 113 | 24 | 24 | 508 |
| 22 | 3 | 3 | 27 | 15 | 44 | 22 | 100 | 74 | 29 | 28 | 345 |
| 23 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 6 | 17 | 6 | 35 | 25 | 10 | 15 | 124 |
| 24 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 9 | 21 | 16 | 10 | 10 | 84 |
| $>24$ | 0 | 4 | 2 | 9 | 5 | 7 | 22 | 44 | 9 | 10 | 112 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 , 8 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 1 7 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 5 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 1 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 6 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 3 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 3 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 6 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 , 5 2 3}$ |

### 4.8.2 Transition Rates from Form C to Form D

Table 4.20 illustrates the transition rates from form C to form D . Transition rates reveal that there were more females who progressed from Form C to Form D than males since 2010 to 2013. It also reflects that the gap between male and female transition rates was diminishing in the same period. Though transition rate had dropped to 71.4 in both 2011 and 2012, improvement of 74.9 was observed in 2013.

| Table 4.20: Transition Rates from Form C to Form D, 2002 - 2013 |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Year | Males | Females | Total |
| 2002 | 74.3 | 75.2 | 74.8 |
| 2003 | 79.0 | 77.0 | 77.9 |
| 2004 | 78.3 | 76.4 | 77.2 |
| 2005 | 75.2 | 73.7 | 74.4 |
| 2006 | 68.7 | 67.0 | 67.7 |
| 2007 | 71.8 | 75.7 | 74 |
| 2008 | 71.7 | 78.2 | 75.3 |
| 2009 | 78.6 | 76.2 | 77.2 |
| 2010 | 73.6 | 75.3 | 74.6 |
| 2011 | 69.7 | 72.7 | 71.4 |
| 2012 | 70.4 | 72.6 | 71.4 |
| 2013 | 74.4 | 75.2 | 74.9 |

### 4.8.3 Examination Results

Examinations Council of Lesotho (ECOL) conducts examinations and assessment tests, for primary and secondary education, in a manner that will improve the culture of learning and maintain the quality and standards of education in Lesotho in order to open opportunities for further education and the world of work within the country and beyond. One of its objectives is to provide certificates to all candidates who have achieved the desired levels of performance in primary and secondary schools. Below is an analysis of Junior Certificate and Cambridge Overseas School Certificate (COSC) examinations results.

### 4.8.3.1 Junior Certificate Examinations

Table 4.21 displays the Junior Certificate examination results from 2004 to 2014. It is shown from the table that the percentages for Merit, First and Second Class Passed have been declining with fluctuations since 2004 to 2014. For instance, Merit pass declined from 1.5 percent in 2004 to 1.4 in 2014; First Class pass declined from 6.9 in 2004 to 6.5 in 2014 and the Second Class pass decreased from 56.0 in 2004 to 48.4 in 2014. On the other hand, the third class and failure percentages increased with fluctuations also from 11.1 in 2004 tol2.2 percent in 2014; and from 24.4 percent in 2004 to 31.5 percent in 2014 respectively.

Table 4.21: Junior Certificate Examination Results, 2004-2014

| Indicators | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Candidates | 14346 | 14737 | 15081 | 15717 | 16056 | 18774 | 20766 | 21010 | 21414 | 20894 |
| Total passes | 10842 | 10630 | 9800 | 11155 | 11423 | 12840 | 14523 | 14556 | 14639 | 14862 |

### 4.8.3.2 Lesotho General Certificate in Secondary Education (LGCSE)

Over the past more than 20 years ago, completion of Junior Certificate (JC) was followed by Cambridge Overseas School Certificate (COSC) for students who proceeded further with Higher Secondary or Senior Secondary. However, the Government had planned to localize the final examination at this level, hence why an introduction on the Lesotho General Certificate in Secondary Education (LGCSE) which started in the year 2014.

Table 4.22 shows the first LGCSE results which in turn would not enable comparison with results for COSC. As a result, out of all students who sat for LGCSE examinations in 2014, the percentages that scored A+, A and B were 5.7, 13.1 and 77.1 in any of the subjects. From score $C$ to $U$ the percentages far more than doubled implying that the magnitudes for these lower scores were very high in the country as a whole.

With respect to the districts, the pattern is similar as it is observed that from BothaBothe to Thaba-Tseka, the magnitudes for scores from C to U are all above the total number of students who sat for examinations.

Table 4.22: LGCSE Examination Results, 2014

| DISTRICT | STUDENTS | A+ | A | B | C | D | E | U | X |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| BOTHA- BOTHE | 1122 | 66 | 145 | 904 | 1620 | 1948 | 1624 | 2347 | 72 |
| LERIBE | 2955 | 212 | 473 | 2804 | 4446 | 5083 | 4273 | 5838 | 182 |
| BEREA | 1966 | 98 | 271 | 1610 | 2742 | 3349 | 2860 | 4010 | 101 |
| MASERU | 4599 | 276 | 620 | 3215 | 6070 | 7574 | 6724 | 10360 | 279 |
| MAFETENG | 1402 | 75 | 177 | 1187 | 2194 | 2362 | 1900 | 2827 | 134 |
| MOHALE'S HOEK | 881 | 72 | 147 | 746 | 1232 | 1366 | 1216 | 2077 | 40 |
| QUTHING | 817 | 7 | 33 | 302 | 812 | 1168 | 1329 | 2531 | 134 |
| QACHA'S NEK | 519 | 7 | 22 | 244 | 597 | 897 | 879 | 1398 | 12 |
| MOKHOTLONG | 548 | 38 | 80 | 508 | 852 | 875 | 712 | 900 | 84 |
| THABA-TSEKA | 465 | 15 | 28 | 259 | 582 | 759 | 754 | 1092 | 26 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{1 5 , 2 7 4}$ | $\mathbf{8 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 , 7 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 , 1 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 , 3 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 , 2 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 , 3 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 6 4}$ |

## Chapter 5: Technical and Vocational Education Training

### 5.0 Introduction

Technical and Vocational Education Training (TVET) can be defined as an occupation and employment based education. Learning may be facilitated either through formal schools, centers or institutions that are publicly or privately owned, or through informal, traditional-apprenticeship and or non-formal semi-structured training. The nature of the content is purposefully designed to prepare learners for specific trades, crafts and careers, largely through practical-based learning and complementary theory to equip learners with the acquisition of practical competencies, the know-how and attitudes necessary to perform in their respective occupations within the labour market. Institutions belonging to this category in Lesotho award appreciation, national and international certificates and diploma's in a range of study fields from agriculture, basic handicrafts, home economics, hospitality, construction, engineering, business, management and IT. Entry requirements begin with qualifications as low as STD 7 in most institutions and the courses offered range from a period of 2 weeks to 36 months.

### 5.1 Enrolment

Table 5.1 reveals enrolment in registered technical and vocational schools by age. It is shown from the table that enrolment of learners in TVET increased from 3,296 in 2012 to 3,303 in 2013 and further to 4,223 in 2014. Gender imbalance was in favour of female learners who constituted 54.0 percent, while their male counterparts accounted for 46.0 percent. The table further illustrates a significant enrolment of students between the ages of 18 and 25 and less number of students among the ages of 14 to 17 and beyond age 25 , comparatively.

Table 5.1: Enrolment in Registered Technical and Vocational Schools by Age and Sex, 2014

| AGE | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $<14$ | 117 | 162 | 279 |
| 14 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 15 | 1 | 6 | 7 |
| 16 | 13 | 18 | 31 |
| 17 | 20 | 42 | 62 |
| 18 | 266 | 380 | 646 |
| 19 | 115 | 162 | 277 |
| 20 | 133 | 190 | 323 |
| 21 | 274 | 218 | 492 |
| 22 | 253 | 322 | 575 |
| 23 | 214 | 203 | 417 |
| 24 | 125 | 185 | 310 |
| 25 | 168 | 125 | 293 |
| 26 | 20 | 8 | 28 |
| $>26$ | 226 | 255 | 481 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 , 9 4 6}$ (46.0 percent) | $\mathbf{2 , 2 7 7}$ (54.0 percent) | $\mathbf{4 , 2 2 3}$ |

Table 5.2 presents enrolment in TVET institutions by level of education and sex, Number of TVET institutions by level of education. It is revealed that most of TVET institutions were in Senior Secondary education, 11 ( 44.0 percent) and 7 (28.0 percent) were those that were in Junior and beyond Secondary respectively. In contrary, the enrolment was highest in institutions beyond secondary level with 44.0 percent followed by those in senior secondary education with 31.0 percent and lastly those in Junior secondary with 25.0 percent.

Table 5.2: Enrolment in TVET Institutions by level of education and Sex, 2014

| Level of Education | Entry. <br> Req. | Male | Female | Total | Total <br> Percentage | Number of <br> Institutions | Total <br> Percentage |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Junior Secondary | Std 7 | 460 | 612 | 1,072 | 25.0 | 7 | 28.0 |
| Senior Secondary | JC | 495 | 796 | 1,291 | 31.0 | 11 | 44.0 |
| Beyond Secondary | COSC | 991 | 869 | 1,860 | 44.0 | 7 | 28.0 |
| Total |  | $\mathbf{1 , 9 4 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 2 7 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 2 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Unlike the ECCD, Primary and Secondary school Level, the Technical and Vocational schools are not scattered all over the districts in the country, they are in seven districts. Table 5.3 portrays that majority of learners were in the districts of Maseru, Leribe and Mohale's Hoek, represented by 45.0; 20.0; and 18.0 percent respectively. It is also observed that the larger percentage of students 33.0 was enrolled in RCM institutions while 27.0 and 22.0 percent were enrolled in private and Government institutions respectively.

Table 5.3: Enrolment in Registered Technical and Vocational Schools by District, Agency and Sex, 2014

| District | Government |  | Community |  | LEC |  | RCM |  | ACL |  | PRIVATE |  | TOTAL | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F |  |  |
| LERIBE | 54 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 307 | 270 | 7 | 65 | 25 | 90 | 850 | 20 |
| BEREA | 0 | 0 | 98 | 150 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 248 | 6 |
| MASERU | 160 | 236 | 20 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 149 | 114 | 0 | 0 | 521 | 678 | 1915 | 45 |
| MOHALE'S HOEK | 111 | 43 | 32 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 104 | 422 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 733 | 18 |
| QUTHING | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 225 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 255 | 6 |
| MOKHOTLONG | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 1 |
| THABA-TSEKA | 125 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 183 | 4 |
| Total | 450 | 369 | 150 | 208 | 233 | 61 | 560 | 806 | 7 | 65 | 546 | 768 | 4,223 | 100.0 |

Out of the total enrolment in this level of education, repeaters constituted 2.8 percent out of whom 31.0 percent were males and 69.0 were females. Comparison by agency shows that majority of repeaters were in private institutions with 28.4 percent; this percentage was followed by the one for RCM with 24.0 percent and then Community institutions with 8.0 percent. Maseru had the highest percentage of repeaters (62.0); it was followed by Mohale's Hoek with 24.0 percent whereas Leribe had no repeaters despite its high enrolment.

Table 5.4: Repeaters in Registered Technical and Vocational Schools by District and Sex, 2014

| District | COMM |  | LEC |  |  |  | RCM |  | PRIVATE |  |  |  | M | F | Total | Total percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | F | Total | M | F | Total | M | F | Total | M | F | Total |  |  |  |  |
| BEREA | 4 | 5 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 9 | 7 |
| MASERU | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 48 | 73 | 25 | 48 | 73 | 62 |
| MOHALE'S HOEK | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 27 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 27 | 28 | 24 |
| QUTHING | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 8 | 7 |
| Total | 4 | 5 | 9 | 7 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 27 | 28 | 25 | 48 | 73 | 37 | 81 | 118 | 100 |
| Total percentage |  |  | 8 |  |  | 7 |  |  | 24 |  |  | 62 | 31 | 69 | 100 |  |

Table 5.5 presents the number and percentage of students that dropped out of the Technical and Vocational Level by sex and major reason for dropping out. It is observed from the table that a total of 282 students were reported to have left school in 2014. Out of the total number that left school, the highest proportion ( 65.0 percent) dropped because they were seeking employment; while 6.0 percent died and the other 6.0 percent left because of pregnancy. Those that left because of marriage d and no funds for uniform, shoes, food and hygiene were also represented by 4.0 percent each.

Table 5.5: Students Who Left School in Registered Technical and Vocational Schools by Reason and Sex, 2014

| MAJOR REASON FOR LEAVING | MALES | FEMALES | TOTAL | TOTAL <br> PERCENTAGE |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Car accident | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0.0 |
| Death | 4 | 12 | 16 | 6.0 |
| Dismissed | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1.0 |
| Don't like schooling | 10 | 10 | 20 | 7.0 |
| Dropped out on medical grounds | 1 | 5 | 6 | 2.0 |
| Initiation School | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1.0 |
| Just left | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0.0 |
| Looking after the sick/old/children | 0 | 4 | 4 | 1.0 |
| Marriage | 3 | 7 | 10 | 4.0 |
| No founds for Uniform, shoes, food and hygiene | 5 | 5 | 10 | 4.0 |
| No school fees | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1.0 |
| Pregnancy | 0 | 16 | 16 | 6.0 |
| Redundant/Failed | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1.0 |
| School too Far | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0.0 |
| Seek Employment | 52 | 132 | 184 | 65.0 |
| Transfer | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1.0 |
| Total | $\mathbf{8 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Table 5.6 demonstrates the number of students who left school by district, agency and sex. Table 5.6 demonstrates that out of the total enrolment of 4,223 students, 7.0 percent of students dropped out of this level of education. The distribution by district shows that Maseru ( 68.0 percent) was leading with the number of drop outs;

This percentage was followed by the one for Leribe with 14.0 percent. Mohale's Hoek had a proportion of 11.0 percent and Berea had 7.0 percent.

The table further shows that most students who left school were from Government institutions ( 60.0 percent), it was followed by those that were from RCM with 18.0 percent and those who were from Community institutions with 14.0 percent. Comparison by sex showed an uneven distribution in favour of females constituting a higher percentage of 71.0 .

Table 5.6: Students Who Left School in Technical and Vocational Institutions by District, Agency and Sex, 2014

| District | GVT |  | Total | COMM |  | Total | R C C |  | Total | AC L |  | Total | PRIVATE |  | Total | M | F | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Tota } \\ 1 \\ \text { Per. } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | F |  | M | F |  | M | F |  | M | F |  | M | F |  |  |  |  |  |
| LERIBE | 7 | 5 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 12 | 15 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 14 | 24 | 38 | 14.0 |
| BEREA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 12 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 12 | 21 | 7.0 |
| MASERU | 38 | 120 | 158 | 2 | 7 | 9 | 4 | 7 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 6 | 13 | 51 | 140 | 191 | 68.0 |
| MOHALE' S HOEK | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 23 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 25 | 32 | 11.0 |
| Total | 45 | 125 | 170 | 17 | 21 | 38 | 8 | 42 | 50 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 10 | 11 | 21 | 81 | 201 | 282 | 100 |
| Total <br> Percentage |  |  | 60 |  |  | 14 |  |  | 18 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 7 | 29 | 71 | 100 |  |

### 5.2 Teaching Staff

The number of teachers in TVET institutions rose from 208 in 2012 to 226 in 2013 and then it decreased to 220 in 2014 . Table 5.7 presents the number and percentage of teachers in registered technical and vocational institutions by rank and sex and it is reflected for it that out of 220 teachers in $2014,54.0$ percent were males while 46 percent were females. The table also shows that teachers were largely populated in the lower ranks of Teacher Assistant (36.0 percent) and Teacher 35.0 percent, the percentages diminished towards the upper ranks.

Table 5.7: Teachers in Registered Technical and Vocational Schools by Teacher's Rank and Sex, 2014

| TEACHER'S RANK | M | F | Total | Total <br> Percentage |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Assistant Specialist Teacher | 14 | 4 | 18 | 8.0 |
| Associate Teacher | 10 | 10 | 20 | 9.0 |
| Senior Specialist Teacher | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1.0 |
| Senior Teacher | 7 | 10 | 17 | 8.0 |
| Specialist Teacher | 4 | 3 | 7 | 3.0 |
| Teacher | 33 | 45 | 78 | 35.0 |
| Teacher Assistant | 50 | 29 | 79 | 36.0 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 0}$ |  |
| Total Percentage | $\mathbf{5 4 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

As majority of the students and the institutions are also in Maseru, even majority of teachers at this level of education were in Maseru at 37.0 percent. Leribe and Mohale's Hoek had 20.0 and 19.0 percent of teachers in TVET level.

Disaggregation of teachers by sex and district and agency, it is observed that majority teachers were in RCM with 40.0 percent. This percentage was seconded by the one for Private with 21.0 and then Government with 16.0 percent.

Table 5.8: Teachers in Technical and Vocational Institutions by District, Agency and Sex, 2014

|  | GOVT |  |  | COMM |  |  | LEC |  |  | RCM |  |  | AME |  |  | PRIVATE |  |  | Total |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DISTRICT | M | F | Total | M | F | Total | M | F | Total | M | F | Total | M | F | Total | M | F | Total | M | F |  | Total Percentage |
| LERIBE | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 13 | 33 | 1 | 9 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 23 | 44 | 20.0 |
| BEREA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 7 | 13 | 6.0 |
| MASERU | 5 | 11 | 16 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 7 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 17 | 46 | 45 | 37 | 82 | 37.0 |
| MOHALES HOEK | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 28 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 29 | 42 | 19.0 |
| QUTHING | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 18 | 8.0 |
| MOKHOTLONG | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2.0 |
| THABA-TSEKA | 15 | 3 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 3 | 18 | 8.0 |
| Total | 20 | 15 | 35 | 9 | 9 | 18 | 19 | 2 | 21 | 39 | 48 | 87 | 3 | 10 | 13 | 29 | 17 | 46 | 119 | 101 | 220 | 100 |
| Total Percentage |  |  | 16.0 |  |  | 8.0 |  |  | 10.0 |  |  | 40.0 |  |  | 6.0 |  |  | 21.0 | 54.0 | 46.0 | 100 |  |

## Chapter 6: Tertiary Education

### 6.1 HIGHER EDUCATION INSTITUTIONS (HEIS) IN LESOTHO

Beyond the previous Cambridge Overseas School Certificate (COSC) which is currently known the Lesotho General Certificate in Secondary Education (LGCSE), there are higher level institutions which are known as Tertiary or Higher Education Institutions. There are currently 14 institutions recognised by the Government of Lesotho from which nine (9) are public institutions and namely they are:

1. Centre for Accounting Studies (CAS);
2. Institute of Development Management (IDM);
3. Lesotho Agricultural College (LAC);
4. Lesotho College of Education (LCE);
5. Lesotho Boston Health Alliance (LeBoHA);
6. Lesotho Institute of Public Administration and Management (LIPAM);
7. Lerotholi Polytechnic (LP);
8. National Health Training Centre (NHTC)
9. National University of Lesotho (NUL) and the private institutions comprising of:
10. Paray School of Nursing (PSN);
11. Roma School of Nursing (RSN);
12. Scott Hospital School of Nursing (Scott);
13. Limkokwing University of Creative Technology (LUCT); and
14. Maluti Adventist College (MAN).

### 6.2 Enrolment by Type of Institution

A total of 24,073 students were enrolled at HEIs in Lesotho in the academic year $2012 / 2013$. Out of this number 58.6 percent were females while a 41.4 percent were males. According to the table majority of the students in this level of education, majority were in public institutions such as NUL, LUCT, LP and LCE, where the combined or overall percentage was 85.4 , this implies that only 14.6 percent was in private institutions.

Table 6. 1: Enrolment by Type of Institution, 2012/2013

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | TYPE OF INSTITUTION |  |  |  |  |
| Sex | PUBLIC | PRIVATE |  | TOTAL | Percentage |
| Male |  | 8,338 | 1,621 | 9,959 | 41.4 |
| Female | 12,214 | 1,900 | 14,114 | 58.6 |  |
| Total | 20,552 | 3,521 | 24,073 | 100 |  |
| Total Percentage | $\mathbf{8 5 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |  |  |

### 6.3 Enrolment by Institution and Sex

Table 6.2 presents enrolment in tertiary level by institution and sex, as a result it is observed from the table that majority of students in this level of education were females as mentioned earlier, except for LP and LUCT where males outnumbered the females. The table further shows that NUL enrolled 42.6 percent of the total enrolment. This was followed by LCE with 17.9 percent; LUCT and LP with 12.4 11.1 percent respectively.

Table 6.2: Enrolment in Tertiary level by Institution and Sex, 2012/2013

| Institution | Male | Female | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| NUL | 3,893 | 6,362 | 10,255 |
| IDM | 154 | 270 | 424 |
| RCN | 25 | 79 | 104 |
| SSN | 26 | 117 | 143 |
| PSN | 25 | 100 | 125 |
| MAN | 44 | 119 | 163 |
| LUCT | 1,500 | 1,484 | 2,984 |
| LCE | 1,295 | 3,012 | 4,307 |
| LAC | 211 | 283 | 494 |
| LP | 1,887 | 780 | 2,667 |
| NHTC | 159 | 394 | 553 |
| CAS | 627 | 814 | 1,441 |
| LIPAM | 112 | 299 | 411 |
| LeBoHa | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Total | $\mathbf{9 , 9 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 , 1 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 , 0 7 3}$ |

Total enrolment declined by 5.6 percent from 2011/2012 figure of 25,507 to 24,073 in 2012/2013; The nursing institutions such as MAN, RSN, SSN, PSN, NHTC and the two public institutions, namely LAC and CAS were the only ones that had increasing enrolment between 2011/2012 and 2012/2013.

Table 6: 3: Enrolment and Percentage Change from 2011/2012 to 2012/2013

| Institution | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 / 2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 / \mathbf { 2 0 1 3 }}$ | Percentage change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| NUL | 11,363 | 10,255 | -9.75 |
| IDM | 478 | 424 | -11.30 |
| RCN | 89 | 104 | 16.85 |
| SSN | 103 | 143 | 38.83 |
| PSN | 96 | 125 | 30.21 |
| MAN | 126 | 163 | 29.37 |
| LUCT | 3,086 | 2,984 | -3.31 |
| LCE | 4,804 | 4,307 | -10.35 |
| LAC | 357 | 494 | 38.38 |
| LP | 2,716 | 2,667 | -1.80 |
| NHTC | 535 | 553 | 3.36 |
| CAS | 1,296 | 1,441 | 11.19 |
| LIPAM | 458 | 441 | -10.26 |
| LeBoHA | 0 | 2 | 100 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 5 , 5 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 , 0 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{- 5 . 6}$ |

### 6.4 Enrolment by Institution and Programme

In general, majority of students enrolled as undergraduates in the country in $2012 / 2013$. They constituted 98.0 percent while post graduate students were only 2.0 percent. The post graduate degrees or programmes are only said to be offered by NUL, CAS and LeBoHA institutions only in the country during that time.

Table 6.4: Enrolment by Sex, Institution and Programme, 2012/2013

|  | Undergraduate |  |  |  | Postgraduate |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Institution | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| NUL | 3,726 | 6,205 | 9,931 | 167 | 157 | 324 |
| IDM | 154 | 270 | 424 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| RCN | 25 | 79 | 104 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SSN | 26 | 117 | 143 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PSN | 25 | 100 | 125 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MAN | 44 | 119 | 163 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| LeBoHA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| LUCT | 1,500 | 1,484 | 2,984 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| LCE | 1,295 | 3,012 | 4,307 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| LAC | 211 | 283 | 494 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| LP | 1,887 | 780 | 2,667 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NHTC | 159 | 394 | 553 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CAS | 551 | 729 | 1,280 | 76 | 85 | 161 |
| LIPAM | 112 | 299 | 411 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | $\mathbf{9 , 7 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 , 8 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 , 5 8 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 8 7}$ |
| Total Percentage |  |  | $\mathbf{9 8 . 0}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{0}$ |

### 6.5 Enrolment by Mode of Delivery and Gualification

Enrolment at this level of education may be either delivered on full-time or part-time basis; therefore, Table 6.5 shows enrolment of students in tertiary by mode of delivery and qualification being pursued. It is observed that 70.9 percent of students were on full-time while 29.1 percent were on part-time basis. It is further shown that the percentage of students who were pursuing Diploma was dominating with 56.6 percent. It was followed by the percentage for those who pursued first Degree with 38.5 percent.

Table 6. 5: Enrolment in Tertiary by Mode of Delivery and Qualification being pursued, 2012/2013

| Mode of <br> delivery | Sex | Master | Honours/ <br> Postgraduate <br> Diploma | Degree | Diploma | Certificate | TotalTotal <br> Percentage |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Full-time | Male | Female | 50 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | $\mathbf{9 2}$ | 10 | 4,277 | 4,703 | 208 | 9,240 |  |  |
|  | Male | 64 | $\mathbf{1 8}$ | $\mathbf{7 , 6 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{8 , 8 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 , 0 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{7 0 . 9}$ |  |
| Part-time | Female | 95 | 129 | 441 | 1,431 | 77 | 2,142 |  |  |
|  | Total | $\mathbf{1 5 9}$ | 104 | 1,189 | 3,265 | 221 | 4,874 |  |  |
|  | Grand | $\mathbf{2 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 6 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 6 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{7 , 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 . 1}$ |  |  |
|  | Total | $\mathbf{2 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 2 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 , 5 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{7 8 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 , 0 7 3}$ |  |  |
|  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage | $\mathbf{1 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 6 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |  |  |  |

Out of these institutions, 71.9 percent of students were pursuing full-time programmes, while 29.1 percent were under part-time programmes. In that academic year, the institutions that offered part-time programmes were IDM with 93.9; LIPAM with 49.1; LCE with 45.6; CAS with 44.6 and NUL with 37.1 percent of students under part-time programmes in 2012/2013 (see Table 6.6).

Table 6.6: Enrolment in Tertiary by Institution and Mode of delivery, 2012/2013

| Institutions | Full time Male | Female | Total | Part-Time |  | Total | Total <br> Male | Female | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Male | Female |  |  |  |  |
| NHTC | 159 | 394 | 553 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 159 | 394 | 553 |
| LCE | 778 | 1,561 | 2,339 | 517 | 1,451 | 1,968 | 1,295 | 3,012 | 4,307 |
| MAN | 44 | 119 | 163 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 119 | 163 |
| PSN | 25 | 100 | 125 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 100 | 125 |
| RCN | 25 | 79 | 104 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 79 | 104 |
| NUL | 2,742 | 3,708 | 6,450 | 1,151 | 2,654 | 3,805 | 3,893 | 6,362 | 10,255 |
| SSN | 26 | 117 | 143 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 117 | 143 |
| IDM | 4 | 22 | 26 | 150 | 248 | 398 | 154 | 270 | 424 |
| LUCT | 1,500 | 1,484 | 2,984 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,500 | 1,484 | 2,984 |
| LAC | 211 | 283 | 494 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 211 | 283 | 494 |
| CAS | 364 | 434 | 798 | 263 | 380 | 643 | 627 | 814 | 1,441 |
| LP | 1,887 | 780 | 2,667 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,887 | 780 | 2,667 |
| LIPAM | 51 | 158 | 209 | 61 | 141 | 202 | 112 | 299 | 411 |
| LeBoHA | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Total <br> Total | 7,817 | 9,240 | 17,057 | 2,142 | 4,874 | 7,016 | 9,959 | 14,114 | 24,073 |
| Percentage |  |  | 70.9 |  |  | 29.1 |  |  | 100 |

### 6.6 Enrolment by Field of Study

Figure 6.1 portrays the number of students in tertiary by field of study and sex, whereby it is observed that majority of the students was pursuing Education with 34.8 percent. The percentage for those who were doing Social Sciences seconded with 29.8 percent. The females dominated in Social Sciences, whereas, the males outnumbered their female counterparts in Science related fields which include Computing, Science, Agriculture, Engineering and Construction.

Figure 6.1: Enrolment by Field of Study and Sex, 2012/2013


### 6.7 Enrolment by Sponsor

Figure 6.2 shows the number of students in tertiary level of education by sex and sponsor. It is observed that majority of the students were sponsored by National Manpower Development Secretariat (NMDS) with 68.4 percent. This percentage was followed the one for Self-Sponsor which was accounted for 29.3 percent; and the remaining 2.3 percent was for the combined organizations such TEBA and Business owners.

Figure 6.2: Students in Tertiary Institutions by Sex and Sponsor, 2012/2013


### 6.8 New Entrants

Out of the total enrolment of 24,073 in tertiary level, 24.7 percent were new students enrolled in HEIs. The highest percentage of these students was enrolled in NUL with 30.4 percent. The second highest percentage was for those enrolled in LUCT with 16.2 percent. The percentages that enrolled in LP and LCE were 14.3 and 14.1 respectively. LeBoHA did not have new entrants in that same academic year. The figure further shows that new female students outnumbered their male counterparts in NUL, LUCT, LCE, NHTC and CAS.

Figure 6.3: New Entrants in Tertiary Institutions by Sex, 2012/2013


### 6.9 GRADUATES

Usually not all the students that register for end of programme examinations end up passing or graduating, some may either fail, withdraw or may not complete the final examinations (Incomplete Examinations). As a result Figure 6.4 portrays the number of students in tertiary who registered for end of programme examinations in HEIs by result end and it is observed from the figure that all or majority of the students ended up passing or graduating in all HEIs in 2012/2013. For instance, the percentages that passed the examinations ranged from a minimum of 61.9 in LCE to 100 in PSN and LeBoHA. CAS was an exception with the majority of students that failed ( 64.6 percent) in $2012 / 2013$. In all HEIs, the percentages that withdrew and did not complete the examinations were less than 1.0.

Figure 6.4: Total Number of Students who Registered for End of Programme Examinations by result end, 2012/2013


The following table shows the number and percentage of students that registered for end of programme examinations by field of study and result end. It is shown in the table that out of 5,657 students that registered for end of programme examinations, the highest percentage was for those pursuing Education with 36.0. This percentage was seconded by the percentage for those who registered for Social Sciences and Business with 33.6. The third highest percentage was for Health and Welfare with 11.1. On the other hand, Sciences and Law accounted for only 0.3 and 0.8.

Furthermore it is shown from the table that 83.9 percent of these students passed or graduated while 15.1 percent failed and 1.0 percent either withdrew or did not complete the examinations. The percentages of students who passed the final examinations ranged from 68.2 in Social Sciences and Business to 96.2 in Health and Welfare.

Table 6.7: Students who registered for End of Programme Examinations by Field of Study and Result End, 2012/2013

| Field of Study | Passed | Failed | Withdrew | Incomplete | Total | Total <br> Percentage |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Education | 1,922 | 101 | 6 | 7 | 2,036 | 36.0 |
| Computing | 99 | 36 | 1 | 0 | 136 | 2.4 |
| Humanities and Arts | 290 | 17 | 3 | 1 | 311 | 5.5 |
| Social Sciences and Business | 1,297 | 583 | 10 | 12 | 1,902 | 33.6 |
| Sciences | 13 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0.3 |
| Engineering and Construction | 178 | 59 | 2 | 0 | 239 | 4.2 |
| Agriculture | 151 | 11 | 4 | 1 | 167 | 3.0 |
| Health and Welfare | 604 | 15 | 9 | 0 | 628 | 11.1 |
| Tourism and services | 152 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 177 | 3.1 |
| Law | 41 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 46 | 0.8 |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 , 7 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{8 5 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 6 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |
| Total Percentage | $\mathbf{8 3 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |  |

### 6.10 STAFF

Out of the total number of 14 HEIs in the Lesotho, there was a total of 1,886 staff members, that was an increase of 7.9 percent from the academic year 2011/2012 figure of 1,748 to $2012 / 2013$ academic year. About 52.0 percent were instructional personnel while 43.7 and 4.0 percent were support staff and management respectively. Support staff only dominated in five of the institutions, namely: NHTC with 52.3 percent; PSN with 59.3 percent, IDM with 62.5 percent; LAC with 64.3 percent and LIPAM with 62.8 percent.

Table 6. 8: Staff Members by Institution and Classification, 2012/2013

| Institution | Classification of staff |  | Management Total |  | Total <br> Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Instructional Personnel | Support staff |  |  |  |
| NHTC | 39 | 46 | 3 | 88 | 4.7 |
| LCE | 127 | 97 | 11 | 235 | 12.5 |
| MAN | 8 | 5 | 3 | 16 | 0.8 |
| PSN | 10 | 16 | 1 | 27 | 1.4 |
| RCN | 10 | 10 | 3 | 23 | 1.2 |
| NUL | 462 | 283 | 9 | 754 | 40.0 |
| SSN | 12 | 7 | 2 | 21 | 1.1 |
| IDM | 6 | 15 | 3 | 24 | 1.3 |
| LeBoHA | 5 | 3 | 2 | 10 | 0.5 |
| LUCT | 122 | 83 | 8 | 213 | 11.3 |
| LAC | 65 | 133 | 9 | 207 | 11.0 |
| CAS | 20 | 12 | 5 | 37 | 2.0 |
| LP | 88 | 88 | 12 | 188 | 10.0 |
| LIPAM | 12 | 27 | 4 | 43 | 2.3 |
| Total | 986 | 825 | 75 | 1,886 | 100 |
| Total Percentage | 52.3 | 43.7 | 4.0 | 100 |  |

### 6.11 Staff by Institution and Nationality

In total, 95.0 percent of HEIs staff were Basotho during the academic year 2012//2013, whereas, 5.0 percent of them were Non-Basotho as was the case in 2011/2012, where majority of staff members were Basotho. The staff members from abroad came from Zimbabwe, Botswana, South Africa and Nigeria. The table furthermore shows that NHTC, IDM, LAC and LIPAM were the only institutions with no foreign staff members.

Table 6. 9: Staff by Institution and Nationality, 2012/2013

| Institution | Basotho | Percentage <br> Basotho | Non-Basotho | Percentage Non- <br> Basotho | TotalTotal <br> Percentage |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| NHTC | 88 | 100 | 0 | 0.0 | 88 | 4.7 |
| LCE | 233 | 99.1 | 2 | 0.9 | 235 | 12.5 |
| MAN | 13 | 81.3 | 3 | 18.8 | 16 | 0.8 |
| PSN | 21 | 77.8 | 6 | 22.2 | 27 | 1.4 |
| RCN | 22 | 95.7 | 1 | 4.3 | 23 | 1.2 |
| NUL | 703 | 93.2 | 51 | 6.8 | 754 | 40 |
| SSN | 20 | 95.2 | 1 | 4.8 | 21 | 1.1 |
| IDM | 24 | 100 | 0 | 0.0 | 24 | 1.3 |
| LeBoHA | 7 | 70.0 | 3 | 30 | 10 | 0.5 |
| LUCT | 194 | 91.1 | 19 | 8.9 | 213 | 11.3 |
| LAC | 207 | 100 | 0 | 0.0 | 207 | 11 |
| CAS | 32 | 86.5 | 5 | 13.5 | 37 | 2 |
| LP | 185 | 98.4 | 3 | 1.6 | 188 | 10 |
| LIPAM | 43 | 100 | $\mathbf{0}$ | 0 | 0.0 | 43 |

### 6.12 Staff by Age

Most of the staff members were in the age-groups 26 to 60 years with percentages ranging from 23.5 percent in age-group 61 to 65 for support staff to 41.4 percent in age-group 41 to 45 years; and from 55.2 percent in age-group 31 to 35 to 78.2 percent in age-group 66 to 70 years among the instructional personnel. The figure further shows that most of the management staff was in age-groups 46 to 55 years.

Figure 6.5: Staff by Age and Classification, 2012/2013


### 6.13 Staff by Terms of Employment

Staff members in HEIs were largely employed on permanent ( 66.5 percent), contract (21.7 percent) and part-time ( 9.4 percent) basis, and these were largely instructional and support staff; the remaining 2.4 percent were employed on other terms as presented in Figure 6.6. Staff members in management were largely employed on contract basis.

Figure 6.6: Distribution of Staff Members by Terms of Employment and Classification, 2012/2013


### 6.14 Staff by Gualifications

The majority of staff members had Masters in Arts Degree with 29.9 percent and First Degree with 22.0 percent and majority of them were instructional personnel. The third highest percentage was for those who had COSC and below; however, more than 99.7 percent of them were support staff according to the following table.

Table 6. 10: Staff by Gualification and Classification, 2012/2013

| Highest qualification | Classification of staff Instructional |  | Management | Total | Total <br> Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PHD | 127 | 1 | 16 | 144 | 7.6 |
| MA | 480 | 51 | 32 | 563 | 29.9 |
| Honours | 76 | 21 | 5 | 102 | 5.4 |
| Degree | 266 | 132 | 16 | 414 | 22.0 |
| Advanced Diploma | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0.2 |
| Diploma | 26 | 170 | 5 | 201 | 10.7 |
| Certificate | 1 | 117 | 0 | 118 | 6.3 |
| Baccalaureus | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0.3 |
| In service training | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.1 |
| COSC or below | 0 | 319 | 1 | 320 | 17.0 |
| Other | 3 | 12 | 0 | 15 | 0.8 |
| Total | 986 | 825 | 75 | 1,886 | 100 |

### 6.15 Staff Training

A total of 73 staff members of HEIs from 9 institutions went for training in 2012/2013. 27.4 percent trained at NUL, 16.4 trained at LUCT and the third and fourth highest percentages were trained at PSN and LP with12.3 11.0 percent respectively. This is an improvement from the number that was trained in the academic year 2011/2012 (thus 31 staff members from 7 institutions)

Table 6. 11: Distribution of Staff Members by Institution and Classification of Staff, 2012/2013

|  | Classification of staff |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Institution | Instructional Personnel |  | Support staff | Management | Total | Total <br> Percentage |
| NHTC | 6 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 9.6 |  |
| LCE | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2.7 |  |
| PSN | 7 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 12.3 |  |
| RCN | 2 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 8.2 |  |
| NUL | 19 | 1 | 0 | 20 | 27.4 |  |
| SSN | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 8.2 |  |
| LUCT | 12 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 16.4 |  |
| LP | 4 | 4 | 0 | 8 | 11.0 |  |
| LIPAM | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4.1 |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{7 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |  |

### 6.16 Staff who left the Institutions

A total of 55 staff members left the HEIs during the academic year 2011/2012. This implies a declined by 56.3 percent from the 2010/2011 total of 127 staff members. The majority of those who left were from NUL with 20.0 percent; LAC and LP with 14.5 percent each; and LCE with 12.7 percent. Majority ( 58.2 percent) of them were instructional personnel as shown by Figure 6.7.

Figure 6.7: Staff Who Left the institution in the Previous Year by Institution and Classification, 2011/2012


### 6.17 Staff who Left the Institutions by Reasons for Leaving

Out of the 55 staff members left HEIs during the academic year 2011/2012, m majority of them resigned ( 32.7 percent). This percentage was seconded by the one for those that went on compulsory retirement with 18.2 and then 12.7 percent for those that their contracts came to an end. Those who died constituted 10.9 percent.

Table 6.12: Staff who Left the Institutions by Reasons for Leaving, 201 1/2012

|  | Classification of staff <br> Instructional <br> Personnel | Support <br> staff | Management | Total | Total <br> Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Reason for Leaving | 4 | 3 | 1 | 8 | 14.5 |
| End of contract | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1.8 |
| Promotion | 4 | 4 | 2 | 10 | 18.2 |
| Compulsory Retirement | 3 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 10.9 |
| Death | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1.8 |
| Departmental Transfer | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3.6 |
| Deserted | 2 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 7.3 |
| Dismissed | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5.5 |
| Early Retirement | 11 | 5 | 2 | 18 | 32.7 |
| Resigned | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3.6 |
| End of unpaid leave | $\mathbf{3 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 8}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{5 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |

## Chapter 7: Non Formal Education

### 7.0 Introduction

Non Formal Education (NFE) may be defined as a type of education in which content is adapted to suit the unique needs of students in order to maximize their learning capacity. It is more learner-centered, as optional curriculum is emphasized unlike formal education where the prescribed sequential curriculum is used. NFE learning is facilitated typically through interest-based courses, workshops, community courses, projects and or seminars. Much like formal education, learning takes place in formal learning environments (learning centers) which do not however observe the usual formal school education conventions such as keeping roll, enforcing discipline and writing reports.

There are several bodies that govern institutions belonging to this category in Lesotho. Principally, as part of the government's vision for the role of education in the development process, the Lesotho Distance Teaching Centre (LDTC) was set up in order to complement formal school education; to provide a broader and more practical form of education; and to reach larger and more diverse learners. It covers both formal and non-formal divisions of education. The former is facilitated through correspondence courses at Junior Certificate (JC) and Cambridge Overseas School Certificate (COSC) levels, whereas the latter is facilitated by providing basic practical skills to a large proportion of the population living in the country's rural areas and offers opportunities for out-of-school youth and adults to develop their literacy and numeracy skills. Apart from LDTC there are other institutions and associations which recognize NFE initiatives in Lesotho such as 'Lesotho Girl Guides Association’ (LGGA), 'Lesotho Correctional Services' (LCS) and 'Lesotho Association of Non Formal Education' (LANFE). These institutions or associations are affiliated with LDTC in terms of providing training of teachers, teachers' guide materials, and learners' books.

### 7.1 Enrolment

NFE enrolment dropped from 11,007 learners in 2013 to 10,241 in 2014 implying a decline of about 7.0 percent. Table 7.1 reveals uneven distribution of enrolment by sex in favor of males whereby males were recorded at 6,740 which results 65.8 percent and females 34.2 percent. Age distribution shows that 2,706 ( 26.4 percent) was enrolment of learners below 18 years and 7,535 ( 73.6 percent) was the number of learners above 18 years (See Table 7.1 below). The table also highlights that most learners below 18 years were in NFE enrolled under literacy and numeracy, these are represented by 2,314 which is 85.5 percent; it was followed by those who were pursuing secondary constituting 12.0 percent. Sex disparity within those aged below 18 years indicates a wide gap between males and females enrolled whereby 82.4 percent were males and 17.6 percent were their female counterparts. However, the gap reduced between male and female learners aged above 18 years, since males were 4,511 which is 59.9 percent while females were 3,024 which is equal to 40.1 percent.

Table 7.1:Enrolment in Non Formal Education by Age, Level and Sex, 2014

| Age | Literacy and Numeracy |  | Primary |  |  | Secondary |  |  | Total |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | Total | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | Total | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | Total | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | Total |
| $<6$ | 3 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 9 |
| 6 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 12 |
| 7 | 12 | 12 | 24 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 13 | 25 |
| 8 | 30 | 10 | 40 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 12 | 42 |
| 9 | 36 | 10 | 46 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 11 | 49 |
| 10 | 94 | 10 | 104 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 94 | 13 | 107 |
| 11 | 65 | 10 | 75 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 11 | 78 |
| 12 | 167 | 6 | 173 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 168 | 6 | 174 |
| 13 | 154 | 14 | 168 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 160 | 19 | 179 |
| 14 | 248 | 19 | 267 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 253 | 21 | 274 |
| 15 | 275 | 12 | 287 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 285 | 18 | 303 |
| 16 | 319 | 10 | 329 | 6 | 2 | 8 | 13 | 39 | 52 | 338 | 51 | 389 |
| 17 | 318 | 38 | 356 | 7 | 2 | 9 | 24 | 96 | 120 | 349 | 136 | 485 |
| 18 | 386 | 44 | 430 | 9 | 5 | 14 | 29 | 107 | 136 | 424 | 156 | 580 |
| Sub | $\mathbf{2 , 1 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 3 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 3}$ | $\mathbf{6 7}$ | $\mathbf{7 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 2 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 7 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 0 6}$ |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | 409 | 60 | 469 | 4 | 3 | 7 | 31 | 128 | 159 | 444 | 191 | 635 |
| 20 | 383 | 79 | 462 | 3 | 9 | 12 | 38 | 117 | 155 | 424 | 205 | 629 |
| $21-35$ | 1680 | 438 | 2118 | 61 | 25 | 86 | 320 | 1247 | 1567 | 2061 | 1710 | 3771 |
| $36-55$ | 1093 | 442 | 1535 | 13 | 10 | 23 | 54 | 194 | 248 | 1160 | 646 | 1806 |
| $>55$ | 414 | 270 | 684 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 422 | 272 | 694 |
| Sub | $\mathbf{3 , 9 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 2 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 2 6 8}$ | $\mathbf{8 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 4 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 6 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 1 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 5 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 0 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{7 , 5 3 5}$ |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{6 , 0 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 4 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{7 , 5 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 9 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 4 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 7 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 5 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 , 2 4 1}$ |

Total enrolment by district reveals that Maseru had the highest percentage of 21.2 learners in 2014. It was followed by Mokhotlong with 17.1 percent, then ThabaTseka and Mafeteng with 13.5 and 10.8 percent respectively.

The table furthermore shows that continuing with primary education under this kind or level of education, Maseru had the highest percentage of 75.1, and it was followed by Mohale's Hoek and Leribe with 11.4 and 6.5 percent respectively. The remaining 7.0 percent was for Quthing, Botha-Bothe and Berea. On the other hand for those continuing with secondary education Maseru was leading with a lower percentage of 57.0 comparatively to primary level. It was seconded by Leribe with 11.9 percent and then Mohale's Hoek with 8.7 percent. The remaining 22.4 percent was for the rest of the districts except Mokhotlong which did not have continuing students even in secondary education.

With regard to Literacy and Numeracy, Mokhotlong was leading with 23.2 percent; it was followed respectively by Thaba-Tseka with 17.3 percent, Mafeteng with 12.7 percent and Berea with 12.7 percent.

Sex distribution in literacy and numeracy education indicates that males dominated females, they constituted 80.3 percent. With continuing education, the number of males exceeded the number of females once more with representation of 64.7 percent at primary level only while at secondary level females took a lead with 78.9 percent.

Table 7.2: Enrolment in Non Formal Education by District, Level and Sex, 2014

| DISTRICT | Literacy and Numeracy |  |  | Continuing Education |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Primary |  |  | Secondary |  |  | M | F | Total |
|  | M | F | Total | M | F | Total | M | F | Total |  |  |  |
| BOTHA-BOTHE | 57 | 38 | 95 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 15 | 74 | 89 | 74 | 114 | 188 |
| LERIBE | 350 | 173 | 523 | 4 | 9 | 13 | 53 | 240 | 293 | 407 | 422 | 829 |
| BEREA | 734 | 223 | 957 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 14 | 15 | 736 | 237 | 973 |
| MASERU | 492 | 125 | 617 | 111 | 40 | 151 | 315 | 1,085 | 1,400 | 918 | 1250 | 2,168 |
| MAFETENG | 633 | 327 | 960 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 21 | 113 | 134 | 654 | 447 | 1,101 |
| MOHALE'S HOEK | 234 | 57 | 291 | 10 | 13 | 23 | 49 | 164 | 213 | 293 | 234 | 527 |
| QUTHING | 199 | 49 | 248 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 81 | 109 | 227 | 130 | 357 |
| QACHA'S NEK | 603 | 219 | 822 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 30 | 104 | 134 | 635 | 323 | 958 |
| MOKHOTLONG | 1,741 | 18 | 1,759 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,741 | 18 | 1,759 |
| THABA-TSEKA | 1,048 | 262 | 1,310 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 64 | 71 | 1,055 | 326 | 1,381 |
| Total | 6,091 | 1,491 | 7,582 | 130 | 71 | 201 | 519 | 1,939 | 2,458 | 6,740 | 3,501 | 10,241 |

### 7.2 Special Educational Needs

A total of 381 learners in NFE were identified as those with special educational needs in 2014. As indicated in Table 7.3, Maseru generally topped with 125 students implying 32.8 percent and it was the only district with learners with special educational needs in continuing education. Learners in Literacy and numeracy were dominant accounting for 93.4 percent followed by those in continuing education; primary and secondary with 7 ( 1.8 percent) and 18 (4.7 percent) respectively. Males with special educational needs were 264; this number surpassed their female counterparts who were 117.

Table 7.3: Non Formal Education Learners with Special Educational Needs by District, Level and Sex,

| DISTRICT | Literacy and Numeracy |  |  | Continuing Education |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Primary |  |  | Secondary |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | M | F | Total | M | F | Total | M | F | Total | M | F | Total |
| BOTHA-BOTHE | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| LERIBE | 19 | 16 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 16 | 35 |
| BEREA | 26 | 9 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 9 | 35 |
| MASERU | 77 | 25 | 102 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 11 | 5 | 16 | 94 | 31 | 125 |
| MAFETENG | 32 | 18 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 18 | 50 |
| MOHALE'S HOEK | 14 | 5 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 15 | 5 | 20 |
| QUTHING | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| QACHA'S NEK | 30 | 15 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 15 | 45 |
| MOKHOTLONG | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 |
| THABA-TSEKA | 34 | 23 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 23 | 57 |
| Total | 245 | 111 | 356 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 13 | 5 | 18 | 264 | 117 | 381 |

### 7.3 Orphan-Hood

Although the international definition of orphan begins at age zero to 17 years, in this table those aged 18 years and older were included but analysis mainly focuses on those aged from under 6 to 17 years. Out of the total enrolment in non-formal education, 641 students were orphans, out of which 76.9 percent of them were male orphans while 23.1 percent were female orphans.

Under literacy and numeracy, 86.9 percent were male orphans and 13.1 percent were female orphans. For those who were continuing with primary education, the males accounted for 68.3 percent whereas among those who were continuing with secondary education, majority was female orphans with 77.3 percent.

Distribution of orphans by age shows that the number of orphans increases with an increase in age, thus, at younger ages there were few orphans, but as age increased the number of orphans also rose. The number of male orphans was higher than the number of female orphans in all ages except for ages less than six years whereby there were all female orphans in 2014.

Table 7.4: Orphans in Non Formal Education by Age, Level and Sex, 2014

| Age | Literacy and Numeracy |  |  | Continuing Education |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Primary |  |  | Secondary |  |  | M | F | Total |
|  | M | F | Total | M | F | Total | M | F | Total |  |  |  |
| <6 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| 6 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 5 |
| 7 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 |
| 8 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 7 |
| 9 | 14 | 2 | 16 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 2 | 18 |
| 10 | 35 | 2 | 37 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 4 | 40 |
| 11 | 17 | 4 | 21 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 5 | 24 |
| 12 | 36 | 1 | 37 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 2 | 39 |
| 13 | 42 | 3 | 45 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 46 | 5 | 51 |
| 14 | 55 | 14 | 69 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 58 | 16 | 74 |
| 15 | 75 | 10 | 85 | 8 | 2 | 10 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 86 | 15 | 101 |
| 16 | 61 | 9 | 70 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 23 | 29 | 69 | 35 | 104 |
| 17 | 94 | 19 | 113 | 6 | 2 | 8 | 9 | 39 | 48 | 109 | 60 | 169 |
| 18 | 44 | 8 | 52 | 7 | 4 | 11 | 7 | 36 | 43 | 58 | 48 | 106 |
| Grand Total | 489 | 75 | 564 | 35 | 17 | 52 | 27 | 104 | 131 | 551 | 196 | 747 |
| 19 | 15 | 8 | 23 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 5 | 17 | 22 | 22 | 29 | 51 |
| 20 | 12 | 1 | 13 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 7 | 11 | 16 | 12 | 28 |
| 21-35 | 39 | 11 | 50 | 10 | 17 | 27 | 8 | 36 | 44 | 57 | 64 | 121 |
| 36-55 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 10 | 17 |
| >55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Grand Total | 70 | 22 | 92 | 14 | 31 | 45 | 18 | 63 | 81 | 102 | 116 | 218 |
| Total | 559 | 97 | 656 | 49 | 48 | 97 | 45 | 167 | 212 | 653 | 312 | 965 |

### 7.4 Teaching Staff

The number of teachers in NFE increased from 559 in 2013 to 723 in 2014 implying an increase of 29.3 percent. Maseru was leading with the number of teachers in non formal education who were estimated at 19.6 percent, it was seconded by Mokhotlong with 17.0 percent. Under Literacy and Numeracy education Mokhotlong
was leading with 23.8 percent of teachers, on the other hand Maseru also had the highest number of teachers in continuing education ( 45.1 percent). The distribution of number of teachers by sex reveals inequality in favor of females, in both categories of literacy and numeracy education and continuing education with 77.6 and 59.7 percent.

Table 7.5: Teachers in Non Formal Education by District, Level and Sex, 2014

|  | Literacy and <br> Numeracy |  |  | Continuing Education |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DISTRICT | Primary and Secondary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | Total | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | Total | $\mathbf{M}$ | F | Total |
| BOTHA-BOTHE | 0 | 10 | 10 | 7 | 7 | 14 | 7 | 17 | 24 |
| LERIBE | 10 | 31 | 41 | 9 | 2 | 11 | 19 | 33 | 52 |
| BEREA | 38 | 42 | 80 | 2 | 16 | 18 | 40 | 58 | 98 |
| MASERU | 13 | 36 | 49 | 32 | 61 | 93 | 45 | 97 | 142 |
| MAFETENG | 16 | 39 | 55 | 8 | 7 | 15 | 24 | 46 | 70 |
| MOHALE'S HOEK | 4 | 17 | 21 | 8 | 14 | 22 | 12 | 31 | 43 |
| QUTHING | 0 | 16 | 16 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 18 | 22 |
| QACHA'S NEK | 5 | 48 | 53 | 7 | 8 | 15 | 12 | 56 | 68 |
| MOKHOTLONG | 23 | 100 | 123 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 100 | 123 |
| THABA-TSEKA | 7 | 62 | 69 | 6 | 6 | 12 | 13 | 68 | 81 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{8 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{7 2 3}$ |

Table 7.6, illustrates that there were 389 literacy and numeracy teachers, out of which 40.0 percent had primary education, 26 percent had Junior Certificate, 14 percent had COSC and 20 percent had qualifications equivalent and higher than COSC such as Diploma and 1st Degree.

Table 7.6: Non Formal Education Teachers in Literacy and Numeracy by District, Gualification and Sex, 2013

| DISTRICT | Primary Education |  |  | Junior Certificate |  |  | COSC |  |  | Other |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | F | Total | M | F | Total | M | F | Total | M | F | Total |  |
| BOTHA-BOTHE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 |
| LERIBE | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 12 | 1 | 7 | 8 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 29 |
| BEREA | 3 | 7 | 10 | 8 | 8 | 16 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 9 | 9 | 18 | 49 |
| MASERU | 0 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 9 | 9 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 18 | 26 | 46 |
| MAFETENG | 3 | 10 | 13 | 3 | 9 | 12 | 5 | 12 | 17 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 49 |
| MOHALE'S HOEK | 2 | 8 | 10 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 23 |
| QUTHING | 0 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 14 |
| QACHA'S NEK | 0 | 20 | 20 | 3 | 13 | 16 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 44 |
| MOKHOTLONG | 9 | 39 | 48 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 63 |
| THABA-TSEKA | 3 | 36 | 39 | 4 | 17 | 21 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 67 |
| Total | 20 | 136 | 156 | 25 | 76 | 101 | 17 | 39 | 56 | 22 | 54 | 76 | 389 |

Table 7.7 reveals that out of total number of 369 learning posts or centers, literacy and numeracy had 94.9 percent while continuing education had only 5.1 percent. The Majority of literacy and numeracy learning posts were in the mountainous districts namely Mokhotlong and Thaba-Tseka. Thaba-Tseka was forefront with 18.6 percent of learning posts followed by Mokhotlong with 17.7 percent. Most of the districts had at least one 'continuing education' post while Mokhotlong had no 'continuing education' learning post.

| Table 7.7: Number of Learning Posts/Centers in Non Formal Education by District and Level, $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DISTRICT | Literacy and Numeracy | Continuing Education | Total |
| BOTHA-BOTHE | 5 | 1 | 6 |
| LERIBE | 29 | 1 | 30 |
| BEREA | 51 | 1 | 52 |
| MASERU | 20 | 8 | 28 |
| MAFETENG | 50 | 2 | 52 |
| MOHALES HOEK | 14 | 3 | 17 |
| QUTHING | 11 | 1 | 12 |
| QACHA'S NEK | 43 | 1 | 44 |
| MOKHOTLONG | 62 | 0 | 62 |
| THABA-TSEKA | 65 | 1 | 66 |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 9}$ |

## ANNEX I: Technical Notes

Gross Enrolment Ratio: Enrolment in a specified level of education regardless of age expressed as a percentage of the total official age population for that level. This indicator is used to show the general level of participation in a particular level of education .It is also used to indicate the degree in which over-aged and under aged children enroll in schools. A high Gross Enrolment Ratio indicates that, there is a high degree of participation. Hence, a value of 100 shows that, all the school age population can be able to go to school. This indicator can exceed 100 as a result of over-aged and under-aged pupils.

Net Enrolment Ratio: Enrollees of the official age for a specified level of education expressed as a percentage of the total official age population for that level. It is used to show the degree of participation of children in a given level of education who are of the official age for that given level. The higher the value of this ratio, the higher the level of participation of the official age population. The maximum value for this indicator is 100 .

Apparent Intake Rate: New entrants in the first grade of primary, regardless of age, expressed as a percentage of the population of the official age for primary education. It indicates the capacity of the education system to provide access to the first grade for the official primary school entrance age. This rate can be more than 100 due to over-aged and under-aged children.

Net Intake Rate: These are new entrants who are of the official entrance age in the first grade of primary education, expressed as a percentage of the population of the same age. The main purpose of this indicator is to show the level of access to primary education of the eligible population of primary school-entrance age. A high rate of this indicator indicates a high degree of access to primary education for the official primary school-entrance age children.

Repetition Rate: This represents the proportion of pupils enrolled in a given grade at a given school year, who are still enrolled in the same grade the following school year. This indicator should as low as possible approach zero if the internal efficiency of the education system high.

Promotion Rate: This shows the proportion of pupils enrolled in a given grade who are enrolled in the next higher grade the following year. Promotion rates can indicate the quality of the education system. The maximum value of this rate is 100 .

Dropout Rate: Represents the proportion of pupils who neither passed nor came back the following year. This indicator is expected to decrease.

Pupil Teacher Ratio: It represents the average number of pupils per teacher in a specified level of education in a particular year. This indicator should be lower since a high ratio indicates a large number of pupils to be attended by one teacher.

## ANNEX II: SUMMARY INDICATORS

## 1. Primary Education Level

|  | $\begin{aligned} & 199 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 200 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 200 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 200 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 200 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 200 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 200 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 200 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 200 \\ & 7 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 200 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 200 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \hline 201 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 201 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 201 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 201 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. Access |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. GER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Males | $\begin{array}{r} 102 . \\ 3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 118 . \\ 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 120 . \\ 6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 122 . \\ 7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 123 . \\ 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 126 . \\ 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 126 . \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 127 . \\ 3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 120 . \\ 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 119 . \\ 3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 116 . \\ 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 116 . \\ 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 114 . \\ 6 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 111 . \\ 6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 105 . \\ 8 \end{array}$ |
| Females | $\begin{array}{r} 110 . \\ 7 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 122 . \\ 6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 123 . \\ 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 124 . \\ 9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 125 . \\ 9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 127 . \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 126 . \\ 3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 127 . \\ 5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 120 . \\ 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 118 . \\ 6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 116 . \\ 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 113 . \\ 9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 113 . \\ 3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 108 . \\ 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 103 . \\ 9 \end{array}$ |
| Total | $\begin{array}{r} 106 . \\ 5 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 120 . \\ 3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 121 . \\ 9 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 123 . \\ 8 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 124 . \\ 9 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 126 . \\ 6 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 126 . \\ 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 127 . \\ 4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 120 . \\ 5 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 119 . \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 116 . \\ 2 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 115 . \\ 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 113 . \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 110 . \\ 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 104 . \\ 9 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 2. NER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Males | 56.6 | 78.7 | 79.5 | 81.1 | 82.0 | 81.0 | 80.6 | 81.6 | 79.5 | 79.9 | 78.6 | 80.1 | 80.2 | 79.6 | 75.6 |
| Females | 63.8 | 85.3 | 85.4 | 87.0 | 88.1 | 86.0 | 85.7 | 86.3 | 83.4 | 84.1 | 83.2 | 83.5 | 83.1 | 82.6 | 79.0 |
| Total | 60.2 | 82.0 | 82.7 | 84.0 | 85.0 | 83.0 | 83.1 | 83.9 | 81.4 | 82.0 | 80.9 | 81.8 | 81.6 | 81.1 | 77.3 |
| 3.AIR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Males | $\begin{array}{r} 103 . \\ 9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 210 . \\ 9 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 150 | $\begin{array}{r} 129 . \\ 2 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 124 . \\ 9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 132 . \\ 5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 117 . \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 118 . \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 111 . \\ 5 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 106 . \\ 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 105 . \\ 5 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 106 . \\ 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 105 . \\ 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 103 . \\ 3 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 97.1 |
| Females | $\begin{array}{r} 105 . \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 190 . \\ 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 134 . \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 121 . \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 118 . \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 120 . \\ 7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 110 . \\ 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 111 . \\ 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 105 . \\ 1 \end{array}$ | $102 .$ | 98.8 | 98.2 | 99.4 | 97.3 | 90.8 |
| Total | $\begin{array}{r} 104 . \\ 5 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 200 . \\ 9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 142 . \\ 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 125 . \\ 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 121 . \\ 5 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 126 . \\ 6 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 113 . \\ 6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 114 . \\ 6 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 108 . \\ 3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 104 . \\ 4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 102 . \\ 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 102 . \\ 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 102 . \\ 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100 . \\ 4 \end{array}$ | 94.0 |
| 4. NIR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Males | 26.8 | 63.2 | 61.7 | 60.2 | 61.3 | 55.4 | 53.6 | 55.9 | 54.7 | 54.8 | 55.4 | 60.8 | 58.0 | 56.9 | 53.9 |
| Females | 28.3 | 65.1 | 62.8 | 62.5 | 63.0 | 56.2 | 54.1 | 57.1 | 55.0 | 56.5 | 54.7 | 59.1 | 59.1 | 57.7 | 52.8 |
| Total | 27.5 | 64.1 | 62.2 | 61.3 | 62.1 | 55.8 | 54.1 | 56.9 | 75.0 | 55.6 | 55 | 60.0 | 58.5 | 57.3 | 53.3 |
| B. Efficiency |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Promotions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 74.1 | 84.5 | 87.5 | 76.5 | 77.1 | 75.2 | 74.2 | 71.9 | - | - | - | - | 82.5 | 83.6 | - |
| 2. Repetitions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 20.1 | 20.6 | 19.9 | 21.4 | 16.8 | 19.2 | 19.7 | 19.7 | 20.9 | 19.1 | 20.0 | 19.3 | 16.5 | 13.2 | - |
| 3. Dropouts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 7.1 | 7.3 | 5.9 | 4.8 | 6.0 | 5.6 | 6.0 | 6.0 | - | - | - | - | 4.9 | 3.2 | - |
| 4.Completion Rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 59.3 | 66.0 | 64.5 | 57.5 | 70.0 | 73.1 | 62.9 | 74.9 | 83.0 | - | - | 80.9 | 79.9 | 78.2 | 78.8 |
| C. Quality Indicators |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pupil: Teacher <br> Ratio  | 44 | 48.0 | 47.0 | 47.0 | 46.0 | 44.0 | 42 | 41 | 37 | 35 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 33 |
| Pupil: <br> Classroom <br> Ratio | - | - | - | 63.0 | 65.0 | - | - | - | 55.0 | - | - | - | - | - | 47 |
| Pupil: Qualified Teacher Ratio | - | - | - | - | 69.0 | - | - | - | 60.0 | - | - | - | - | 50 | 45 |

## 2. Secondary Education Level



Table 1A: School Age Population

| YEARS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 2005 |  | 2006 |  | 2007 |  | 2008 |  | 2009 |  | 2010 |  | 2011 |  | 2012 |  | 2013 |  |
| G | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F |
| 0 | 28 | 28 | 29 | 28 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 28 | 29 | 28 | 29 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 29 | 28 | 29 | 28 |
|  | 88 | 23 | 47 | 81 | 87 | 18 | 63 | 95 | 39 | 72 | 16 | 49 | 93 | 27 | 34 | 66 | 47 | 79 |
|  | 2 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 5 | 7 | 9 | 8 | 4 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 8 | 3 | 0 |
| 1 | 27 | 26 | 27 | 27 | 28 | 27 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 27 | 28 | 27 | 28 | 27 | 28 | 27 |
|  | 20 | 72 | 77 | 28 | 45 | 93 | 85 | 31 | 62 | 08 | 39 | 86 | 16 | 64 | 05 | 52 | 48 | 91 |
|  | 5 | 9 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 8 | 6 | 5 | 9 | 3 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 8 |
| 2 | 26 | 25 | 26 | 26 | 27 | 26 | 28 | 27 | 28 | 27 | 28 | 27 | 28 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 |
|  | 27 | 81 | 83 | 37 | 40 | 93 | 07 | 58 | 48 | 95 | 25 | 73 | 03 | 51 | 81 | 31 | 70 | 18 |
|  | 3 | 9 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 8 | 7 | 3 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 9 |
| 3 | 25 | 24 | 25 | 25 | 26 | 26 | 27 | 26 | 27 | 27 | 28 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 26 |
|  | 35 | 92 | 91 | 47 | 47 | 02 | 03 | 58 | 69 | 22 | 11 | 60 | 89 | 38 | 67 | 18 | 46 | 97 |
|  | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 4 | 8 | 5 | 3 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 6 | 8 | 2 | 4 | 8 |
| 4 | 24 | 24 | 25 | 24 | 25 | 25 | 26 | 25 | 26 | 26 | 27 | 26 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 26 |
|  | 58 | 20 | 00 | 58 | 55 | 13 | 11 | 68 | 66 | 23 | 32 | 86 | 74 | 25 | 53 | 05 | 32 | 84 |
|  | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 7 | 6 | 9 | 0 | 7 | 9 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 5 | 9 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 0- \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | 13 | 12 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 14 | 13 | 14 | 13 | 14 | 13 | 14 | 13 | 14 | 13 |
|  | 22 | 99 | 49 | 25 | 77 | 52 | 97 | 71 | 08 | 82 | 12 | 85 | 07 | 80 | 04 | 77 | 04 | 77 |
|  | 96 | 07 | 86 | 22 | 50 | 25 | 04 | 23 | 67 | 35 | 54 | 72 | 74 | 67 | 37 | 32 | 49 | 24 |
| 5 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 26 | 26 | 27 | 26 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 26 |
|  | 46 | 08 | 47 | 07 | 88 | 45 | 43 | 00 | 99 | 55 | 54 | 10 | 19 | 72 | 61 | 11 | 40 | 91 |
|  | 9 | 7 | 3 | 7 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 8 | 9 | 1 | 8 | 1 |
| 6 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 25 | 24 | 25 | 25 | 26 | 26 | 27 | 26 | 27 | 27 |
|  | 51 | 10 | 43 | 03 | 51 | 08 | 83 | 38 | 38 | 93 | 93 | 48 | 48 | 02 | 22 | 72 | 54 | 02 |
|  | 0 | 1 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 6 | 9 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 5 |
| 7 | 24 | 23 | 24 | 23 | 24 | 23 | 24 | 23 | 24 | 24 | 25 | 24 | 25 | 25 | 26 | 25 | 27 | 26 |
|  | 38 | 97 | 31 | 91 | 32 | 91 | 40 | 96 | 61 | 18 | 16 | 72 | 71 | 27 | 36 | 89 | 09 | 58 |
|  | 3 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 9 |
| 8 | 24 | 23 | 24 | 23 | 24 | 23 | 24 | 23 | 24 | 23 | 24 | 23 | 24 | 24 | 25 | 25 | 26 | 25 |
|  | 16 | 77 | 08 | 71 | 10 | 71 | 11 | 71 | 19 | 76 | 31 | 90 | 85 | 44 | 50 | 06 | 14 | 68 |
|  | 1 | 4 | 9 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 8 | 5 | 9 |
| 9 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 24 | 24 | 25 | 24 |
|  | 82 | 30 | 85 | 49 | 86 | 50 | 88 | 50 | 89 | 50 | 97 | 55 | 99 | 62 | 63 | 23 | 27 | 85 |
|  | 7 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 5 | 7 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 4 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 5- \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | 12 | 11 | 12 | 11 | 12 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 13 | 12 | 13 | 13 |
|  | 13 | 92 | 11 | 92 | 16 | 96 | 26 | 05 | 40 | 19 | 59 | 37 | 82 | 60 | 13 | 90 | 34 | 10 |
|  | 50 | 45 | 59 | 33 | 93 | 69 | 67 | 69 | 78 | 37 | 29 | 70 | 45 | 92 | 43 | 36 | 72 | 68 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 24 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 24 | 24 |
|  | 06 | 59 | 66 | 15 | 67 | 33 | 69 | 33 | 70 | 33 | 71 | 33 | 79 | 38 | 82 | 45 | 45 | 06 |
|  | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 9 | 5 | 9 | 2 | 7 | 5 | 6 | 8 | 8 |
| 11 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 22 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 |
|  | 91 | 55 | 97 | 49 | 46 | 96 | 57 | 22 | 58 | 23 | 60 | 23 | 61 | 23 | 59 | 20 | 72 | 35 |
|  | 1 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 22 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 |
|  | 97 | 67 | 88 | 51 | 83 | 35 | 32 | 83 | 52 | 17 | 54 | 17 | 55 | 17 | 47 | 09 | 46 | 06 |
|  | 5 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 7 | 9 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 8 | 5 | 6 | 2 | 4 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | 24 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 22 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 22 |
|  | 16 | 87 | 98 | 66 | 78 | 40 | 74 | 24 | 23 | 72 | 52 | 14 | 54 | 14 | 46 | 06 | 37 | 98 |
|  | 7 | 6 | 6 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 9 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 9 | 2 | 9 | 9 | 7 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | 23 | 23 | 24 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 22 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 22 |
|  | 94 | 66 | 19 | 86 | 91 | 56 | 71 | 30 | 66 | 15 | 16 | 62 | 54 | 12 | 46 | 05 | 38 | 97 |
|  | 4 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 9 | 5 | 8 | 7 | 0 | 8 | 0 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline 10 \\ & - \\ & 14 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 12 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
|  | 00 | 83 | 96 | 76 | 86 | 66 | 80 | 59 | 77 | 56 | 75 | 55 | 80 | 60 | 78 | 58 | 84 | 64 |
|  | 62 | 64 | 97 | 85 | 70 | 17 | 41 | 43 | 21 | 14 | 49 | 21 | 48 | 76 | 27 | 73 | 07 | 39 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 24 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 22 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 22 |
|  | 94 | 62 | 85 | 55 | 10 | 74 | 82 | 44 | 62 | 18 | 57 | 03 | 07 | 51 | 45 | 00 | 38 | 93 |
|  | 7 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 3 | 6 | 2 | 8 | 9 | 1 | 1 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 23 | 22 |
|  | 98 | 56 | 82 | 46 | 76 | 41 | 98 | 58 | 70 | 28 | 50 | 03 | 46 | 87 | 98 | 38 | 34 | 85 |
|  | 3 | 8 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 8 | 9 | 8 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 8 |
| 1 | 24 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 22 | 23 | 22 | 22 | 22 |
|  | 06 | 50 | 83 | 37 | 71 | 30 | 64 | 25 | 85 | 39 | 57 | 09 | 37 | 84 | 35 | 72 | 88 | 23 |
|  | 0 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| $1$ | 24 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 22 | 23 | 22 | 23 | 22 |
| 8 | 16 | 42 | 88 | 27 | 69 | 16 | 57 | 09 | 51 | 04 | 69 | 16 | 41 | 86 | 24 | 64 | 22 | 52 |
|  | 9 | 8 | 4 | 2 | 7 | 8 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 5 |


| 15 | 96 | 94 | 95 | 93 | 95 | 93 | 95 | 93 | 94 | 92 | 94 | 92 | 93 | 91 | 93 | 90 | 92 | 90 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | 15 | 12 | 40 | 66 | 27 | 63 | 03 | 37 | 69 | 91 | 34 | 32 | 31 | 10 | 04 | 76 | 83 | 54 |
| 18 | 9 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 9 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 7 |
| T | 46 | 46 | 47 | 46 | 47 | 46 | 47 | 46 | 47 | 46 | 47 | 47 | 48 | 47 | 48 | 47 | 48 | 47 |
| ot | 98 | 16 | 12 | 31 | 33 | 51 | 54 | 70 | 73 | 87 | 90 | 01 | 03 | 13 | 26 | 34 | 51 | 57 |
| al | 67 | 40 | 45 | 03 | 90 | 43 | 44 | 11 | 57 | 01 | 77 | 88 | 86 | 35 | 49 | 04 | 63 | 78 |

